

MGT axioms

MGT001+0.ax Inequalities.

$\forall x, y: (\text{smaller_or_equal}(x, y) \iff (\text{smaller}(x, y) \text{ or } x = y))$ fof(definition_smaller_or_equal, axiom)
 $\forall x, y: (\text{greater_or_equal}(x, y) \iff (\text{greater}(x, y) \text{ or } x = y))$ fof(definition_greater_or_equal, axiom)
 $\forall x, y: (\text{smaller}(x, y) \iff \text{greater}(y, x))$ fof(definition_smaller, axiom)
 $\forall x, y: \neg \text{greater}(x, y) \text{ and } \text{greater}(y, x)$ fof(meaning_postulate_greater_strict, axiom)
 $\forall x, y, z: ((\text{greater}(x, y) \text{ and } \text{greater}(y, z)) \Rightarrow \text{greater}(x, z))$ fof(meaning_postulate_greater_transitive, axiom)
 $\forall x, y: (\text{smaller}(x, y) \text{ or } x = y \text{ or } \text{greater}(x, y))$ fof(meaning_postulate_greater_comparable, axiom)

MGT001-0.ax Inequalities.

$\text{smaller_or_equal}(a, b) \Rightarrow (\text{smaller}(a, b) \text{ or } a = b)$ cnf(definition_smaller_or_equal₁, axiom)
 $\text{smaller}(a, b) \Rightarrow \text{smaller_or_equal}(a, b)$ cnf(definition_smaller_or_equal₂, axiom)
 $a = b \Rightarrow \text{smaller_or_equal}(a, b)$ cnf(definition_smaller_or_equal₃, axiom)
 $\text{greater_or_equal}(a, b) \Rightarrow (\text{greater}(a, b) \text{ or } a = b)$ cnf(definition_greater_or_equal₄, axiom)
 $\text{greater}(a, b) \Rightarrow \text{greater_or_equal}(a, b)$ cnf(definition_greater_or_equal₅, axiom)
 $a = b \Rightarrow \text{greater_or_equal}(a, b)$ cnf(definition_greater_or_equal₆, axiom)
 $\text{smaller}(a, b) \Rightarrow \text{greater}(b, a)$ cnf(definition_smaller₇, axiom)
 $\text{greater}(a, b) \Rightarrow \text{smaller}(b, a)$ cnf(definition_smaller₈, axiom)
 $\text{greater}(a, b) \Rightarrow \neg \text{greater}(b, a)$ cnf(meaning_postulate_greater_strict₉, axiom)
 $(\text{greater}(a, b) \text{ and } \text{greater}(b, c)) \Rightarrow \text{greater}(a, c)$ cnf(meaning_postulate_greater_transitive₁₀, axiom)
 $\text{smaller}(a, b) \text{ or } a = b \text{ or } \text{greater}(a, b)$ cnf(meaning_postulate_greater_comparable₁₁, axiom)

MGT problems

MGT001+1.p Selection favors organizations with high inertia

Selection within populations of organizations in modern societies favours organizations whose structure have high inertia.

$\forall x, t: (\text{organization}(x, t) \Rightarrow \exists r: \text{reliability}(x, r, t))$ fof(mp₁, axiom)
 $\forall x, t: (\text{organization}(x, t) \Rightarrow \exists a: \text{accountability}(x, a, t))$ fof(mp₂, axiom)
 $\forall x, t: (\text{organization}(x, t) \Rightarrow \exists rp: \text{reproducibility}(x, rp, t))$ fof(mp₃, axiom)
 $\forall x, y, r_1, r_2, a_1, a_2, p_1, p_2, t_1, t_2: ((\text{organization}(x, t_1) \text{ and } \text{organization}(y, t_2) \text{ and } \text{reliability}(x, r_1, t_1) \text{ and } \text{reliability}(y, r_2, t_2) \text{ and } \text{greater}(p_2, p_1))$ fof(a1_FOL, hypothesis)
 $\forall x, y, t_1, t_2, r_1, r_2, a_1, a_2, rp_1, rp_2: ((\text{organization}(x, t_1) \text{ and } \text{organization}(y, t_2) \text{ and } \text{reliability}(x, r_1, t_1) \text{ and } \text{reliability}(y, r_2, t_2) \text{ and } (\text{greater}(rp_2, rp_1) \iff (\text{greater}(r_2, r_1) \text{ and } \text{greater}(a_2, a_1))))$ fof(a2_FOL, hypothesis)
 $\forall x, y, t_1, t_2, rp_1, rp_2, i_1, i_2: ((\text{organization}(x, t_1) \text{ and } \text{organization}(y, t_2) \text{ and } \text{reorganization_free}(x, t_1, t_1) \text{ and } \text{reorganization_free}(y, t_2, t_2) \text{ and } (\text{greater}(rp_2, rp_1) \iff \text{greater}(i_2, i_1)))$ fof(a3_FOL, hypothesis)
 $\forall x, y, t_1, t_2, i_1, i_2, p_1, p_2: ((\text{organization}(x, t_1) \text{ and } \text{organization}(y, t_2) \text{ and } \text{reorganization_free}(x, t_1, t_1) \text{ and } \text{reorganization_free}(y, t_2, t_2) \text{ and } \text{greater}(p_2, p_1))$ fof(t1_FOL, conjecture)

MGT001-1.p Selection favors organizations with high inertia

Selection within populations of organizations in modern societies favours organizations whose structure have high inertia.

$\text{organization}(a, b) \Rightarrow \text{reliability}(a, \text{sk}_1(b, a), b)$ cnf(mp1₁, axiom)
 $\text{organization}(a, b) \Rightarrow \text{accountability}(a, \text{sk}_2(b, a), b)$ cnf(mp2₂, axiom)
 $\text{organization}(a, b) \Rightarrow \text{reproducibility}(a, \text{sk}_3(b, a), b)$ cnf(mp3₃, axiom)
 $(\text{organization}(a, b) \text{ and } \text{organization}(c, d) \text{ and } \text{reliability}(a, e, b) \text{ and } \text{reliability}(c, f, d) \text{ and } \text{accountability}(a, g, b) \text{ and } \text{accountability}(c, h, i))$ cnf(a1_FOL₄, hypothesis)
 $(\text{organization}(a, b) \text{ and } \text{organization}(c, d) \text{ and } \text{reliability}(a, e, b) \text{ and } \text{reliability}(c, f, d) \text{ and } \text{accountability}(a, g, b) \text{ and } \text{accountability}(c, h, i) \text{ and } \text{greater}(f, e))$ cnf(a2_FOL₅, hypothesis)
 $(\text{organization}(a, b) \text{ and } \text{organization}(c, d) \text{ and } \text{reliability}(a, e, b) \text{ and } \text{reliability}(c, f, d) \text{ and } \text{accountability}(a, g, b) \text{ and } \text{accountability}(c, h, i) \text{ and } \text{greater}(h, g))$ cnf(a2_FOL₆, hypothesis)
 $(\text{organization}(a, b) \text{ and } \text{organization}(c, d) \text{ and } \text{reliability}(a, e, b) \text{ and } \text{reliability}(c, f, d) \text{ and } \text{accountability}(a, g, b) \text{ and } \text{accountability}(c, h, i) \text{ and } \text{greater}(j, i))$ cnf(a2_FOL₇, hypothesis)
 $(\text{organization}(a, b) \text{ and } \text{organization}(c, d) \text{ and } \text{reorganization_free}(a, b, b) \text{ and } \text{reorganization_free}(c, d, d) \text{ and } \text{reproducibility}(a, h, g) \text{ and } \text{reproducibility}(c, f, e))$ cnf(a3_FOL₈, hypothesis)
 $(\text{organization}(a, b) \text{ and } \text{organization}(c, d) \text{ and } \text{reorganization_free}(a, b, b) \text{ and } \text{reorganization_free}(c, d, d) \text{ and } \text{reproducibility}(a, h, g) \text{ and } \text{reproducibility}(c, f, e) \text{ and } \text{greater}(f, e))$ cnf(a3_FOL₉, hypothesis)
 $\text{organization}(\text{sk}_4, \text{sk}_6)$ cnf(t1_FOL₁₀, negated_conjecture)
 $\text{organization}(\text{sk}_5, \text{sk}_7)$ cnf(t1_FOL₁₁, negated_conjecture)

reorganization_free(sk₄, sk₆, sk₆) cnf(t1_FOL₁₂, negated_conjecture)
 reorganization_free(sk₅, sk₇, sk₇) cnf(t1_FOL₁₃, negated_conjecture)
 inertia(sk₄, sk₈, sk₆) cnf(t1_FOL₁₄, negated_conjecture)
 inertia(sk₅, sk₉, sk₇) cnf(t1_FOL₁₅, negated_conjecture)
 survival_chance(sk₄, sk₁₀, sk₆) cnf(t1_FOL₁₆, negated_conjecture)
 survival_chance(sk₅, sk₁₁, sk₇) cnf(t1_FOL₁₇, negated_conjecture)
 greater(sk₉, sk₈) cnf(t1_FOL₁₈, negated_conjecture)
 ¬ greater(sk₁₁, sk₁₀) cnf(t1_FOL₁₉, negated_conjecture)

MGT002+1.p Structural inertia increases monotonically with age.

$\forall x, t: (\text{organization}(x, t) \Rightarrow \exists \text{rp: reproducibility}(x, \text{rp}, t))$ fof(mp₃, axiom)
 $\forall x, t_1, t_2: (\text{reorganization_free}(x, t_1, t_2) \Rightarrow (\text{reorganization_free}(x, t_1, t_1) \text{ and } \text{reorganization_free}(x, t_2, t_2)))$ fof(mp₄, axiom)
 $\forall x, y, t_1, t_2, \text{rp}_1, \text{rp}_2, i_1, i_2: ((\text{organization}(x, t_1) \text{ and } \text{organization}(y, t_2) \text{ and } \text{reorganization_free}(x, t_1, t_1) \text{ and } \text{reorganization_free}(y, t_2, t_2)) \Rightarrow (\text{greater}(\text{rp}_2, \text{rp}_1) \iff \text{greater}(i_2, i_1)))$ fof(a3_FOL, hypothesis)
 $\forall x, \text{rp}_1, \text{rp}_2, t_1, t_2: ((\text{organization}(x, t_1) \text{ and } \text{organization}(x, t_2) \text{ and } \text{reorganization_free}(x, t_1, t_2) \text{ and } \text{reproducibility}(x, \text{rp}_1, t_1) \text{ and } \text{reproducibility}(x, \text{rp}_2, t_2)) \Rightarrow \text{greater}(\text{rp}_2, \text{rp}_1))$ fof(a4_FOL, hypothesis)
 $\forall x, i_1, i_2, t_1, t_2: ((\text{organization}(x, t_1) \text{ and } \text{organization}(x, t_2) \text{ and } \text{reorganization_free}(x, t_1, t_2) \text{ and } \text{inertia}(x, i_1, t_1) \text{ and } \text{inertia}(x, i_2, t_2)) \Rightarrow \text{greater}(i_2, i_1))$ fof(t2_FOL, conjecture)

MGT002-1.p Structural inertia increases monotonically with age.

organization(a, b) \Rightarrow reproducibility(a, sk₁(b, a), b) cnf(mp3₁, axiom)
 reorganization_free(a, b, c) \Rightarrow reorganization_free(a, b, b) cnf(mp4₂, axiom)
 reorganization_free(a, b, c) \Rightarrow reorganization_free(a, c, c) cnf(mp4₃, axiom)
 (organization(a, b) and organization(c, d) and reorganization_free(a, b, b) and reorganization_free(c, d, d) and reproducibility(a, b, b) and reproducibility(c, d, d)) \Rightarrow greater(h, g) cnf(a3_FOL₄, hypothesis)
 (organization(a, b) and organization(c, d) and reorganization_free(a, b, b) and reorganization_free(c, d, d) and reproducibility(a, b, b) and reproducibility(c, d, d)) \Rightarrow greater(f, e) cnf(a3_FOL₅, hypothesis)
 (organization(a, b) and organization(a, c) and reorganization_free(a, b, c) and reproducibility(a, d, b) and reproducibility(a, e, d)) \Rightarrow greater(e, d) cnf(a4_FOL₆, hypothesis)
 organization(sk₂, sk₅) cnf(t2_FOL₇, negated_conjecture)
 organization(sk₂, sk₆) cnf(t2_FOL₈, negated_conjecture)
 reorganization_free(sk₂, sk₅, sk₆) cnf(t2_FOL₉, negated_conjecture)
 inertia(sk₂, sk₃, sk₅) cnf(t2_FOL₁₀, negated_conjecture)
 inertia(sk₂, sk₄, sk₆) cnf(t2_FOL₁₁, negated_conjecture)
 greater(sk₆, sk₅) cnf(t2_FOL₁₂, negated_conjecture)
 ¬ greater(sk₄, sk₃) cnf(t2_FOL₁₃, negated_conjecture)

MGT003+1.p Organizational death rates decrease with age.

$\forall x, t_1, t_2: (\text{reorganization_free}(x, t_1, t_2) \Rightarrow (\text{reorganization_free}(x, t_1, t_1) \text{ and } \text{reorganization_free}(x, t_2, t_2)))$ fof(mp₄, axiom)
 $\forall x, t: (\text{organization}(x, t) \Rightarrow \exists i: \text{inertia}(x, i, t))$ fof(mp₅, axiom)
 $\forall x, y, t_1, t_2, i_1, i_2, p_1, p_2: ((\text{organization}(x, t_1) \text{ and } \text{organization}(y, t_2) \text{ and } \text{reorganization_free}(x, t_1, t_1) \text{ and } \text{reorganization_free}(y, t_2, t_2)) \Rightarrow \text{greater}(p_2, p_1))$ fof(t1_FOL, hypothesis)
 $\forall x, i_1, i_2, t_1, t_2: ((\text{organization}(x, t_1) \text{ and } \text{organization}(x, t_2) \text{ and } \text{reorganization_free}(x, t_1, t_2) \text{ and } \text{inertia}(x, i_1, t_1) \text{ and } \text{inertia}(x, i_2, t_2)) \Rightarrow \text{greater}(i_2, i_1))$ fof(t2_FOL, hypothesis)
 $\forall x, p_1, p_2, t_1, t_2: ((\text{organization}(x, t_1) \text{ and } \text{organization}(x, t_2) \text{ and } \text{reorganization_free}(x, t_1, t_2) \text{ and } \text{survival_chance}(x, p_1, t_1) \text{ and } \text{survival_chance}(x, p_2, t_2)) \Rightarrow \text{greater}(p_2, p_1))$ fof(t3_FOL, conjecture)

MGT003-1.p Organizational death rates decrease with age.

reorganization_free(a, b, c) \Rightarrow reorganization_free(a, b, b) cnf(mp4₁, axiom)
 reorganization_free(a, b, c) \Rightarrow reorganization_free(a, c, c) cnf(mp4₂, axiom)
 organization(a, b) \Rightarrow inertia(a, sk₁(b, a), b) cnf(mp5₃, axiom)
 (organization(a, b) and organization(c, d) and reorganization_free(a, b, b) and reorganization_free(c, d, d) and inertia(a, e, b) and inertia(a, e, d)) \Rightarrow greater(h, g) cnf(t1_FOL₄, hypothesis)
 (organization(a, b) and organization(a, c) and reorganization_free(a, b, c) and inertia(a, d, b) and inertia(a, e, c) and greater(c, d)) \Rightarrow greater(e, d) cnf(t2_FOL₅, hypothesis)
 organization(sk₂, sk₅) cnf(t3_FOL₆, negated_conjecture)
 organization(sk₂, sk₆) cnf(t3_FOL₇, negated_conjecture)
 reorganization_free(sk₂, sk₅, sk₆) cnf(t3_FOL₈, negated_conjecture)
 survival_chance(sk₂, sk₃, sk₅) cnf(t3_FOL₉, negated_conjecture)
 survival_chance(sk₂, sk₄, sk₆) cnf(t3_FOL₁₀, negated_conjecture)
 greater(sk₆, sk₅) cnf(t3_FOL₁₁, negated_conjecture)

\neg greater(sk₄, sk₃) cnf(t3_FOL₁₂, negated_conjecture)

MGT004+1.p Attempts at reorganization increase death rates.

$\forall x, t: (\text{organization}(x, t) \Rightarrow \exists r: \text{reliability}(x, r, t))$ fof(mp₁, axiom)

$\forall x, t: (\text{organization}(x, t) \Rightarrow \exists a: \text{accountability}(x, a, t))$ fof(mp₂, axiom)

$\forall x, y, r_1, r_2, a_1, a_2, p_1, p_2, t_1, t_2: ((\text{organization}(x, t_1) \text{ and } \text{organization}(y, t_2) \text{ and } \text{reliability}(x, r_1, t_1) \text{ and } \text{reliability}(y, r_2, t_2) \text{ and } \text{greater}(p_2, p_1))$ fof(a1_FOL, hypothesis)

$\forall x, r_1, r_2, a_1, a_2, t_1, t_2, \text{ta}, \text{tb}: ((\text{organization}(x, t_1) \text{ and } \text{organization}(x, t_2) \text{ and } \text{reorganization}(x, \text{ta}, \text{tb}) \text{ and } \text{reliability}(x, r_1, t_1) \text{ and } \text{greater}(r_1, r_2) \text{ and } \text{greater}(a_1, a_2)))$ fof(a6_FOL, hypothesis)

$\forall x, p_1, p_2, t_1, t_2, \text{ta}, \text{tb}: ((\text{organization}(x, t_1) \text{ and } \text{organization}(x, t_2) \text{ and } \text{reorganization}(x, \text{ta}, \text{tb}) \text{ and } \text{survival_chance}(x, p_1, t_1) \text{ and } \text{greater}(p_1, p_2))$ fof(t4_FOL, conjecture)

MGT004-1.p Attempts at reorganization increase death rates.

$\text{organization}(a, b) \Rightarrow \text{reliability}(a, \text{sk}_1(b, a), b)$ cnf(mp₁, axiom)

$\text{organization}(a, b) \Rightarrow \text{accountability}(a, \text{sk}_2(b, a), b)$ cnf(mp₂, axiom)

$(\text{organization}(a, b) \text{ and } \text{organization}(c, d) \text{ and } \text{reliability}(a, e, b) \text{ and } \text{reliability}(c, f, d) \text{ and } \text{accountability}(a, g, b) \text{ and } \text{accountability}(c, h, d) \text{ and } \text{greater}(j, i))$ cnf(a1_FOL₃, hypothesis)

$(\text{organization}(a, b) \text{ and } \text{organization}(a, c) \text{ and } \text{reorganization}(a, d, e) \text{ and } \text{reliability}(a, f, b) \text{ and } \text{reliability}(a, g, c) \text{ and } \text{accountability}(a, h, d) \text{ and } \text{greater}(d, b) \text{ or } \text{greater}(c, e) \text{ or } \text{greater}(f, g))$ cnf(a6_FOL₄, hypothesis)

$(\text{organization}(a, b) \text{ and } \text{organization}(a, c) \text{ and } \text{reorganization}(a, d, e) \text{ and } \text{reliability}(a, f, b) \text{ and } \text{reliability}(a, g, c) \text{ and } \text{accountability}(a, h, d) \text{ and } \text{greater}(d, b) \text{ or } \text{greater}(c, e) \text{ or } \text{greater}(h, i))$ cnf(a6_FOL₅, hypothesis)

$\text{organization}(\text{sk}_3, \text{sk}_6)$ cnf(t4_FOL₆, negated_conjecture)

$\text{organization}(\text{sk}_3, \text{sk}_7)$ cnf(t4_FOL₇, negated_conjecture)

$\text{reorganization}(\text{sk}_3, \text{sk}_8, \text{sk}_9)$ cnf(t4_FOL₈, negated_conjecture)

$\text{survival_chance}(\text{sk}_3, \text{sk}_4, \text{sk}_6)$ cnf(t4_FOL₉, negated_conjecture)

$\text{survival_chance}(\text{sk}_3, \text{sk}_5, \text{sk}_7)$ cnf(t4_FOL₁₀, negated_conjecture)

\neg greater(sk₈, sk₆) cnf(t4_FOL₁₁, negated_conjecture)

greater(sk₇, sk₆) cnf(t4_FOL₁₂, negated_conjecture)

\neg greater(sk₇, sk₉) cnf(t4_FOL₁₃, negated_conjecture)

\neg greater(sk₄, sk₅) cnf(t4_FOL₁₄, negated_conjecture)

MGT005-1.p Complexity increases the risk of death due to reorganization.

greater(a, b) $\Rightarrow a \neq b$ cnf(mp_{6.1}₂₆, axiom)

greater(a, b) $\Rightarrow \neg$ greater(b, a) cnf(mp_{6.2}₂₇, axiom)

(greater(a, b) and greater(b, c)) \Rightarrow greater(a, c) cnf(mp₁₁₂₈, axiom)

$\text{organization}(a, b) \Rightarrow \text{survival_chance}(a, \text{sk}_1(b, a), b)$ cnf(mp₁₂₂₉, axiom)

$(\text{organization}(a, b) \text{ and } \text{organization}(a, c) \text{ and } \text{greater}(d, b) \text{ and } \text{greater}(c, d)) \Rightarrow \text{organization}(a, d)$ cnf(mp₁₃₃₀, axiom)

$\text{reorganization}(a, b, c) \Rightarrow \text{greater}(c, b)$ cnf(mp₇₃₁, axiom)

$(\text{organization}(a, b) \text{ and } \text{organization}(a, c) \text{ and } \text{reorganization_free}(a, b, c) \text{ and } \text{survival_chance}(a, d, b) \text{ and } \text{survival_chance}(a, e, c) \text{ and } \text{greater}(e, d))$ cnf(t3_FOL₃₂, hypothesis)

$(\text{organization}(a, b) \text{ and } \text{organization}(a, c) \text{ and } \text{reorganization}(a, d, e) \text{ and } \text{survival_chance}(a, f, b) \text{ and } \text{survival_chance}(a, g, c) \text{ and } \text{greater}(d, b) \text{ or } \text{greater}(c, e) \text{ or } \text{greater}(f, g))$ cnf(t4_FOL₃₃, hypothesis)

$(\text{organization}(a, b) \text{ and } \text{organization}(c, b) \text{ and } \text{organization}(c, d) \text{ and } \text{class}(a, e, b) \text{ and } \text{class}(c, e, b) \text{ and } \text{reorganization}(a, b, f) \text{ and } \text{greater}(d, f))$ cnf(a10_FOL₃₄, hypothesis)

$(\text{organization}(a, b) \text{ and } \text{organization}(c, b) \text{ and } \text{organization}(a, d) \text{ and } \text{organization}(c, d) \text{ and } \text{class}(a, e, b) \text{ and } \text{class}(c, e, b) \text{ and } \text{greater}(i, j) \text{ or } i = j)$ cnf(a11_FOL₃₅, hypothesis)

$\text{organization}(\text{sk}_2, \text{sk}_{11})$ cnf(t5_FOL₃₆, negated_conjecture)

$\text{organization}(\text{sk}_3, \text{sk}_{11})$ cnf(t5_FOL₃₇, negated_conjecture)

$\text{organization}(\text{sk}_2, \text{sk}_{13})$ cnf(t5_FOL₃₈, negated_conjecture)

$\text{organization}(\text{sk}_3, \text{sk}_{13})$ cnf(t5_FOL₃₉, negated_conjecture)

$\text{class}(\text{sk}_2, \text{sk}_5, \text{sk}_{11})$ cnf(t5_FOL₄₀, negated_conjecture)

$\text{class}(\text{sk}_3, \text{sk}_5, \text{sk}_{11})$ cnf(t5_FOL₄₁, negated_conjecture)

$\text{survival_chance}(\text{sk}_2, \text{sk}_6, \text{sk}_{11})$ cnf(t5_FOL₄₂, negated_conjecture)

$\text{survival_chance}(\text{sk}_3, \text{sk}_6, \text{sk}_{11})$ cnf(t5_FOL₄₃, negated_conjecture)

$\text{reorganization}(\text{sk}_2, \text{sk}_{11}, \text{sk}_{12})$ cnf(t5_FOL₄₄, negated_conjecture)

$\text{reorganization}(\text{sk}_3, \text{sk}_{11}, \text{sk}_{13})$ cnf(t5_FOL₄₅, negated_conjecture)

$\text{reorganization_type}(\text{sk}_2, \text{sk}_4, \text{sk}_{11})$ cnf(t5_FOL₄₆, negated_conjecture)

$\text{reorganization_type}(\text{sk}_3, \text{sk}_4, \text{sk}_{11})$ cnf(t5_FOL₄₇, negated_conjecture)

$\text{reorganization_free}(\text{sk}_2, \text{sk}_{12}, \text{sk}_{13})$ cnf(t5_FOL₄₈, negated_conjecture)

$\text{survival_chance}(\text{sk}_2, \text{sk}_7, \text{sk}_{13})$ cnf(t5_FOL₄₉, negated_conjecture)

$\text{survival_chance}(\text{sk}_3, \text{sk}_8, \text{sk}_{13})$ cnf(t5_FOL₅₀, negated_conjecture)

$\text{complexity}(\text{sk}_2, \text{sk}_9, \text{sk}_{11}) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{t5_FOL}_{51}, \text{negated_conjecture})$
 $\text{complexity}(\text{sk}_3, \text{sk}_{10}, \text{sk}_{11}) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{t5_FOL}_{52}, \text{negated_conjecture})$
 $\text{greater}(\text{sk}_{10}, \text{sk}_9) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{t5_FOL}_{53}, \text{negated_conjecture})$
 $\neg \text{greater}(\text{sk}_7, \text{sk}_8) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{t5_FOL}_{54}, \text{negated_conjecture})$

MGT006+1.p Reliability and accountability increase with time.

$\forall x, t: (\text{organization}(x, t) \Rightarrow \exists \text{rp}: \text{reproducibility}(x, \text{rp}, t)) \quad \text{fof}(\text{mp}_3, \text{axiom})$
 $\forall x, y, t_1, t_2, r_1, r_2, a_1, a_2, \text{rp}_1, \text{rp}_2: ((\text{organization}(x, t_1) \text{ and } \text{organization}(y, t_2) \text{ and } \text{reliability}(x, r_1, t_1) \text{ and } \text{reliability}(y, r_2, t_2) \text{ and } \text{greater}(\text{rp}_2, \text{rp}_1)) \iff (\text{greater}(r_2, r_1) \text{ and } \text{greater}(a_2, a_1))) \quad \text{fof}(\text{a2_FOL}, \text{hypothesis})$
 $\forall x, \text{rp}_1, \text{rp}_2, t_1, t_2: ((\text{organization}(x, t_1) \text{ and } \text{organization}(x, t_2) \text{ and } \text{reorganization_free}(x, t_1, t_2) \text{ and } \text{reproducibility}(x, \text{rp}_1, t_1) \text{ and } \text{greater}(\text{rp}_2, \text{rp}_1)) \quad \text{fof}(\text{a4_FOL}, \text{hypothesis})$
 $\forall x, r_1, r_2, a_1, a_2, t_1, t_2: ((\text{organization}(x, t_1) \text{ and } \text{organization}(x, t_2) \text{ and } \text{reorganization_free}(x, t_1, t_2) \text{ and } \text{reliability}(x, r_1, t_1) \text{ and } \text{greater}(r_2, r_1) \text{ and } \text{greater}(a_2, a_1)) \quad \text{fof}(\text{t6_FOL}, \text{conjecture})$

MGT006-1.p Reliability and accountability increase with time.

$\text{organization}(a, b) \Rightarrow \text{reproducibility}(a, \text{sk}_1(b, a), b) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{mp}_3_1, \text{axiom})$
 $(\text{organization}(a, b) \text{ and } \text{organization}(c, d) \text{ and } \text{reliability}(a, e, b) \text{ and } \text{reliability}(c, f, d) \text{ and } \text{accountability}(a, g, b) \text{ and } \text{greater}(f, e) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{a2_FOL}_2, \text{hypothesis})$
 $(\text{organization}(a, b) \text{ and } \text{organization}(c, d) \text{ and } \text{reliability}(a, e, b) \text{ and } \text{reliability}(c, f, d) \text{ and } \text{accountability}(a, g, b) \text{ and } \text{greater}(h, g) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{a2_FOL}_3, \text{hypothesis})$
 $(\text{organization}(a, b) \text{ and } \text{organization}(c, d) \text{ and } \text{reliability}(a, e, b) \text{ and } \text{reliability}(c, f, d) \text{ and } \text{accountability}(a, g, b) \text{ and } \text{greater}(j, i) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{a2_FOL}_4, \text{hypothesis})$
 $(\text{organization}(a, b) \text{ and } \text{organization}(a, c) \text{ and } \text{reorganization_free}(a, b, c) \text{ and } \text{reproducibility}(a, d, b) \text{ and } \text{reproducibility}(a, e, d) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{a4_FOL}_5, \text{hypothesis})$
 $\text{organization}(\text{sk}_2, \text{sk}_7) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{t6_FOL}_6, \text{negated_conjecture})$
 $\text{organization}(\text{sk}_2, \text{sk}_8) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{t6_FOL}_7, \text{negated_conjecture})$
 $\text{reorganization_free}(\text{sk}_2, \text{sk}_7, \text{sk}_8) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{t6_FOL}_8, \text{negated_conjecture})$
 $\text{reliability}(\text{sk}_2, \text{sk}_3, \text{sk}_7) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{t6_FOL}_9, \text{negated_conjecture})$
 $\text{reliability}(\text{sk}_2, \text{sk}_4, \text{sk}_8) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{t6_FOL}_{10}, \text{negated_conjecture})$
 $\text{accountability}(\text{sk}_2, \text{sk}_5, \text{sk}_7) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{t6_FOL}_{11}, \text{negated_conjecture})$
 $\text{accountability}(\text{sk}_2, \text{sk}_6, \text{sk}_8) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{t6_FOL}_{12}, \text{negated_conjecture})$
 $\text{greater}(\text{sk}_8, \text{sk}_7) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{t6_FOL}_{13}, \text{negated_conjecture})$
 $\text{greater}(\text{sk}_4, \text{sk}_3) \Rightarrow \neg \text{greater}(\text{sk}_6, \text{sk}_5) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{t6_FOL}_{14}, \text{negated_conjecture})$

MGT007+1.p Reproducibility decreases during reorganization.

$\forall x, t: (\text{organization}(x, t) \Rightarrow \exists r: \text{reliability}(x, r, t)) \quad \text{fof}(\text{mp}_1, \text{axiom})$
 $\forall x, t: (\text{organization}(x, t) \Rightarrow \exists a: \text{accountability}(x, a, t)) \quad \text{fof}(\text{mp}_2, \text{axiom})$
 $\forall x, t: (\text{organization}(x, t) \Rightarrow \exists a: \text{reproducibility}(x, a, t)) \quad \text{fof}(\text{mp_not_in_TR}, \text{axiom})$
 $\forall x, y, t_1, t_2, r_1, r_2, a_1, a_2, \text{rp}_1, \text{rp}_2: ((\text{organization}(x, t_1) \text{ and } \text{organization}(y, t_2) \text{ and } \text{reliability}(x, r_1, t_1) \text{ and } \text{reliability}(y, r_2, t_2) \text{ and } \text{greater}(\text{rp}_2, \text{rp}_1)) \iff (\text{greater}(r_2, r_1) \text{ and } \text{greater}(a_2, a_1))) \quad \text{fof}(\text{a2_FOL}, \text{hypothesis})$
 $\forall x, r_1, r_2, a_1, a_2, t_1, t_2, \text{ta}, \text{tb}: ((\text{organization}(x, t_1) \text{ and } \text{organization}(x, t_2) \text{ and } \text{reorganization}(x, \text{ta}, \text{tb}) \text{ and } \text{reliability}(x, r_1, t_1) \text{ and } \text{greater}(r_1, r_2) \text{ and } \text{greater}(a_1, a_2)) \quad \text{fof}(\text{a6_FOL}, \text{hypothesis})$
 $\forall x, \text{rp}_1, \text{rp}_2, t_1, t_2, \text{ta}, \text{tb}: ((\text{organization}(x, t_1) \text{ and } \text{organization}(x, t_2) \text{ and } \text{reorganization}(x, \text{ta}, \text{tb}) \text{ and } \text{reproducibility}(x, \text{rp}_1, t_1) \text{ and } \text{greater}(\text{rp}_1, \text{rp}_2)) \quad \text{fof}(\text{t7_FOL}, \text{conjecture})$

MGT007-1.p Reproducibility decreases during reorganization.

$\text{organization}(a, b) \Rightarrow \text{reliability}(a, \text{sk}_1(b, a), b) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{mp}_1_1, \text{axiom})$
 $\text{organization}(a, b) \Rightarrow \text{accountability}(a, \text{sk}_2(b, a), b) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{mp}_2_2, \text{axiom})$
 $\text{organization}(a, b) \Rightarrow \text{reproducibility}(a, \text{sk}_3(b, a), b) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{mp_not_in_TR}_3, \text{axiom})$
 $(\text{organization}(a, b) \text{ and } \text{organization}(c, d) \text{ and } \text{reliability}(a, e, b) \text{ and } \text{reliability}(c, f, d) \text{ and } \text{accountability}(a, g, b) \text{ and } \text{greater}(f, e) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{a2_FOL}_4, \text{hypothesis})$
 $(\text{organization}(a, b) \text{ and } \text{organization}(c, d) \text{ and } \text{reliability}(a, e, b) \text{ and } \text{reliability}(c, f, d) \text{ and } \text{accountability}(a, g, b) \text{ and } \text{greater}(h, g) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{a2_FOL}_5, \text{hypothesis})$
 $(\text{organization}(a, b) \text{ and } \text{organization}(c, d) \text{ and } \text{reliability}(a, e, b) \text{ and } \text{reliability}(c, f, d) \text{ and } \text{accountability}(a, g, b) \text{ and } \text{greater}(j, i) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{a2_FOL}_6, \text{hypothesis})$
 $(\text{organization}(a, b) \text{ and } \text{organization}(a, c) \text{ and } \text{reorganization}(a, d, e) \text{ and } \text{reliability}(a, f, b) \text{ and } \text{reliability}(a, g, c) \text{ and } \text{greater}(d, b) \text{ or } \text{greater}(c, e) \text{ or } \text{greater}(f, g)) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{a6_FOL}_7, \text{hypothesis})$
 $(\text{organization}(a, b) \text{ and } \text{organization}(a, c) \text{ and } \text{reorganization}(a, d, e) \text{ and } \text{reliability}(a, f, b) \text{ and } \text{reliability}(a, g, c) \text{ and } \text{greater}(d, b) \text{ or } \text{greater}(c, e) \text{ or } \text{greater}(h, i)) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{a6_FOL}_8, \text{hypothesis})$
 $\text{organization}(\text{sk}_4, \text{sk}_7) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{t7_FOL}_9, \text{negated_conjecture})$
 $\text{organization}(\text{sk}_4, \text{sk}_8) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{t7_FOL}_{10}, \text{negated_conjecture})$

reorganization(sk₄, sk₉, sk₁₀) cnf(t7_FOL₁₁, negated_conjecture)
 reproducibility(sk₄, sk₅, sk₇) cnf(t7_FOL₁₂, negated_conjecture)
 reproducibility(sk₄, sk₆, sk₈) cnf(t7_FOL₁₃, negated_conjecture)
 ¬ greater(sk₉, sk₇) cnf(t7_FOL₁₄, negated_conjecture)
 greater(sk₈, sk₇) cnf(t7_FOL₁₅, negated_conjecture)
 ¬ greater(sk₈, sk₁₀) cnf(t7_FOL₁₆, negated_conjecture)
 ¬ greater(sk₅, sk₆) cnf(t7_FOL₁₇, negated_conjecture)

MGT008+1.p Organizational death rates decrease with size.

$\forall x, t: (\text{organization}(x, t) \Rightarrow \exists i: \text{inertia}(x, i, t))$ fof(mp₅, axiom)
 $\forall x, y, c, s_1, s_2, i_1, i_2, t_1, t_2: ((\text{organization}(x, t_1) \text{ and } \text{organization}(y, t_2) \text{ and } \text{class}(x, c, t_1) \text{ and } \text{class}(y, c, t_2) \text{ and } \text{size}(x, s_1, t_1) \text{ and } \text{greater}(i_2, i_1))$ fof(a5_FOL, hypothesis)
 $\forall x, y, t_1, t_2, i_1, i_2, p_1, p_2: ((\text{organization}(x, t_1) \text{ and } \text{organization}(y, t_2) \text{ and } \text{reorganization_free}(x, t_1, t_1) \text{ and } \text{reorganization_free}(y, t_2, t_2) \text{ and } \text{greater}(p_2, p_1))$ fof(t1_FOL, hypothesis)
 $\forall x, y, c, p_1, p_2, s_1, s_2, t_1, t_2: ((\text{organization}(x, t_1) \text{ and } \text{organization}(y, t_2) \text{ and } \text{reorganization_free}(x, t_1, t_1) \text{ and } \text{reorganization_free}(y, t_2, t_2) \text{ and } \text{greater}(p_2, p_1))$ fof(t8_FOL, conjecture)

MGT008-1.p Organizational death rates decrease with size.

organization(a, b) \Rightarrow inertia(a, sk₁(b, a), b) cnf(mp5₁, axiom)
 (organization(a, b) and organization(c, d) and class(a, e, b) and class(c, e, d) and size(a, f, b) and size(c, g, d) and inertia(a, h, b) and greater(i, h)) cnf(a5_FOL₂, hypothesis)
 (organization(a, b) and organization(c, d) and reorganization_free(a, b, b) and reorganization_free(c, d, d) and inertia(a, e, b) and greater(h, g)) cnf(t1_FOL₃, hypothesis)
 organization(sk₂, sk₉) cnf(t8_FOL₄, negated_conjecture)
 organization(sk₃, sk₁₀) cnf(t8_FOL₅, negated_conjecture)
 reorganization_free(sk₂, sk₉, sk₉) cnf(t8_FOL₆, negated_conjecture)
 reorganization_free(sk₃, sk₁₀, sk₁₀) cnf(t8_FOL₇, negated_conjecture)
 class(sk₂, sk₄, sk₉) cnf(t8_FOL₈, negated_conjecture)
 class(sk₃, sk₄, sk₁₀) cnf(t8_FOL₉, negated_conjecture)
 survival_chance(sk₂, sk₅, sk₉) cnf(t8_FOL₁₀, negated_conjecture)
 survival_chance(sk₃, sk₆, sk₁₀) cnf(t8_FOL₁₁, negated_conjecture)
 size(sk₂, sk₇, sk₉) cnf(t8_FOL₁₂, negated_conjecture)
 size(sk₃, sk₈, sk₁₀) cnf(t8_FOL₁₃, negated_conjecture)
 greater(sk₈, sk₇) cnf(t8_FOL₁₄, negated_conjecture)
 ¬ greater(sk₆, sk₅) cnf(t8_FOL₁₅, negated_conjecture)

MGT009+1.p Large organization have higher reproducibility

$\forall x, t: (\text{organization}(x, t) \Rightarrow \exists i: \text{inertia}(x, i, t))$ fof(mp₅, axiom)
 $\forall x, y, t_1, t_2, rp_1, rp_2, i_1, i_2: ((\text{organization}(x, t_1) \text{ and } \text{organization}(y, t_2) \text{ and } \text{reorganization_free}(x, t_1, t_1) \text{ and } \text{reorganization_free}(y, t_2, t_2) \text{ and } (\text{greater}(rp_2, rp_1) \iff \text{greater}(i_2, i_1)))$ fof(a3_FOL, hypothesis)
 $\forall x, y, c, s_1, s_2, i_1, i_2, t_1, t_2: ((\text{organization}(x, t_1) \text{ and } \text{organization}(y, t_2) \text{ and } \text{class}(x, c, t_1) \text{ and } \text{class}(y, c, t_2) \text{ and } \text{size}(x, s_1, t_1) \text{ and } \text{greater}(i_2, i_1))$ fof(a5_FOL, hypothesis)
 $\forall x, y, c, rp_1, rp_2, s_1, s_2, t_1, t_2: ((\text{organization}(x, t_1) \text{ and } \text{organization}(y, t_2) \text{ and } \text{reorganization_free}(x, t_1, t_1) \text{ and } \text{reorganization_free}(y, t_2, t_2) \text{ and } \text{greater}(rp_2, rp_1))$ fof(t9_FOL, conjecture)

MGT009-1.p Large organization have higher reproducibility

organization(a, b) \Rightarrow inertia(a, sk₁(b, a), b) cnf(mp5₁, axiom)
 (organization(a, b) and organization(c, d) and reorganization_free(a, b, b) and reorganization_free(c, d, d) and reproducibility(a, b, c) and greater(h, g)) cnf(a3_FOL₂, hypothesis)
 (organization(a, b) and organization(c, d) and reorganization_free(a, b, b) and reorganization_free(c, d, d) and reproducibility(a, b, c) and greater(f, e)) cnf(a3_FOL₃, hypothesis)
 (organization(a, b) and organization(c, d) and class(a, e, b) and class(c, e, d) and size(a, f, b) and size(c, g, d) and inertia(a, h, b) and greater(i, h)) cnf(a5_FOL₄, hypothesis)
 organization(sk₂, sk₉) cnf(t9_FOL₅, negated_conjecture)
 organization(sk₃, sk₁₀) cnf(t9_FOL₆, negated_conjecture)
 reorganization_free(sk₂, sk₉, sk₉) cnf(t9_FOL₇, negated_conjecture)
 reorganization_free(sk₃, sk₁₀, sk₁₀) cnf(t9_FOL₈, negated_conjecture)
 class(sk₂, sk₄, sk₉) cnf(t9_FOL₉, negated_conjecture)
 class(sk₃, sk₄, sk₁₀) cnf(t9_FOL₁₀, negated_conjecture)
 reproducibility(sk₂, sk₅, sk₉) cnf(t9_FOL₁₁, negated_conjecture)
 reproducibility(sk₃, sk₆, sk₁₀) cnf(t9_FOL₁₂, negated_conjecture)

$\text{size}(\text{sk}_2, \text{sk}_7, \text{sk}_9) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{t9_FOL}_{13}, \text{negated_conjecture})$
 $\text{size}(\text{sk}_3, \text{sk}_8, \text{sk}_{10}) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{t9_FOL}_{14}, \text{negated_conjecture})$
 $\text{greater}(\text{sk}_8, \text{sk}_7) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{t9_FOL}_{15}, \text{negated_conjecture})$
 $\neg \text{greater}(\text{sk}_6, \text{sk}_5) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{t9_FOL}_{16}, \text{negated_conjecture})$

MGT010+1.p Large organization have higher reliability and accountability

Large organization have higher reliability and accountability than small organizations (of the same class).

$\forall x, t: (\text{organization}(x, t) \Rightarrow \exists \text{rp}: \text{reproducibility}(x, \text{rp}, t)) \quad \text{fof}(\text{mp}_3, \text{axiom})$

$\forall x, y, t_1, t_2, r_1, r_2, a_1, a_2, \text{rp}_1, \text{rp}_2: ((\text{organization}(x, t_1) \text{ and } \text{organization}(y, t_2) \text{ and } \text{reliability}(x, r_1, t_1) \text{ and } \text{reliability}(y, r_2, t_2) \text{ and } \text{greater}(\text{rp}_2, \text{rp}_1) \iff (\text{greater}(r_2, r_1) \text{ and } \text{greater}(a_2, a_1)))) \quad \text{fof}(\text{a2_FOL}, \text{hypothesis})$

$\forall x, y, c, \text{rp}_1, \text{rp}_2, s_1, s_2, t_1, t_2: ((\text{organization}(x, t_1) \text{ and } \text{organization}(y, t_2) \text{ and } \text{reorganization_free}(x, t_1, t_1) \text{ and } \text{reorganization_free}(y, t_2, t_2) \text{ and } \text{greater}(\text{rp}_2, \text{rp}_1)) \quad \text{fof}(\text{t9_FOL}, \text{hypothesis})$

$\forall x, y, c, r_1, r_2, a_1, a_2, s_1, s_2, t_1, t_2: ((\text{organization}(x, t_1) \text{ and } \text{organization}(y, t_2) \text{ and } \text{reorganization_free}(x, t_1, t_1) \text{ and } \text{reorganization_free}(y, t_2, t_2) \text{ and } \text{greater}(r_2, r_1) \text{ and } \text{greater}(a_2, a_1)) \quad \text{fof}(\text{t10_FOL}, \text{conjecture})$

MGT010-1.p Large organization have higher reliability and accountability

Large organization have higher reliability and accountability than small organizations (of the same class).

$\text{organization}(a, b) \Rightarrow \text{reproducibility}(a, \text{sk}_1(b, a), b) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{mp3}_1, \text{axiom})$

$(\text{organization}(a, b) \text{ and } \text{organization}(c, d) \text{ and } \text{reliability}(a, e, b) \text{ and } \text{reliability}(c, f, d) \text{ and } \text{accountability}(a, g, b) \text{ and } \text{accountability}(c, h, d) \text{ and } \text{greater}(f, e) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{a2_FOL}_2, \text{hypothesis})$

$(\text{organization}(a, b) \text{ and } \text{organization}(c, d) \text{ and } \text{reliability}(a, e, b) \text{ and } \text{reliability}(c, f, d) \text{ and } \text{accountability}(a, g, b) \text{ and } \text{accountability}(c, h, d) \text{ and } \text{greater}(h, g) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{a2_FOL}_3, \text{hypothesis})$

$(\text{organization}(a, b) \text{ and } \text{organization}(c, d) \text{ and } \text{reliability}(a, e, b) \text{ and } \text{reliability}(c, f, d) \text{ and } \text{accountability}(a, g, b) \text{ and } \text{accountability}(c, h, d) \text{ and } \text{greater}(j, i) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{a2_FOL}_4, \text{hypothesis})$

$(\text{organization}(a, b) \text{ and } \text{organization}(c, d) \text{ and } \text{reorganization_free}(a, b, b) \text{ and } \text{reorganization_free}(c, d, d) \text{ and } \text{class}(a, e, b) \text{ and } \text{class}(c, h, d) \text{ and } \text{greater}(g, f) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{t9_FOL}_5, \text{hypothesis})$

$\text{organization}(\text{sk}_2, \text{sk}_{11}) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{t10_FOL}_6, \text{negated_conjecture})$

$\text{organization}(\text{sk}_3, \text{sk}_{12}) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{t10_FOL}_7, \text{negated_conjecture})$

$\text{reorganization_free}(\text{sk}_2, \text{sk}_{11}, \text{sk}_{11}) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{t10_FOL}_8, \text{negated_conjecture})$

$\text{reorganization_free}(\text{sk}_3, \text{sk}_{12}, \text{sk}_{12}) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{t10_FOL}_9, \text{negated_conjecture})$

$\text{class}(\text{sk}_2, \text{sk}_4, \text{sk}_{11}) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{t10_FOL}_{10}, \text{negated_conjecture})$

$\text{class}(\text{sk}_3, \text{sk}_4, \text{sk}_{12}) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{t10_FOL}_{11}, \text{negated_conjecture})$

$\text{reliability}(\text{sk}_2, \text{sk}_5, \text{sk}_{11}) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{t10_FOL}_{12}, \text{negated_conjecture})$

$\text{reliability}(\text{sk}_3, \text{sk}_6, \text{sk}_{12}) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{t10_FOL}_{13}, \text{negated_conjecture})$

$\text{accountability}(\text{sk}_2, \text{sk}_7, \text{sk}_{11}) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{t10_FOL}_{14}, \text{negated_conjecture})$

$\text{accountability}(\text{sk}_3, \text{sk}_8, \text{sk}_{12}) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{t10_FOL}_{15}, \text{negated_conjecture})$

$\text{size}(\text{sk}_2, \text{sk}_9, \text{sk}_{11}) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{t10_FOL}_{16}, \text{negated_conjecture})$

$\text{size}(\text{sk}_3, \text{sk}_{10}, \text{sk}_{12}) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{t10_FOL}_{17}, \text{negated_conjecture})$

$\text{greater}(\text{sk}_{10}, \text{sk}_9) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{t10_FOL}_{18}, \text{negated_conjecture})$

$\text{greater}(\text{sk}_6, \text{sk}_5) \Rightarrow \neg \text{greater}(\text{sk}_8, \text{sk}_7) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{t10_FOL}_{19}, \text{negated_conjecture})$

MGT011+1.p Organizational size cannot decrease without reorganization

$\forall x, t: (\text{organization}(x, t) \Rightarrow \exists i: \text{inertia}(x, i, t)) \quad \text{fof}(\text{mp}_5, \text{axiom})$

$\forall x, y: \neg \text{greater}(x, y) \text{ and } x = y \quad \text{fof}(\text{mp6}_1, \text{axiom})$

$\forall x, y: \neg \text{greater}(x, y) \text{ and } \text{greater}(y, x) \quad \text{fof}(\text{mp6}_2, \text{axiom})$

$\forall x, t: (\text{organization}(x, t) \Rightarrow \exists c: \text{class}(x, c, t)) \quad \text{fof}(\text{mp}_9, \text{axiom})$

$\forall x, t_1, t_2, c_1, c_2: ((\text{organization}(x, t_1) \text{ and } \text{organization}(x, t_2) \text{ and } \text{reorganization_free}(x, t_1, t_2) \text{ and } \text{class}(x, c_1, t_1) \text{ and } \text{class}(x, c_2, t_2) \text{ and } c_1 = c_2) \quad \text{fof}(\text{mp}_{10}, \text{axiom})$

$\forall x, y, c, s_1, s_2, i_1, i_2, t_1, t_2: ((\text{organization}(x, t_1) \text{ and } \text{organization}(y, t_2) \text{ and } \text{class}(x, c, t_1) \text{ and } \text{class}(y, c, t_2) \text{ and } \text{size}(x, s_1, t_1) \text{ and } \text{size}(y, s_2, t_2) \text{ and } \text{greater}(i_2, i_1)) \quad \text{fof}(\text{a5_FOL}, \text{hypothesis})$

$\forall x, i_1, i_2, t_1, t_2: ((\text{organization}(x, t_1) \text{ and } \text{organization}(x, t_2) \text{ and } \text{reorganization_free}(x, t_1, t_2) \text{ and } \text{inertia}(x, i_1, t_1) \text{ and } \text{inertia}(x, i_2, t_2) \text{ and } \text{greater}(i_2, i_1)) \quad \text{fof}(\text{t2_FOL}, \text{hypothesis})$

$\forall x, s_1, s_2, t_1, t_2: ((\text{organization}(x, t_1) \text{ and } \text{organization}(x, t_2) \text{ and } \text{reorganization_free}(x, t_1, t_2) \text{ and } \text{size}(x, s_1, t_1) \text{ and } \text{size}(x, s_2, t_2) \text{ and } \neg \text{greater}(s_1, s_2)) \quad \text{fof}(\text{t11_FOL}, \text{conjecture})$

MGT011-1.p Organizational size cannot decrease without reorganization

$\text{organization}(a, b) \Rightarrow \text{inertia}(a, \text{sk}_1(b, a), b) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{mp5}_{20}, \text{axiom})$

$\text{greater}(a, b) \Rightarrow a \neq b \quad \text{cnf}(\text{mp6.1}_{21}, \text{axiom})$

$\text{greater}(a, b) \Rightarrow \neg \text{greater}(b, a) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{mp6.2}_{22}, \text{axiom})$

$\text{organization}(a, b) \Rightarrow \text{class}(a, \text{sk}_2(b, a), b) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{mp9}_{23}, \text{axiom})$

$(\text{organization}(a, b) \text{ and } \text{organization}(a, c) \text{ and } \text{reorganization_free}(a, b, c) \text{ and } \text{class}(a, d, b) \text{ and } \text{class}(a, e, c)) \Rightarrow d = e$
 $\text{cnf}(\text{mp10}_{24}, \text{axiom})$
 $(\text{organization}(a, b) \text{ and } \text{organization}(c, d) \text{ and } \text{class}(a, e, b) \text{ and } \text{class}(c, e, d) \text{ and } \text{size}(a, f, b) \text{ and } \text{size}(c, g, d) \text{ and } \text{inertia}(a, h, b) \text{ and } \text{greater}(i, h))$
 $\text{cnf}(\text{a5_FOL}_{25}, \text{hypothesis})$
 $(\text{organization}(a, b) \text{ and } \text{organization}(a, c) \text{ and } \text{reorganization_free}(a, b, c) \text{ and } \text{inertia}(a, d, b) \text{ and } \text{inertia}(a, e, c) \text{ and } \text{greater}(c, e, d))$
 $\text{cnf}(\text{t2_FOL}_{26}, \text{hypothesis})$
 $\text{organization}(\text{sk}_3, \text{sk}_6) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{t11_FOL}_{27}, \text{negated_conjecture})$
 $\text{organization}(\text{sk}_3, \text{sk}_7) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{t11_FOL}_{28}, \text{negated_conjecture})$
 $\text{reorganization_free}(\text{sk}_3, \text{sk}_6, \text{sk}_7) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{t11_FOL}_{29}, \text{negated_conjecture})$
 $\text{size}(\text{sk}_3, \text{sk}_4, \text{sk}_6) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{t11_FOL}_{30}, \text{negated_conjecture})$
 $\text{size}(\text{sk}_3, \text{sk}_5, \text{sk}_7) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{t11_FOL}_{31}, \text{negated_conjecture})$
 $\text{greater}(\text{sk}_7, \text{sk}_6) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{t11_FOL}_{32}, \text{negated_conjecture})$
 $\text{greater}(\text{sk}_4, \text{sk}_5) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{t11_FOL}_{33}, \text{negated_conjecture})$

MGT012+1.p Complexity of an organization cannot get smaller by age

Complexity of an organization cannot get smaller by age in lack of reorganization.

$\forall x, t: (\text{organization}(x, t) \Rightarrow \exists i: \text{inertia}(x, i, t)) \quad \text{fof}(\text{mp}_5, \text{axiom})$
 $\forall x, y: \neg \text{greater}(x, y) \text{ and } x = y \quad \text{fof}(\text{mp}_6_1, \text{axiom})$
 $\forall x, y: \neg \text{greater}(x, y) \text{ and } \text{greater}(y, x) \quad \text{fof}(\text{mp}_6_2, \text{axiom})$
 $\forall x, t: (\text{organization}(x, t) \Rightarrow \exists c: \text{class}(x, c, t)) \quad \text{fof}(\text{mp}_9, \text{axiom})$
 $\forall x, t_1, t_2, c_1, c_2: ((\text{organization}(x, t_1) \text{ and } \text{organization}(x, t_2) \text{ and } \text{reorganization_free}(x, t_1, t_2) \text{ and } \text{class}(x, c_1, t_1) \text{ and } \text{class}(x, c_2, t_2)) \Rightarrow c_1 = c_2) \quad \text{fof}(\text{mp}_{10}, \text{axiom})$
 $\forall x, y, c, c_1, c_2, i_1, i_2, t_1, t_2: ((\text{organization}(x, t_1) \text{ and } \text{organization}(y, t_2) \text{ and } \text{class}(x, c, t_1) \text{ and } \text{class}(y, c, t_2) \text{ and } \text{complexity}(x, i_1, t_1) \text{ and } \text{greater}(i_2, i_1)) \Rightarrow \text{complexity}(y, i_2, t_2) \geq \text{complexity}(x, i_1, t_1)) \quad \text{fof}(\text{a12_FOL}, \text{hypothesis})$
 $\forall x, i_1, i_2, t_1, t_2: ((\text{organization}(x, t_1) \text{ and } \text{organization}(x, t_2) \text{ and } \text{reorganization_free}(x, t_1, t_2) \text{ and } \text{inertia}(x, i_1, t_1) \text{ and } \text{inertia}(x, i_2, t_2)) \Rightarrow \text{greater}(i_2, i_1)) \quad \text{fof}(\text{t2_FOL}, \text{hypothesis})$
 $\forall x, c_1, c_2, t_1, t_2: ((\text{organization}(x, t_1) \text{ and } \text{organization}(x, t_2) \text{ and } \text{reorganization_free}(x, t_1, t_2) \text{ and } \text{complexity}(x, c_1, t_1) \text{ and } \text{complexity}(x, c_2, t_2) \text{ and } \neg \text{greater}(c_1, c_2)) \Rightarrow \text{fof}(\text{t12_FOL}, \text{conjecture}))$

MGT012-1.p Complexity of an organization cannot get smaller by age

Complexity of an organization cannot get smaller by age in lack of reorganization.

$\text{organization}(a, b) \Rightarrow \text{inertia}(a, \text{sk}_1(b, a), b) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{mp}_5_{20}, \text{axiom})$
 $\text{greater}(a, b) \Rightarrow a \neq b \quad \text{cnf}(\text{mp}_6_1_{21}, \text{axiom})$
 $\text{greater}(a, b) \Rightarrow \neg \text{greater}(b, a) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{mp}_6_2_{22}, \text{axiom})$
 $\text{organization}(a, b) \Rightarrow \text{class}(a, \text{sk}_2(b, a), b) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{mp}_9_{23}, \text{axiom})$
 $(\text{organization}(a, b) \text{ and } \text{organization}(a, c) \text{ and } \text{reorganization_free}(a, b, c) \text{ and } \text{class}(a, d, b) \text{ and } \text{class}(a, e, c)) \Rightarrow d = e$
 $\text{cnf}(\text{mp10}_{24}, \text{axiom})$
 $(\text{organization}(a, b) \text{ and } \text{organization}(c, d) \text{ and } \text{class}(a, e, b) \text{ and } \text{class}(c, e, d) \text{ and } \text{complexity}(a, f, b) \text{ and } \text{complexity}(c, g, d) \text{ and } \text{inertia}(a, h, b) \text{ and } \text{greater}(i, h))$
 $\text{cnf}(\text{a12_FOL}_{25}, \text{hypothesis})$
 $(\text{organization}(a, b) \text{ and } \text{organization}(a, c) \text{ and } \text{reorganization_free}(a, b, c) \text{ and } \text{inertia}(a, d, b) \text{ and } \text{inertia}(a, e, c) \text{ and } \text{greater}(c, e, d))$
 $\text{cnf}(\text{t2_FOL}_{26}, \text{hypothesis})$
 $\text{organization}(\text{sk}_3, \text{sk}_6) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{t12_FOL}_{27}, \text{negated_conjecture})$
 $\text{organization}(\text{sk}_3, \text{sk}_7) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{t12_FOL}_{28}, \text{negated_conjecture})$
 $\text{reorganization_free}(\text{sk}_3, \text{sk}_6, \text{sk}_7) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{t12_FOL}_{29}, \text{negated_conjecture})$
 $\text{complexity}(\text{sk}_3, \text{sk}_4, \text{sk}_6) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{t12_FOL}_{30}, \text{negated_conjecture})$
 $\text{complexity}(\text{sk}_3, \text{sk}_5, \text{sk}_7) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{t12_FOL}_{31}, \text{negated_conjecture})$
 $\text{greater}(\text{sk}_7, \text{sk}_6) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{t12_FOL}_{32}, \text{negated_conjecture})$
 $\text{greater}(\text{sk}_4, \text{sk}_5) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{t12_FOL}_{33}, \text{negated_conjecture})$

MGT013+1.p If organization complexity increases, its size cannot decrease

If the complexity of an organization gets bigger, its size cannot get smaller (in lack of reorganization).

$\forall x, y: \neg \text{greater}(x, y) \text{ and } x = y \quad \text{fof}(\text{mp}_6_1, \text{axiom})$
 $\forall x, y: \neg \text{greater}(x, y) \text{ and } \text{greater}(y, x) \quad \text{fof}(\text{mp}_6_2, \text{axiom})$
 $\forall x, t: (\text{organization}(x, t) \Rightarrow \text{time}(t)) \quad \text{fof}(\text{mp}_{15}, \text{axiom})$
 $\forall t_1, t_2: ((\text{time}(t_1) \text{ and } \text{time}(t_2)) \Rightarrow (\text{greater}(t_1, t_2) \text{ or } t_1 = t_2 \text{ or } \text{greater}(t_2, t_1))) \quad \text{fof}(\text{mp}_{16}, \text{axiom})$
 $\forall x, t_1, t_2: (\text{reorganization_free}(x, t_1, t_2) \Rightarrow \text{reorganization_free}(x, t_2, t_1)) \quad \text{fof}(\text{mp}_{17}, \text{axiom})$
 $\forall x, c_1, c_2, t_1, t_2: ((\text{organization}(x, t_1) \text{ and } \text{organization}(x, t_2) \text{ and } \text{complexity}(x, c_1, t_1) \text{ and } \text{complexity}(x, c_2, t_2) \text{ and } t_1 = t_2) \Rightarrow c_1 = c_2) \quad \text{fof}(\text{mp}_{18}, \text{axiom})$
 $\forall x, s_1, s_2, t_1, t_2: ((\text{organization}(x, t_1) \text{ and } \text{organization}(x, t_2) \text{ and } \text{reorganization_free}(x, t_1, t_2) \text{ and } \text{size}(x, s_1, t_1) \text{ and } \text{size}(x, s_2, t_2) \text{ and } \neg \text{greater}(s_1, s_2)) \Rightarrow \text{fof}(\text{t11_FOL}, \text{hypothesis}))$

$\forall x, c_1, c_2, t_1, t_2: ((\text{organization}(x, t_1) \text{ and } \text{organization}(x, t_2) \text{ and } \text{reorganization_free}(x, t_1, t_2) \text{ and } \text{complexity}(x, c_1, t_1) \text{ and } \text{complexity}(x, c_2, t_1) \text{ and } \neg \text{greater}(c_1, c_2)) \text{ fof}(\text{t12_FOL, hypothesis})$
 $\forall x, c_1, c_2, s_1, s_2, t_1, t_2: ((\text{organization}(x, t_1) \text{ and } \text{organization}(x, t_2) \text{ and } \text{reorganization_free}(x, t_1, t_2) \text{ and } \text{complexity}(x, c_1, t_1) \text{ and } \text{complexity}(x, c_2, t_1) \text{ and } \neg \text{greater}(s_1, s_2)) \text{ fof}(\text{t13_FOL, conjecture})$

MGT013-1.p If organization complexity increases, its size cannot decrease

If the complexity of an organization gets bigger, its size cannot get smaller (in lack of reorganization).

$\text{greater}(a, b) \Rightarrow a \neq b \quad \text{cnf}(\text{mp6_1}_{18}, \text{axiom})$
 $\text{greater}(a, b) \Rightarrow \neg \text{greater}(b, a) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{mp6_2}_{19}, \text{axiom})$
 $\text{organization}(a, b) \Rightarrow \text{time}(b) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{mp15}_{20}, \text{axiom})$
 $(\text{time}(a) \text{ and } \text{time}(b)) \Rightarrow (\text{greater}(a, b) \text{ or } a = b \text{ or } \text{greater}(b, a)) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{mp16}_{21}, \text{axiom})$
 $\text{reorganization_free}(a, b, c) \Rightarrow \text{reorganization_free}(a, c, b) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{mp17}_{22}, \text{axiom})$
 $(\text{organization}(a, b) \text{ and } \text{organization}(a, c) \text{ and } \text{complexity}(a, d, b) \text{ and } \text{complexity}(a, e, c) \text{ and } b = c) \Rightarrow d = e \quad \text{cnf}(\text{mp18}_{23}, \text{axiom})$
 $(\text{organization}(a, b) \text{ and } \text{organization}(a, c) \text{ and } \text{reorganization_free}(a, b, c) \text{ and } \text{size}(a, d, b) \text{ and } \text{size}(a, e, c) \text{ and } \text{greater}(c, b)) \Rightarrow \neg \text{greater}(d, e) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{t11_FOL}_{24}, \text{hypothesis})$
 $(\text{organization}(a, b) \text{ and } \text{organization}(a, c) \text{ and } \text{reorganization_free}(a, b, c) \text{ and } \text{complexity}(a, d, b) \text{ and } \text{complexity}(a, e, c) \text{ and } \text{greater}(c, b)) \Rightarrow \neg \text{greater}(d, e) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{t12_FOL}_{25}, \text{hypothesis})$
 $\text{organization}(\text{sk}_1, \text{sk}_6) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{t13_FOL}_{26}, \text{negated_conjecture})$
 $\text{organization}(\text{sk}_1, \text{sk}_7) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{t13_FOL}_{27}, \text{negated_conjecture})$
 $\text{reorganization_free}(\text{sk}_1, \text{sk}_6, \text{sk}_7) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{t13_FOL}_{28}, \text{negated_conjecture})$
 $\text{complexity}(\text{sk}_1, \text{sk}_2, \text{sk}_6) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{t13_FOL}_{29}, \text{negated_conjecture})$
 $\text{complexity}(\text{sk}_1, \text{sk}_3, \text{sk}_7) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{t13_FOL}_{30}, \text{negated_conjecture})$
 $\text{size}(\text{sk}_1, \text{sk}_4, \text{sk}_6) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{t13_FOL}_{31}, \text{negated_conjecture})$
 $\text{size}(\text{sk}_1, \text{sk}_5, \text{sk}_7) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{t13_FOL}_{32}, \text{negated_conjecture})$
 $\text{greater}(\text{sk}_3, \text{sk}_2) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{t13_FOL}_{33}, \text{negated_conjecture})$
 $\text{greater}(\text{sk}_4, \text{sk}_5) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{t13_FOL}_{34}, \text{negated_conjecture})$

MGT014+1.p If organization size increases, its complexity cannot decrease

If the size of an organization gets bigger, its complexity cannot get smaller (in lack of reorganization).

$\forall x, y: \neg \text{greater}(x, y) \text{ and } x = y \quad \text{fof}(\text{mp6}_1, \text{axiom})$
 $\forall x, y: \neg \text{greater}(x, y) \text{ and } \text{greater}(y, x) \quad \text{fof}(\text{mp6}_2, \text{axiom})$
 $\forall x, t: (\text{organization}(x, t) \Rightarrow \text{time}(t)) \quad \text{fof}(\text{mp15}, \text{axiom})$
 $\forall t_1, t_2: ((\text{time}(t_1) \text{ and } \text{time}(t_2)) \Rightarrow (\text{greater}(t_1, t_2) \text{ or } t_1 = t_2 \text{ or } \text{greater}(t_2, t_1))) \quad \text{fof}(\text{mp16}, \text{axiom})$
 $\forall x, t_1, t_2: (\text{reorganization_free}(x, t_1, t_2) \Rightarrow \text{reorganization_free}(x, t_2, t_1)) \quad \text{fof}(\text{mp17}, \text{axiom})$
 $\forall x, s_1, s_2, t_1, t_2: ((\text{organization}(x, t_1) \text{ and } \text{organization}(x, t_2) \text{ and } \text{size}(x, s_1, t_1) \text{ and } \text{size}(x, s_2, t_2) \text{ and } t_1 = t_2) \Rightarrow s_1 = s_2) \quad \text{fof}(\text{mp19}, \text{axiom})$
 $\forall x, s_1, s_2, t_1, t_2: ((\text{organization}(x, t_1) \text{ and } \text{organization}(x, t_2) \text{ and } \text{reorganization_free}(x, t_1, t_2) \text{ and } \text{size}(x, s_1, t_1) \text{ and } \text{size}(x, s_2, t_2) \text{ and } \neg \text{greater}(s_1, s_2)) \text{ fof}(\text{t11_FOL, hypothesis})$
 $\forall x, c_1, c_2, t_1, t_2: ((\text{organization}(x, t_1) \text{ and } \text{organization}(x, t_2) \text{ and } \text{reorganization_free}(x, t_1, t_2) \text{ and } \text{complexity}(x, c_1, t_1) \text{ and } \text{complexity}(x, c_2, t_1) \text{ and } \neg \text{greater}(c_1, c_2)) \text{ fof}(\text{t12_FOL, hypothesis})$
 $\forall x, c_1, c_2, s_1, s_2, t_1, t_2: ((\text{organization}(x, t_1) \text{ and } \text{organization}(x, t_2) \text{ and } \text{reorganization_free}(x, t_1, t_2) \text{ and } \text{complexity}(x, c_1, t_1) \text{ and } \text{complexity}(x, c_2, t_1) \text{ and } \neg \text{greater}(c_1, c_2)) \text{ fof}(\text{t14_FOL, conjecture})$

MGT014-1.p If organization size increases, its complexity cannot decrease

If the size of an organization gets bigger, its complexity cannot get smaller (in lack of reorganization).

$\text{greater}(a, b) \Rightarrow a \neq b \quad \text{cnf}(\text{mp6_1}_{18}, \text{axiom})$
 $\text{greater}(a, b) \Rightarrow \neg \text{greater}(b, a) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{mp6_2}_{19}, \text{axiom})$
 $\text{organization}(a, b) \Rightarrow \text{time}(b) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{mp15}_{20}, \text{axiom})$
 $(\text{time}(a) \text{ and } \text{time}(b)) \Rightarrow (\text{greater}(a, b) \text{ or } a = b \text{ or } \text{greater}(b, a)) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{mp16}_{21}, \text{axiom})$
 $\text{reorganization_free}(a, b, c) \Rightarrow \text{reorganization_free}(a, c, b) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{mp17}_{22}, \text{axiom})$
 $(\text{organization}(a, b) \text{ and } \text{organization}(a, c) \text{ and } \text{size}(a, d, b) \text{ and } \text{size}(a, e, c) \text{ and } b = c) \Rightarrow d = e \quad \text{cnf}(\text{mp19}_{23}, \text{axiom})$
 $(\text{organization}(a, b) \text{ and } \text{organization}(a, c) \text{ and } \text{reorganization_free}(a, b, c) \text{ and } \text{size}(a, d, b) \text{ and } \text{size}(a, e, c) \text{ and } \text{greater}(c, b)) \Rightarrow \neg \text{greater}(d, e) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{t11_FOL}_{24}, \text{hypothesis})$
 $(\text{organization}(a, b) \text{ and } \text{organization}(a, c) \text{ and } \text{reorganization_free}(a, b, c) \text{ and } \text{complexity}(a, d, b) \text{ and } \text{complexity}(a, e, c) \text{ and } \text{greater}(c, b)) \Rightarrow \neg \text{greater}(d, e) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{t12_FOL}_{25}, \text{hypothesis})$
 $\text{organization}(\text{sk}_1, \text{sk}_6) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{t14_FOL}_{26}, \text{negated_conjecture})$
 $\text{organization}(\text{sk}_1, \text{sk}_7) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{t14_FOL}_{27}, \text{negated_conjecture})$
 $\text{reorganization_free}(\text{sk}_1, \text{sk}_6, \text{sk}_7) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{t14_FOL}_{28}, \text{negated_conjecture})$
 $\text{complexity}(\text{sk}_1, \text{sk}_2, \text{sk}_6) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{t14_FOL}_{29}, \text{negated_conjecture})$
 $\text{complexity}(\text{sk}_1, \text{sk}_3, \text{sk}_7) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{t14_FOL}_{30}, \text{negated_conjecture})$
 $\text{size}(\text{sk}_1, \text{sk}_4, \text{sk}_6) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{t14_FOL}_{31}, \text{negated_conjecture})$

$\text{size}(\text{sk}_1, \text{sk}_5, \text{sk}_7) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{t14_FOL}_{32}, \text{negated_conjecture})$
 $\text{greater}(\text{sk}_5, \text{sk}_4) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{t14_FOL}_{33}, \text{negated_conjecture})$
 $\text{greater}(\text{sk}_2, \text{sk}_3) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{t14_FOL}_{34}, \text{negated_conjecture})$

MGT015+1.p Complexity increases the expected duration of reorganisation.

$\forall x, t: (\text{organization}(x, t) \Rightarrow \exists i: \text{inertia}(x, i, t)) \quad \text{fof}(\text{mp}_5, \text{axiom})$
 $\forall x, y, c, c_1, c_2, i_1, i_2, t_1, t_2: ((\text{organization}(x, t_1) \text{ and } \text{organization}(y, t_2) \text{ and } \text{class}(x, c, t_1) \text{ and } \text{class}(y, c, t_2) \text{ and } \text{complexity}(x, \text{greater}(i_2, i_1))) \quad \text{fof}(\text{a12_FOL}, \text{hypothesis})$
 $\forall x, y, \text{rt}, c, i_1, i_2, \text{ta}, \text{tb}, \text{tc}: ((\text{organization}(x, \text{ta}) \text{ and } \text{organization}(y, \text{ta}) \text{ and } \text{organization}(y, \text{tc}) \text{ and } \text{class}(x, c, \text{ta}) \text{ and } \text{class}(y, \text{greater}(\text{tc}, \text{tb}))) \quad \text{fof}(\text{a13_FOL}, \text{hypothesis})$
 $\forall x, y, \text{re}, c, c_1, c_2, \text{ta}, \text{tb}, \text{tc}: ((\text{organization}(x, \text{ta}) \text{ and } \text{organization}(y, \text{ta}) \text{ and } \text{organization}(y, \text{tc}) \text{ and } \text{class}(x, c, \text{ta}) \text{ and } \text{class}(y, \text{greater}(\text{tc}, \text{tb}))) \quad \text{fof}(\text{t15_FOL}, \text{conjecture})$

MGT015-1.p Complexity increases the expected duration of reorganisation.

$\text{organization}(a, b) \Rightarrow \text{inertia}(a, \text{sk}_1(b, a), b) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{mp}_5_1, \text{axiom})$
 $(\text{organization}(a, b) \text{ and } \text{organization}(c, d) \text{ and } \text{class}(a, e, b) \text{ and } \text{class}(c, e, d) \text{ and } \text{complexity}(a, f, b) \text{ and } \text{complexity}(c, g, d) \text{ and } \text{greater}(i, h)) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{a12_FOL}_2, \text{hypothesis})$
 $(\text{organization}(a, b) \text{ and } \text{organization}(c, b) \text{ and } \text{organization}(c, d) \text{ and } \text{class}(a, e, b) \text{ and } \text{class}(c, e, b) \text{ and } \text{reorganization}(a, b, f) \text{ and } \text{greater}(d, f)) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{a13_FOL}_3, \text{hypothesis})$
 $\text{organization}(\text{sk}_2, \text{sk}_8) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{t15_FOL}_4, \text{negated_conjecture})$
 $\text{organization}(\text{sk}_3, \text{sk}_8) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{t15_FOL}_5, \text{negated_conjecture})$
 $\text{organization}(\text{sk}_3, \text{sk}_{10}) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{t15_FOL}_6, \text{negated_conjecture})$
 $\text{class}(\text{sk}_2, \text{sk}_5, \text{sk}_8) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{t15_FOL}_7, \text{negated_conjecture})$
 $\text{class}(\text{sk}_3, \text{sk}_5, \text{sk}_8) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{t15_FOL}_8, \text{negated_conjecture})$
 $\text{reorganization}(\text{sk}_2, \text{sk}_8, \text{sk}_9) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{t15_FOL}_9, \text{negated_conjecture})$
 $\text{reorganization}(\text{sk}_3, \text{sk}_8, \text{sk}_{10}) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{t15_FOL}_{10}, \text{negated_conjecture})$
 $\text{reorganization_type}(\text{sk}_2, \text{sk}_4, \text{sk}_8) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{t15_FOL}_{11}, \text{negated_conjecture})$
 $\text{reorganization_type}(\text{sk}_3, \text{sk}_4, \text{sk}_8) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{t15_FOL}_{12}, \text{negated_conjecture})$
 $\text{complexity}(\text{sk}_2, \text{sk}_6, \text{sk}_8) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{t15_FOL}_{13}, \text{negated_conjecture})$
 $\text{complexity}(\text{sk}_3, \text{sk}_7, \text{sk}_8) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{t15_FOL}_{14}, \text{negated_conjecture})$
 $\text{greater}(\text{sk}_7, \text{sk}_6) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{t15_FOL}_{15}, \text{negated_conjecture})$
 $\neg \text{greater}(\text{sk}_{10}, \text{sk}_9) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{t15_FOL}_{16}, \text{negated_conjecture})$

MGT016+1.p More complex organizations have shorter reorganization

The more complex an organization is at the beginning of reorganization, the sooner disbanding due to reorganization (possibly) happens - i.e., the shorter is the reorganization.

$\forall x, t: (\text{organization}(x, t) \Rightarrow \exists i: \text{inertia}(x, i, t)) \quad \text{fof}(\text{mp}_5, \text{axiom})$
 $\forall x, y, c, c_1, c_2, i_1, i_2, t_1, t_2: ((\text{organization}(x, t_1) \text{ and } \text{organization}(y, t_2) \text{ and } \text{class}(x, c, t_1) \text{ and } \text{class}(y, c, t_2) \text{ and } \text{complexity}(x, \text{greater}(i_2, i_1))) \quad \text{fof}(\text{a12_FOL}, \text{hypothesis})$
 $\forall x, y, \text{rt}, c, i_1, i_2, \text{ta}, \text{tb}, \text{tc}: ((\text{organization}(x, \text{ta}) \text{ and } \text{organization}(y, \text{ta}) \text{ and } \neg \text{organization}(y, \text{tc}) \text{ and } \text{class}(x, c, \text{ta}) \text{ and } \text{class}(y, \text{greater}(\text{tb}, \text{tc}))) \quad \text{fof}(\text{a14_FOL}, \text{hypothesis})$
 $\forall x, y, \text{rt}, c, c_1, c_2, \text{ta}, \text{tb}, \text{tc}: ((\text{organization}(x, \text{ta}) \text{ and } \text{organization}(y, \text{ta}) \text{ and } \neg \text{organization}(y, \text{tc}) \text{ and } \text{class}(x, c, \text{ta}) \text{ and } \text{class}(y, \text{greater}(\text{tb}, \text{tc}))) \quad \text{fof}(\text{t16_FOL}, \text{conjecture})$

MGT016-1.p More complex organizations have shorter reorganization

The more complex an organization is at the beginning of reorganization, the sooner disbanding due to reorganization (possibly) happens - i.e., the shorter is the reorganization.

$\text{organization}(a, b) \Rightarrow \text{inertia}(a, \text{sk}_1(b, a), b) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{mp}_5_1, \text{axiom})$
 $(\text{organization}(a, b) \text{ and } \text{organization}(c, d) \text{ and } \text{class}(a, e, b) \text{ and } \text{class}(c, e, d) \text{ and } \text{complexity}(a, f, b) \text{ and } \text{complexity}(c, g, d) \text{ and } \text{greater}(i, h)) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{a12_FOL}_2, \text{hypothesis})$
 $(\text{organization}(a, b) \text{ and } \text{organization}(c, b) \text{ and } \text{class}(a, e, b) \text{ and } \text{class}(c, e, b) \text{ and } \text{reorganization}(a, b, f) \text{ and } \text{reorganization}(c, b, f) \text{ and } (\text{organization}(c, d) \text{ or } \text{greater}(f, d))) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{a14_FOL}_3, \text{hypothesis})$
 $\text{organization}(\text{sk}_2, \text{sk}_8) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{t16_FOL}_4, \text{negated_conjecture})$
 $\text{organization}(\text{sk}_3, \text{sk}_8) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{t16_FOL}_5, \text{negated_conjecture})$
 $\neg \text{organization}(\text{sk}_3, \text{sk}_{10}) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{t16_FOL}_6, \text{negated_conjecture})$
 $\text{class}(\text{sk}_2, \text{sk}_5, \text{sk}_8) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{t16_FOL}_7, \text{negated_conjecture})$
 $\text{class}(\text{sk}_3, \text{sk}_5, \text{sk}_8) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{t16_FOL}_8, \text{negated_conjecture})$
 $\text{reorganization}(\text{sk}_2, \text{sk}_8, \text{sk}_9) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{t16_FOL}_9, \text{negated_conjecture})$
 $\text{reorganization}(\text{sk}_3, \text{sk}_8, \text{sk}_{10}) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{t16_FOL}_{10}, \text{negated_conjecture})$
 $\text{reorganization_type}(\text{sk}_2, \text{sk}_4, \text{sk}_8) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{t16_FOL}_{11}, \text{negated_conjecture})$
 $\text{reorganization_type}(\text{sk}_3, \text{sk}_4, \text{sk}_8) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{t16_FOL}_{12}, \text{negated_conjecture})$

$\text{complexity}(\text{sk}_2, \text{sk}_6, \text{sk}_8) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{t16_FOL}_{13}, \text{negated_conjecture})$
 $\text{complexity}(\text{sk}_3, \text{sk}_7, \text{sk}_8) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{t16_FOL}_{14}, \text{negated_conjecture})$
 $\text{greater}(\text{sk}_7, \text{sk}_6) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{t16_FOL}_{15}, \text{negated_conjecture})$
 $\neg \text{greater}(\text{sk}_9, \text{sk}_{10}) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{t16_FOL}_{16}, \text{negated_conjecture})$

MGT017+1.p Length of reorganisation proportional to organization size

The length of reorganizational period grows by the size the organization begins reorganization (if the bigger organization survives it).

$\forall x, t: (\text{organization}(x, t) \Rightarrow \exists i: \text{inertia}(x, i, t)) \quad \text{fof}(\text{mp}_5, \text{axiom})$

$\forall x, y, c, s_1, s_2, i_1, i_2, t_1, t_2: ((\text{organization}(x, t_1) \text{ and } \text{organization}(y, t_2) \text{ and } \text{class}(x, c, t_1) \text{ and } \text{class}(y, c, t_2) \text{ and } \text{size}(x, s_1, t_1) \text{ and } \text{greater}(i_2, i_1)) \quad \text{fof}(\text{a5_FOL}, \text{hypothesis})$

$\forall x, y, rt, c, i_1, i_2, ta, tb, tc: ((\text{organization}(x, ta) \text{ and } \text{organization}(y, ta) \text{ and } \text{organization}(y, tc) \text{ and } \text{class}(x, c, ta) \text{ and } \text{class}(y, c, tc) \text{ and } \text{greater}(tc, tb)) \quad \text{fof}(\text{a13_FOL}, \text{hypothesis})$

$\forall x, y, rt, c, s_1, s_2, ta, tb, tc: ((\text{organization}(x, ta) \text{ and } \text{organization}(y, ta) \text{ and } \text{organization}(y, tc) \text{ and } \text{class}(x, c, ta) \text{ and } \text{class}(y, c, tc) \text{ and } \text{greater}(tc, tb)) \quad \text{fof}(\text{t17_FOL}, \text{conjecture})$

MGT017-1.p Length of reorganisation proportional to organization size

The length of reorganizational period grows by the size the organization begins reorganization (if the bigger organization survives it).

$\text{organization}(a, b) \Rightarrow \text{inertia}(a, \text{sk}_1(b, a), b) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{mp}_5, \text{axiom})$

$(\text{organization}(a, b) \text{ and } \text{organization}(c, d) \text{ and } \text{class}(a, e, b) \text{ and } \text{class}(c, e, d) \text{ and } \text{size}(a, f, b) \text{ and } \text{size}(c, g, d) \text{ and } \text{inertia}(a, h, b) \text{ and } \text{greater}(i, h) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{a5_FOL}_2, \text{hypothesis})$

$(\text{organization}(a, b) \text{ and } \text{organization}(c, b) \text{ and } \text{organization}(c, d) \text{ and } \text{class}(a, e, b) \text{ and } \text{class}(c, e, b) \text{ and } \text{reorganization}(a, b, f) \text{ and } \text{greater}(d, f) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{a13_FOL}_3, \text{hypothesis})$

$\text{organization}(\text{sk}_2, \text{sk}_8) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{t17_FOL}_4, \text{negated_conjecture})$

$\text{organization}(\text{sk}_3, \text{sk}_8) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{t17_FOL}_5, \text{negated_conjecture})$

$\text{organization}(\text{sk}_3, \text{sk}_{10}) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{t17_FOL}_6, \text{negated_conjecture})$

$\text{class}(\text{sk}_2, \text{sk}_5, \text{sk}_8) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{t17_FOL}_7, \text{negated_conjecture})$

$\text{class}(\text{sk}_3, \text{sk}_5, \text{sk}_8) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{t17_FOL}_8, \text{negated_conjecture})$

$\text{reorganization}(\text{sk}_2, \text{sk}_8, \text{sk}_9) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{t17_FOL}_9, \text{negated_conjecture})$

$\text{reorganization}(\text{sk}_3, \text{sk}_8, \text{sk}_{10}) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{t17_FOL}_{10}, \text{negated_conjecture})$

$\text{reorganization_type}(\text{sk}_2, \text{sk}_4, \text{sk}_8) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{t17_FOL}_{11}, \text{negated_conjecture})$

$\text{reorganization_type}(\text{sk}_3, \text{sk}_4, \text{sk}_8) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{t17_FOL}_{12}, \text{negated_conjecture})$

$\text{size}(\text{sk}_2, \text{sk}_6, \text{sk}_8) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{t17_FOL}_{13}, \text{negated_conjecture})$

$\text{size}(\text{sk}_3, \text{sk}_7, \text{sk}_8) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{t17_FOL}_{14}, \text{negated_conjecture})$

$\text{greater}(\text{sk}_7, \text{sk}_6) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{t17_FOL}_{15}, \text{negated_conjecture})$

$\neg \text{greater}(\text{sk}_{10}, \text{sk}_9) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{t17_FOL}_{16}, \text{negated_conjecture})$

MGT018+1.p Larger organizations have shorter reorganization

The bigger an organization is at the beginning of reorganization, the sooner disbanding due to reorganization (possibly) happens - i.e., the shorter is the reorganization.

$\forall x, t: (\text{organization}(x, t) \Rightarrow \exists i: \text{inertia}(x, i, t)) \quad \text{fof}(\text{mp}_5, \text{axiom})$

$\forall x, y, c, s_1, s_2, i_1, i_2, t_1, t_2: ((\text{organization}(x, t_1) \text{ and } \text{organization}(y, t_2) \text{ and } \text{class}(x, c, t_1) \text{ and } \text{class}(y, c, t_2) \text{ and } \text{size}(x, s_1, t_1) \text{ and } \text{greater}(i_2, i_1)) \quad \text{fof}(\text{a5_FOL}, \text{hypothesis})$

$\forall x, y, rt, c, i_1, i_2, ta, tb, tc: ((\text{organization}(x, ta) \text{ and } \text{organization}(y, ta) \text{ and } \neg \text{organization}(y, tc) \text{ and } \text{class}(x, c, ta) \text{ and } \text{class}(y, c, tc) \text{ and } \text{greater}(tb, tc)) \quad \text{fof}(\text{a14_FOL}, \text{hypothesis})$

$\forall x, y, rt, c, s_1, s_2, ta, tb, tc: ((\text{organization}(x, ta) \text{ and } \text{organization}(y, ta) \text{ and } \neg \text{organization}(y, tc) \text{ and } \text{class}(x, c, ta) \text{ and } \text{class}(y, c, tc) \text{ and } \text{greater}(tb, tc)) \quad \text{fof}(\text{t18_FOL}, \text{conjecture})$

MGT018-1.p Larger organizations have shorter reorganization

The bigger an organization is at the beginning of reorganization, the sooner disbanding due to reorganization (possibly) happens - i.e., the shorter is the reorganization.

$\text{organization}(a, b) \Rightarrow \text{inertia}(a, \text{sk}_1(b, a), b) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{mp}_5, \text{axiom})$

$(\text{organization}(a, b) \text{ and } \text{organization}(c, d) \text{ and } \text{class}(a, e, b) \text{ and } \text{class}(c, e, d) \text{ and } \text{size}(a, f, b) \text{ and } \text{size}(c, g, d) \text{ and } \text{inertia}(a, h, b) \text{ and } \text{greater}(i, h) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{a5_FOL}_2, \text{hypothesis})$

$(\text{organization}(a, b) \text{ and } \text{organization}(c, b) \text{ and } \text{class}(a, e, b) \text{ and } \text{class}(c, e, b) \text{ and } \text{reorganization}(a, b, f) \text{ and } \text{reorganization}(c, b, f) \text{ and } (\text{organization}(c, d) \text{ or } \text{greater}(f, d)) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{a14_FOL}_3, \text{hypothesis})$

$\text{organization}(\text{sk}_2, \text{sk}_8) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{t18_FOL}_4, \text{negated_conjecture})$

$\text{organization}(\text{sk}_3, \text{sk}_8) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{t18_FOL}_5, \text{negated_conjecture})$

$\neg \text{organization}(\text{sk}_3, \text{sk}_{10}) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{t18_FOL}_6, \text{negated_conjecture})$

$\text{class}(\text{sk}_2, \text{sk}_5, \text{sk}_8) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{t18_FOL}_7, \text{negated_conjecture})$

$\text{class}(\text{sk}_3, \text{sk}_5, \text{sk}_8) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{t18_FOL}_8, \text{negated_conjecture})$
 $\text{reorganization}(\text{sk}_2, \text{sk}_8, \text{sk}_9) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{t18_FOL}_9, \text{negated_conjecture})$
 $\text{reorganization}(\text{sk}_3, \text{sk}_8, \text{sk}_{10}) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{t18_FOL}_{10}, \text{negated_conjecture})$
 $\text{reorganization_type}(\text{sk}_2, \text{sk}_4, \text{sk}_8) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{t18_FOL}_{11}, \text{negated_conjecture})$
 $\text{reorganization_type}(\text{sk}_3, \text{sk}_4, \text{sk}_8) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{t18_FOL}_{12}, \text{negated_conjecture})$
 $\text{size}(\text{sk}_2, \text{sk}_6, \text{sk}_8) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{t18_FOL}_{13}, \text{negated_conjecture})$
 $\text{size}(\text{sk}_3, \text{sk}_7, \text{sk}_8) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{t18_FOL}_{14}, \text{negated_conjecture})$
 $\text{greater}(\text{sk}_7, \text{sk}_6) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{t18_FOL}_{15}, \text{negated_conjecture})$
 $\neg \text{greater}(\text{sk}_9, \text{sk}_{10}) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{t18_FOL}_{16}, \text{negated_conjecture})$

MGT019+2.p Growth rate of EPs exceeds that of FMs in stable environments

The growth rate of efficient producers exceeds the growth rate of first movers past a certain time in stable environments.

$\neg \forall e, t: ((\text{environment}(e) \text{ and } \text{subpopulations}(\text{first_movers}, \text{efficient_producers}, e, t)) \Rightarrow \text{greater}(\text{disbanding_rate}(\text{first_movers}, t), \text{disbanding_rate}(\text{efficient_producers}, t)))$
 $\forall t: ((\text{greater}(\text{disbanding_rate}(\text{first_movers}, t), \text{disbanding_rate}(\text{efficient_producers}, t)) \text{ and } \text{greater_or_equal}(\text{founding_rate}(\text{efficient_producers}, t), \text{founding_rate}(\text{first_movers}, t))) \Rightarrow \text{greater}(\text{growth_rate}(\text{efficient_producers}, t), \text{growth_rate}(\text{first_movers}, t))) \quad \text{fof}(\text{mp_EP_lower_disbanding_rate}, \text{axiom})$
 $\forall x, y: (\text{greater_or_equal}(x, y) \Rightarrow (\text{greater}(x, y) \text{ or } x = y)) \quad \text{fof}(\text{mp_greater_or_equal}, \text{axiom})$
 $\forall e: ((\text{environment}(e) \text{ and } \text{stable}(e)) \Rightarrow \exists \text{to}: (\text{in_environment}(e, \text{to}) \text{ and } \forall t: ((\text{subpopulations}(\text{first_movers}, \text{efficient_producers}, e, t), \text{greater_or_equal}(\text{founding_rate}(\text{efficient_producers}, t), \text{founding_rate}(\text{first_movers}, t)))) \Rightarrow \text{greater}(\text{growth_rate}(\text{efficient_producers}, t), \text{growth_rate}(\text{first_movers}, t)))) \quad \text{fof}(a_8, \text{hypothesis})$
 $\forall e: ((\text{environment}(e) \text{ and } \text{stable}(e)) \Rightarrow \exists \text{to}: (\text{in_environment}(e, \text{to}) \text{ and } \forall t: ((\text{subpopulations}(\text{first_movers}, \text{efficient_producers}, e, t), \text{greater}(\text{growth_rate}(\text{efficient_producers}, t), \text{growth_rate}(\text{first_movers}, t)))) \Rightarrow \text{greater}(\text{growth_rate}(\text{efficient_producers}, t), \text{growth_rate}(\text{first_movers}, t)))) \quad \text{fof}(\text{prove_l1}, \text{conjecture})$

MGT019-2.p Growth rate of EPs exceeds that of FMs in stable environments

The growth rate of efficient producers exceeds the growth rate of first movers past a certain time in stable environments.

$\text{environment}(\text{sk}_1) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{l2}_{22}, \text{axiom})$
 $\text{subpopulations}(\text{first_movers}, \text{efficient_producers}, \text{sk}_1, \text{sk}_2) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{l2}_{23}, \text{axiom})$
 $\neg \text{greater}(\text{disbanding_rate}(\text{first_movers}, \text{sk}_2), \text{disbanding_rate}(\text{efficient_producers}, \text{sk}_2)) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{l2}_{24}, \text{axiom})$
 $(\text{greater}(\text{disbanding_rate}(\text{first_movers}, a), \text{disbanding_rate}(\text{efficient_producers}, a)) \text{ and } \text{greater_or_equal}(\text{founding_rate}(\text{efficient_producers}, a), \text{founding_rate}(\text{first_movers}, a))) \Rightarrow \text{greater}(\text{growth_rate}(\text{efficient_producers}, a), \text{growth_rate}(\text{first_movers}, a)) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{mp_EP_lower_disbanding_rate}_{25}, \text{axiom})$
 $\text{greater_or_equal}(a, b) \Rightarrow (\text{greater}(a, b) \text{ or } a = b) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{mp_greater_or_equal}_{26}, \text{axiom})$
 $(\text{environment}(a) \text{ and } \text{stable}(a)) \Rightarrow \text{in_environment}(a, \text{sk}_3(a)) \quad \text{cnf}(a_{8}_{27}, \text{hypothesis})$
 $(\text{environment}(a) \text{ and } \text{stable}(a) \text{ and } \text{subpopulations}(\text{first_movers}, \text{efficient_producers}, a, b) \text{ and } \text{greater_or_equal}(b, \text{sk}_3(a))) \Rightarrow \text{greater_or_equal}(\text{founding_rate}(\text{efficient_producers}, b), \text{founding_rate}(\text{first_movers}, b)) \quad \text{cnf}(a_{8}_{28}, \text{hypothesis})$
 $\text{environment}(\text{sk}_4) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{prove_l1}_{29}, \text{negated_conjecture})$
 $\text{stable}(\text{sk}_4) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{prove_l1}_{30}, \text{negated_conjecture})$
 $\text{in_environment}(\text{sk}_4, a) \Rightarrow \text{subpopulations}(\text{first_movers}, \text{efficient_producers}, \text{sk}_4, \text{sk}_5(a)) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{prove_l1}_{31}, \text{negated_conjecture})$
 $\text{in_environment}(\text{sk}_4, a) \Rightarrow \text{greater_or_equal}(\text{sk}_5(a), a) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{prove_l1}_{32}, \text{negated_conjecture})$
 $\text{in_environment}(\text{sk}_4, a) \Rightarrow \neg \text{greater}(\text{growth_rate}(\text{efficient_producers}, \text{sk}_5(a)), \text{growth_rate}(\text{first_movers}, \text{sk}_5(a))) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{prove_l1}_{33}, \text{negated_conjecture})$

MGT020-1.p First movers exceeds efficient producers disbanding rate

$(\text{environment}(a) \text{ and } \text{subpopulations}(\text{first_movers}, \text{efficient_producers}, a, b)) \Rightarrow \neg \text{decreases}(\text{disbanding_rate}(\text{first_movers}, b) \setminus \text{disbanding_rate}(\text{efficient_producers}, b)) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{l3}_{22}, \text{axiom})$
 $(\text{environment}(a) \text{ and } \text{in_environment}(a, \text{initial_FM_EP}(a))) \Rightarrow \text{subpopulations}(\text{first_movers}, \text{efficient_producers}, a, \text{initial_FM_EP}(a))$
 $(\text{environment}(a) \text{ and } \text{subpopulations}(\text{first_movers}, \text{efficient_producers}, a, b)) \Rightarrow \text{greater_or_equal}(b, \text{initial_FM_EP}(a)) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{mp_initial_time}_{27}, \text{axiom})$
 $(\text{environment}(a) \text{ and } \text{greater_or_equal}(b, c) \text{ and } \text{greater_or_equal}(d, b) \text{ and } \text{subpopulations}(\text{first_movers}, \text{efficient_producers}, a, b)) \Rightarrow (\text{decreases}(\text{disbanding_rate}(\text{first_movers}, b) \setminus \text{disbanding_rate}(\text{efficient_producers}, b)) \text{ or } \text{greater}(\text{disbanding_rate}(\text{first_movers}, d), \text{disbanding_rate}(\text{efficient_producers}, d)))$
 $(\text{environment}(a) \text{ and } \text{subpopulations}(\text{first_movers}, \text{efficient_producers}, a, b)) \Rightarrow \text{in_environment}(a, b) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{mp_time_point_of_view}_{28}, \text{axiom})$
 $\text{environment}(a) \Rightarrow \text{greater_or_equal}(\text{initial_FM_EP}(a), \text{start_time}(a)) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{mp_initial_time}_{27}, \text{axiom})$
 $(\text{environment}(a) \text{ and } \text{greater_or_equal}(b, \text{start_time}(a)) \text{ and } \text{greater}(c, b) \text{ and } \text{in_environment}(a, c)) \Rightarrow \text{in_environment}(a, b)$
 $(\text{greater}(a, b) \text{ and } \text{greater}(b, c)) \Rightarrow \text{greater}(a, c) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{mp_greater_transitivity}_{29}, \text{axiom})$
 $\text{greater_or_equal}(a, b) \Rightarrow (\text{greater}(a, b) \text{ or } a = b) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{mp_greater_or_equal}_{30}, \text{axiom})$
 $\text{environment}(a) \Rightarrow \text{greater}(\text{disbanding_rate}(\text{first_movers}, \text{initial_FM_EP}(a)), \text{disbanding_rate}(\text{efficient_producers}, \text{initial_FM_EP}(a)))$
 $(\text{environment}(a) \text{ and } \text{subpopulations}(\text{first_movers}, \text{efficient_producers}, a, b) \text{ and } \text{subpopulations}(\text{first_movers}, \text{efficient_producers}, a, d)) \Rightarrow \text{greater}(\text{disbanding_rate}(\text{first_movers}, d), \text{disbanding_rate}(\text{efficient_producers}, d)) \quad \text{cnf}(a_{10}_{32}, \text{hypothesis})$
 $\text{environment}(\text{sk}_1) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{prove_l2}_{33}, \text{negated_conjecture})$
 $\text{subpopulations}(\text{first_movers}, \text{efficient_producers}, \text{sk}_1, \text{sk}_2) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{prove_l2}_{34}, \text{negated_conjecture})$
 $\neg \text{greater}(\text{disbanding_rate}(\text{first_movers}, \text{sk}_2), \text{disbanding_rate}(\text{efficient_producers}, \text{sk}_2)) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{prove_l2}_{35}, \text{negated_conjecture})$

MGT021+1.p Difference between disbanding rates does not decrease

The difference between the disbanding rates of first movers and efficient producers does not decrease with time.

$\forall e, t: ((\text{environment}(e) \text{ and subpopulations}(\text{first_movers}, \text{efficient_producers}, e, t)) \Rightarrow \text{in_environment}(e, t)) \quad \text{fof}(\text{mp_time_point}, \text{axiom})$
 $\forall e, t: ((\text{environment}(e) \text{ and subpopulations}(\text{first_movers}, \text{efficient_producers}, e, t)) \Rightarrow \text{greater}(\text{number_of_organizations}(e, t), 0)) \quad \text{fof}(\text{a}_3, \text{hypothesis})$
 $\forall x: (\text{increases}(x) \Rightarrow \neg \text{decreases}(x)) \quad \text{fof}(\text{mp_increase_not_decrease}, \text{axiom})$
 $\forall x, y: (\text{greater_or_equal}(x, y) \Rightarrow (\text{greater}(x, y) \text{ or } x = y)) \quad \text{fof}(\text{mp_greater_or_equal}, \text{axiom})$
 $\forall e, t: ((\text{environment}(e) \text{ and in_environment}(e, t) \text{ and greater}(\text{number_of_organizations}(e, t), 0)) \Rightarrow ((\text{greater}(\text{equilibrium}(e), t) \text{ and } \text{decreases}(\text{resources}(e, t))) \text{ and } (\neg \text{greater}(\text{equilibrium}(e), t) \Rightarrow \text{constant}(\text{resources}(e, t)))))) \quad \text{fof}(\text{a}_3, \text{hypothesis})$
 $\forall e, t: ((\text{environment}(e) \text{ and subpopulations}(\text{first_movers}, \text{efficient_producers}, e, t)) \Rightarrow ((\text{decreases}(\text{resources}(e, t)) \Rightarrow \text{increases}(\text{disbanding_rate}(\text{first_movers}, t) \setminus \text{disbanding_rate}(\text{efficient_producers}, t))) \text{ and } (\text{constant}(\text{resources}(e, t)) \Rightarrow \neg \text{decreases}(\text{disbanding_rate}(\text{first_movers}, t) \setminus \text{disbanding_rate}(\text{efficient_producers}, t)))))) \quad \text{fof}(\text{l}_4, \text{hypothesis})$
 $\forall e, t: ((\text{environment}(e) \text{ and subpopulations}(\text{first_movers}, \text{efficient_producers}, e, t)) \Rightarrow \neg \text{decreases}(\text{disbanding_rate}(\text{first_movers}, t) \setminus \text{disbanding_rate}(\text{efficient_producers}, t))) \quad \text{fof}(\text{prove_l}_3, \text{conjecture})$

MGT021-1.p Difference between disbanding rates does not decrease

The difference between the disbanding rates of first movers and efficient producers does not decrease with time.

$(\text{environment}(a) \text{ and subpopulations}(\text{first_movers}, \text{efficient_producers}, a, b)) \Rightarrow \text{in_environment}(a, b) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{mp_time_point_in}, \text{axiom})$
 $(\text{environment}(a) \text{ and subpopulations}(\text{first_movers}, \text{efficient_producers}, a, b)) \Rightarrow \text{greater}(\text{number_of_organizations}(a, b), 0) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{a}_3, \text{hypothesis})$
 $\text{increases}(a) \Rightarrow \neg \text{decreases}(a) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{mp_increase_not_decrease}_{29}, \text{axiom})$
 $\text{greater_or_equal}(a, b) \Rightarrow (\text{greater}(a, b) \text{ or } a = b) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{mp_greater_or_equal}_{30}, \text{axiom})$
 $(\text{environment}(a) \text{ and in_environment}(a, b) \text{ and greater}(\text{number_of_organizations}(a, b), 0) \text{ and greater}(\text{equilibrium}(a), b)) \Rightarrow \text{decreases}(\text{resources}(a, b)) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{a}_{31}, \text{hypothesis})$
 $(\text{environment}(a) \text{ and in_environment}(a, b) \text{ and greater}(\text{number_of_organizations}(a, b), 0) \Rightarrow (\text{greater}(\text{equilibrium}(a), b) \text{ or } \text{constant}(\text{resources}(a, b)))) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{a}_{31}, \text{hypothesis})$
 $(\text{environment}(a) \text{ and subpopulations}(\text{first_movers}, \text{efficient_producers}, a, b) \text{ and decreases}(\text{resources}(a, b))) \Rightarrow \text{increases}(\text{disbanding_rate}(\text{first_movers}, b) \setminus \text{disbanding_rate}(\text{efficient_producers}, b)) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{l}_{33}, \text{hypothesis})$
 $(\text{environment}(a) \text{ and subpopulations}(\text{first_movers}, \text{efficient_producers}, a, b) \text{ and constant}(\text{resources}(a, b))) \Rightarrow \neg \text{decreases}(\text{disbanding_rate}(\text{first_movers}, b) \setminus \text{disbanding_rate}(\text{efficient_producers}, b)) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{l}_{34}, \text{hypothesis})$
 $\text{environment}(\text{sk}_1) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{prove_l}_{35}, \text{negated_conjecture})$
 $\text{subpopulations}(\text{first_movers}, \text{efficient_producers}, \text{sk}_1, \text{sk}_2) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{prove_l}_{36}, \text{negated_conjecture})$
 $\text{decreases}(\text{disbanding_rate}(\text{first_movers}, \text{sk}_2) \setminus \text{disbanding_rate}(\text{efficient_producers}, \text{sk}_2)) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{prove_l}_{37}, \text{negated_conjecture})$

MGT022+1.p Decreasing resource availability affects FMS more than EPs

Decreasing resource availability affects the disbanding rate of first movers more than the disbanding rate of efficient producers.

$\forall x: (\text{constant}(x) \Rightarrow \neg \text{decreases}(x)) \quad \text{fof}(\text{mp_constant_not_decrease}, \text{axiom})$
 $\forall e, s_1, s_2, t: ((\text{environment}(e) \text{ and subpopulations}(s_1, s_2, e, t) \text{ and greater}(\text{resilience}(s_2), \text{resilience}(s_1))) \Rightarrow ((\text{decreases}(\text{resources}(e, t)) \Rightarrow \text{increases}(\text{disbanding_rate}(s_1, t) \setminus \text{disbanding_rate}(s_2, t))) \text{ and } (\text{constant}(\text{resources}(e, t)) \Rightarrow \text{constant}(\text{disbanding_rate}(s_1, t) \setminus \text{disbanding_rate}(s_2, t))))) \quad \text{fof}(\text{a}_5, \text{hypothesis})$
 $\text{greater}(\text{resilience}(\text{efficient_producers}), \text{resilience}(\text{first_movers})) \quad \text{fof}(\text{a}_2, \text{hypothesis})$
 $\forall e, t: ((\text{environment}(e) \text{ and subpopulations}(\text{first_movers}, \text{efficient_producers}, e, t)) \Rightarrow ((\text{decreases}(\text{resources}(e, t)) \Rightarrow \text{increases}(\text{disbanding_rate}(\text{first_movers}, t) \setminus \text{disbanding_rate}(\text{efficient_producers}, t))) \text{ and } (\text{constant}(\text{resources}(e, t)) \Rightarrow \neg \text{decreases}(\text{disbanding_rate}(\text{first_movers}, t) \setminus \text{disbanding_rate}(\text{efficient_producers}, t))))) \quad \text{fof}(\text{prove_l}_4, \text{conjecture})$

MGT022+2.p Decreasing resource availability affects FMS more than EPs

Decreasing resource availability affects the disbanding rate of first movers more than the disbanding rate of efficient producers.

$\forall x: (\text{constant}(x) \Rightarrow \neg \text{decreases}(x)) \quad \text{fof}(\text{mp_constant_not_decrease}, \text{axiom})$
 $\forall e, s_1, s_2, t: ((\text{environment}(e) \text{ and subpopulations}(s_1, s_2, e, t) \text{ and greater}(\text{resilience}(s_2), \text{resilience}(s_1))) \Rightarrow ((\text{decreases}(\text{resources}(e, t)) \Rightarrow \text{increases}(\text{disbanding_rate}(s_1, t) \setminus \text{disbanding_rate}(s_2, t))) \text{ and } (\text{constant}(\text{resources}(e, t)) \Rightarrow \text{constant}(\text{disbanding_rate}(s_1, t) \setminus \text{disbanding_rate}(s_2, t))))) \quad \text{fof}(\text{a}_6, \text{hypothesis})$
 $\text{greater}(\text{resilience}(\text{efficient_producers}), \text{resilience}(\text{first_movers})) \quad \text{fof}(\text{a}_2, \text{hypothesis})$
 $\forall e, t: ((\text{environment}(e) \text{ and subpopulations}(\text{first_movers}, \text{efficient_producers}, e, t)) \Rightarrow ((\text{decreases}(\text{resources}(e, t)) \Rightarrow \text{increases}(\text{disbanding_rate}(\text{first_movers}, t) \setminus \text{disbanding_rate}(\text{efficient_producers}, t))) \text{ and } (\text{constant}(\text{resources}(e, t)) \Rightarrow \neg \text{decreases}(\text{disbanding_rate}(\text{first_movers}, t) \setminus \text{disbanding_rate}(\text{efficient_producers}, t))))) \quad \text{fof}(\text{prove_l}_4, \text{conjecture})$

MGT022-1.p Decreasing resource availability affects FMS more than EPs

Decreasing resource availability affects the disbanding rate of first movers more than the disbanding rate of efficient producers.

$\text{constant}(a) \Rightarrow \neg \text{decreases}(a) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{mp_constant_not_decrease}_1, \text{axiom})$
 $(\text{environment}(a) \text{ and subpopulations}(b, c, a, d) \text{ and greater}(\text{resilience}(c), \text{resilience}(b)) \text{ and decreases}(\text{resources}(a, d))) \Rightarrow \text{increases}(\text{disbanding_rate}(b, d) \setminus \text{disbanding_rate}(c, d)) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{a}_{52}, \text{hypothesis})$
 $(\text{environment}(a) \text{ and subpopulations}(b, c, a, d) \text{ and greater}(\text{resilience}(c), \text{resilience}(b)) \text{ and constant}(\text{resources}(a, d))) \Rightarrow \text{constant}(\text{disbanding_rate}(b, d) \setminus \text{disbanding_rate}(c, d)) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{a}_{53}, \text{hypothesis})$

$\text{greater}(\text{resilience}(\text{efficient_producers}), \text{resilience}(\text{first_movers})) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{a24}, \text{hypothesis})$
 $\text{environment}(\text{sk}_1) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{prove_l4}_5, \text{negated_conjecture})$
 $\text{subpopulations}(\text{first_movers}, \text{efficient_producers}, \text{sk}_1, \text{sk}_2) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{prove_l4}_6, \text{negated_conjecture})$
 $\text{decreases}(\text{resources}(\text{sk}_1, \text{sk}_2)) \text{ or } \text{constant}(\text{resources}(\text{sk}_1, \text{sk}_2)) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{prove_l4}_7, \text{negated_conjecture})$
 $\text{decreases}(\text{resources}(\text{sk}_1, \text{sk}_2)) \text{ or } \text{decreases}(\text{disbanding_rate}(\text{first_movers}, \text{sk}_2) \setminus \text{disbanding_rate}(\text{efficient_producers}, \text{sk}_2)) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{prove_l4}_8, \text{negated_conjecture})$
 $\text{increases}(\text{disbanding_rate}(\text{first_movers}, \text{sk}_2) \setminus \text{disbanding_rate}(\text{efficient_producers}, \text{sk}_2)) \Rightarrow \text{constant}(\text{resources}(\text{sk}_1, \text{sk}_2)) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{prove_l4}_9, \text{negated_conjecture})$
 $\text{increases}(\text{disbanding_rate}(\text{first_movers}, \text{sk}_2) \setminus \text{disbanding_rate}(\text{efficient_producers}, \text{sk}_2)) \Rightarrow \text{decreases}(\text{disbanding_rate}(\text{first_movers}, \text{sk}_2) \setminus \text{disbanding_rate}(\text{efficient_producers}, \text{sk}_2)) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{prove_l4}_{10}, \text{negated_conjecture})$

MGT022-2.p Decreasing resource availability affects FMS more than EPs

Decreasing resource availability affects the disbanding rate of first movers more than the disbanding rate of efficient producers.

$\text{constant}(a) \Rightarrow \neg \text{decreases}(a) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{mp_constant_not_decrease}_1, \text{axiom})$
 $(\text{environment}(a) \text{ and } \text{subpopulations}(b, c, a, d) \text{ and } \text{greater}(\text{resilience}(c), \text{resilience}(b)) \text{ and } \text{decreases}(\text{resources}(a, d))) \Rightarrow \text{increases}(\text{disbanding_rate}(b, d) \setminus \text{disbanding_rate}(c, d)) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{a6}_2, \text{hypothesis})$
 $(\text{environment}(a) \text{ and } \text{subpopulations}(b, c, a, d) \text{ and } \text{greater}(\text{resilience}(c), \text{resilience}(b)) \text{ and } \text{constant}(\text{resources}(a, d))) \Rightarrow \text{constant}(\text{disbanding_rate}(b, d) \setminus \text{disbanding_rate}(c, d)) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{a6}_3, \text{hypothesis})$
 $\text{greater}(\text{resilience}(\text{efficient_producers}), \text{resilience}(\text{first_movers})) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{a24}, \text{hypothesis})$
 $\text{environment}(\text{sk}_1) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{prove_l4}_5, \text{negated_conjecture})$
 $\text{subpopulations}(\text{first_movers}, \text{efficient_producers}, \text{sk}_1, \text{sk}_2) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{prove_l4}_6, \text{negated_conjecture})$
 $\text{decreases}(\text{resources}(\text{sk}_1, \text{sk}_2)) \text{ or } \text{constant}(\text{resources}(\text{sk}_1, \text{sk}_2)) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{prove_l4}_7, \text{negated_conjecture})$
 $\text{decreases}(\text{resources}(\text{sk}_1, \text{sk}_2)) \text{ or } \text{decreases}(\text{disbanding_rate}(\text{first_movers}, \text{sk}_2) \setminus \text{disbanding_rate}(\text{efficient_producers}, \text{sk}_2)) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{prove_l4}_8, \text{negated_conjecture})$
 $\text{increases}(\text{disbanding_rate}(\text{first_movers}, \text{sk}_2) \setminus \text{disbanding_rate}(\text{efficient_producers}, \text{sk}_2)) \Rightarrow \text{constant}(\text{resources}(\text{sk}_1, \text{sk}_2)) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{prove_l4}_9, \text{negated_conjecture})$
 $\text{increases}(\text{disbanding_rate}(\text{first_movers}, \text{sk}_2) \setminus \text{disbanding_rate}(\text{efficient_producers}, \text{sk}_2)) \Rightarrow \text{decreases}(\text{disbanding_rate}(\text{first_movers}, \text{sk}_2) \setminus \text{disbanding_rate}(\text{efficient_producers}, \text{sk}_2)) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{prove_l4}_{10}, \text{negated_conjecture})$

MGT023+1.p Stable environments have a critical point.

$\forall e, \text{to}: ((\text{environment}(e) \text{ and } \neg \text{greater}(\text{growth_rate}(\text{efficient_producers}, \text{to}), \text{growth_rate}(\text{first_movers}, \text{to})) \text{ and } \text{in_environment}(\text{to}, e)) \Rightarrow \text{greater}(\text{growth_rate}(\text{efficient_producers}, \text{to}), \text{growth_rate}(\text{first_movers}, \text{to}))) \Rightarrow \text{to} = \text{critical_point}(e) \quad \text{fof}(d_1, \text{hypothesis})$
 $\forall e: ((\text{environment}(e) \text{ and } \text{stable}(e)) \Rightarrow \exists \text{to}: (\text{in_environment}(e, \text{to}) \text{ and } \neg \text{greater}(\text{growth_rate}(\text{efficient_producers}, \text{to}), \text{growth_rate}(\text{first_movers}, \text{to})))) \Rightarrow \text{fof}(l_{12}, \text{hypothesis})$
 $\forall e: ((\text{environment}(e) \text{ and } \text{stable}(e)) \Rightarrow \text{in_environment}(e, \text{critical_point}(e))) \quad \text{fof}(\text{prove_l}_5, \text{conjecture})$

MGT023+2.p Stable environments have a critical point.

$\forall e: ((\text{environment}(e) \text{ and } \exists \text{to}: (\text{in_environment}(e, \text{to}) \text{ and } \forall t: ((\text{subpopulations}(\text{first_movers}, \text{efficient_producers}, e, t) \text{ and } \text{greater}(\text{growth_rate}(\text{efficient_producers}, t), \text{growth_rate}(\text{first_movers}, t)))) \Rightarrow \exists \text{to}: (\text{in_environment}(e, \text{to}) \text{ and } \neg \text{greater}(\text{growth_rate}(\text{efficient_producers}, \text{to}), \text{growth_rate}(\text{first_movers}, \text{to})))) \Rightarrow \text{fof}(\text{mp_earliest_time_growth_rate_exceeds}, \text{axiom})$
 $\forall e, \text{to}: ((\text{environment}(e) \text{ and } \neg \text{greater}(\text{growth_rate}(\text{efficient_producers}, \text{to}), \text{growth_rate}(\text{first_movers}, \text{to})) \text{ and } \text{in_environment}(\text{to}, e)) \Rightarrow \text{greater}(\text{growth_rate}(\text{efficient_producers}, \text{to}), \text{growth_rate}(\text{first_movers}, \text{to}))) \Rightarrow \text{to} = \text{critical_point}(e) \quad \text{fof}(d_1, \text{hypothesis})$
 $\forall e: ((\text{environment}(e) \text{ and } \text{stable}(e)) \Rightarrow \exists \text{to}: (\text{in_environment}(e, \text{to}) \text{ and } \forall t: ((\text{subpopulations}(\text{first_movers}, \text{efficient_producers}, e, t) \text{ and } \text{greater}(\text{growth_rate}(\text{efficient_producers}, t), \text{growth_rate}(\text{first_movers}, t)))) \Rightarrow \text{fof}(l_1, \text{hypothesis})$
 $\forall e: ((\text{environment}(e) \text{ and } \text{stable}(e)) \Rightarrow \text{in_environment}(e, \text{critical_point}(e))) \quad \text{fof}(\text{prove_l}_5, \text{conjecture})$

MGT023-1.p Stable environments have a critical point.

$(\text{environment}(a) \text{ and } \text{in_environment}(a, b)) \Rightarrow (\text{greater}(\text{growth_rate}(\text{efficient_producers}, b), \text{growth_rate}(\text{first_movers}, b)) \text{ or } \text{subpopulations}(\text{first_movers}, \text{efficient_producers}, a, b) \text{ and } \text{critical_point}(a)) \quad \text{cnf}(d_{17}, \text{hypothesis})$
 $(\text{environment}(a) \text{ and } \text{in_environment}(a, b)) \Rightarrow (\text{greater}(\text{growth_rate}(\text{efficient_producers}, b), \text{growth_rate}(\text{first_movers}, b)) \text{ or } \text{subpopulations}(\text{first_movers}, \text{efficient_producers}, a, b) \text{ and } \text{critical_point}(a)) \quad \text{cnf}(d_{18}, \text{hypothesis})$
 $(\text{environment}(a) \text{ and } \text{in_environment}(a, b) \text{ and } \text{greater}(\text{growth_rate}(\text{efficient_producers}, \text{sk}_1(b, a)), \text{growth_rate}(\text{first_movers}, \text{sk}_1(b, a)))) \Rightarrow (\text{greater}(\text{growth_rate}(\text{efficient_producers}, b), \text{growth_rate}(\text{first_movers}, b)) \text{ or } b = \text{critical_point}(a)) \quad \text{cnf}(d_{19}, \text{hypothesis})$
 $(\text{environment}(a) \text{ and } \text{stable}(a)) \Rightarrow \text{in_environment}(a, \text{sk}_2(a)) \quad \text{cnf}(l_{120}, \text{hypothesis})$
 $(\text{environment}(a) \text{ and } \text{stable}(a)) \Rightarrow \neg \text{greater}(\text{growth_rate}(\text{efficient_producers}, \text{sk}_2(a)), \text{growth_rate}(\text{first_movers}, \text{sk}_2(a))) \quad \text{cnf}(l_{121}, \text{hypothesis})$
 $(\text{environment}(a) \text{ and } \text{stable}(a) \text{ and } \text{subpopulations}(\text{first_movers}, \text{efficient_producers}, a, b) \text{ and } \text{greater}(b, \text{sk}_2(a))) \Rightarrow \text{greater}(\text{growth_rate}(\text{efficient_producers}, b), \text{growth_rate}(\text{first_movers}, b)) \quad \text{cnf}(l_{122}, \text{hypothesis})$
 $\text{environment}(\text{sk}_3) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{prove_l5}_{23}, \text{negated_conjecture})$
 $\text{stable}(\text{sk}_3) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{prove_l5}_{24}, \text{negated_conjecture})$
 $\neg \text{in_environment}(\text{sk}_3, \text{critical_point}(\text{sk}_3)) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{prove_l5}_{25}, \text{negated_conjecture})$

MGT024+1.p Subpopulation growth rates are in equilibria

If a subpopulation has positive growth rate, then the other subpopulation must have negative growth rate in equilibrium.

$\forall e, t: ((\text{environment}(e) \text{ and } \text{subpopulations}(\text{first_movers}, \text{efficient_producers}, e, t)) \Rightarrow \text{in_environment}(e, t)) \quad \text{fof}(\text{mp_time_p}, \text{hypothesis})$

$\forall e, t: ((\text{environment}(e) \text{ and subpopulations}(\text{first_movers}, \text{efficient_producers}, e, t)) \Rightarrow \text{greater}(\text{number_of_organizations}(e, t), 0))$
 $\forall e, t: ((\text{environment}(e) \text{ and greater_or_equal}(t, \text{equilibrium}(e))) \Rightarrow \neg \text{greater}(\text{equilibrium}(e), t)) \quad \text{fof}(\text{mp_equilibrium}, \text{axiom})$
 $\forall e, t: ((\text{environment}(e) \text{ and in_environment}(e, t) \text{ and greater}(\text{number_of_organizations}(e, t), 0)) \Rightarrow ((\text{greater}(\text{equilibrium}(e), t)$
 $\text{decreases}(\text{resources}(e, t))) \text{ and } (\neg \text{greater}(\text{equilibrium}(e), t) \Rightarrow \text{constant}(\text{resources}(e, t)))))) \quad \text{fof}(a_3, \text{hypothesis})$
 $\forall e, t: ((\text{environment}(e) \text{ and in_environment}(e, t)) \Rightarrow ((\text{decreases}(\text{resources}(e, t)) \Rightarrow \neg \text{decreases}(\text{number_of_organizations}(e, t)$
 $\text{constant}(\text{number_of_organizations}(e, t)))))) \quad \text{fof}(a_6, \text{hypothesis})$

$\forall e, t: ((\text{environment}(e) \text{ and subpopulations}(\text{first_movers}, \text{efficient_producers}, e, t) \text{ and constant}(\text{number_of_organizations}(e, t)))$
 $((\text{growth_rate}(\text{first_movers}, t) = 0 \text{ and growth_rate}(\text{efficient_producers}, t) = 0) \text{ or } (\text{greater}(\text{growth_rate}(\text{first_movers}, t), 0) \text{ and } \neg$
 $\forall e, t: ((\text{environment}(e) \text{ and subpopulations}(\text{first_movers}, \text{efficient_producers}, e, t) \text{ and greater_or_equal}(t, \text{equilibrium}(e))) \Rightarrow$
 $((\text{growth_rate}(\text{first_movers}, t) = 0 \text{ and growth_rate}(\text{efficient_producers}, t) = 0) \text{ or } (\text{greater}(\text{growth_rate}(\text{first_movers}, t), 0) \text{ and } \neg$

MGT026-1.p Selection favors efficient producers past the critical point

$(\text{environment}(a) \text{ and subpopulations}(b, c, a, d) \text{ and greater}(\text{growth_rate}(c, d), \text{growth_rate}(b, d))) \Rightarrow \text{selection_favors}(c, b, d)$
 $(\text{environment}(a) \text{ and subpopulation}(b, a, c) \text{ and subpopulation}(d, a, c) \text{ and greater}(\text{cardinality_at_time}(b, c), 0) \text{ and cardinality_at_time}(d, a, c)) \Rightarrow \text{selection_favors}(b, d, c) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{mp2_favour_members}_{29}, \text{axiom})$
 $(\text{environment}(a) \text{ and in_environment}(a, b) \text{ and greater}(\text{cardinality_at_time}(\text{first_movers}, b), 0) \text{ and greater}(\text{cardinality_at_time}(\text{first_movers}, \text{efficient_producers}, a, b))) \Rightarrow \text{selection_favors}(b, d, c) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{mp_non_empty_fm_and_ep}_{30}, \text{axiom})$
 $(\text{environment}(a) \text{ and in_environment}(a, b)) \Rightarrow \text{greater_or_equal}(\text{cardinality_at_time}(\text{first_movers}, b), 0) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{mp_first_mover_cardinality}, \text{axiom})$
 $(\text{environment}(a) \text{ and in_environment}(a, b)) \Rightarrow \text{subpopulation}(\text{first_movers}, a, b) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{mp_subpopulations}_{32}, \text{axiom})$
 $(\text{environment}(a) \text{ and in_environment}(a, b)) \Rightarrow \text{subpopulation}(\text{efficient_producers}, a, b) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{mp_subpopulations}_{33}, \text{axiom})$
 $\text{environment}(a) \Rightarrow \text{greater_or_equal}(\text{critical_point}(a), \text{appear}(\text{efficient_producers}, a)) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{mp_critical_point_after_EP}_{34}, \text{axiom})$
 $(\text{greater}(a, b) \text{ and greater}(b, c)) \Rightarrow \text{greater}(a, c) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{mp_greater_transitivity}_{35}, \text{axiom})$
 $\text{greater_or_equal}(a, b) \Rightarrow (\text{greater}(a, b) \text{ or } a = b) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{mp_greater_or_equal}_{36}, \text{axiom})$
 $\text{greater}(a, b) \Rightarrow \text{greater_or_equal}(a, b) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{mp_greater_or_equal}_{37}, \text{axiom})$
 $a = b \Rightarrow \text{greater_or_equal}(a, b) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{mp_greater_or_equal}_{38}, \text{axiom})$
 $(\text{environment}(a) \text{ and } b = \text{critical_point}(a)) \Rightarrow \neg \text{greater}(\text{growth_rate}(\text{efficient_producers}, b), \text{growth_rate}(\text{first_movers}, b))$
 $(\text{environment}(a) \text{ and } b = \text{critical_point}(a) \text{ and subpopulations}(\text{first_movers}, \text{efficient_producers}, a, c) \text{ and greater}(c, b)) \Rightarrow \text{greater}(\text{growth_rate}(\text{efficient_producers}, c), \text{growth_rate}(\text{first_movers}, c)) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{d1}_{40}, \text{hypothesis})$
 $(\text{environment}(a) \text{ and in_environment}(a, b) \text{ and greater_or_equal}(b, \text{appear}(\text{efficient_producers}, a))) \Rightarrow \text{greater}(\text{cardinality_at_time}(\text{first_movers}, \text{efficient_producers}, a, b), \text{cardinality_at_time}(\text{first_movers}, \text{efficient_producers}, a, c)) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{prove_l8}_{42}, \text{negated_conjecture})$
 $\text{in_environment}(\text{sk}_1, \text{sk}_2) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{prove_l8}_{43}, \text{negated_conjecture})$
 $\text{greater}(\text{sk}_2, \text{critical_point}(\text{sk}_1)) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{prove_l8}_{44}, \text{negated_conjecture})$
 $\neg \text{selection_favors}(\text{efficient_producers}, \text{first_movers}, \text{sk}_2) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{prove_l8}_{45}, \text{negated_conjecture})$

MGT027+1.p The FM set contracts in stable environments

The first mover set begins to contract past a certain time in stable environments.

$\forall e, \text{to}: ((\text{environment}(e) \text{ and stable}(e) \text{ and in_environment}(e, \text{to}) \text{ and } \forall t: ((\text{greater}(\text{cardinality_at_time}(\text{first_movers}, t), 0) \text{ and } \text{greater}(0, \text{growth_rate}(\text{first_movers}, t)))) \Rightarrow \text{contracts_from}(\text{to}, \text{first_movers})) \quad \text{fof}(\text{mp_contracts_from}, \text{axiom})$
 $\forall e, t: ((\text{environment}(e) \text{ and in_environment}(e, t) \text{ and greater}(\text{cardinality_at_time}(\text{first_movers}, t), 0) \text{ and greater}(\text{cardinality_at_time}(\text{first_movers}, \text{efficient_producers}, e, t))) \Rightarrow \text{contracts_from}(t, \text{first_movers})) \quad \text{fof}(\text{mp_non_empty_fm_and_ep}, \text{axiom})$
 $\forall e, t_1, t_2: ((\text{environment}(e) \text{ and stable}(e) \text{ and in_environment}(e, t_1) \text{ and greater}(t_2, t_1)) \Rightarrow \text{in_environment}(e, t_2)) \quad \text{fof}(\text{mp_stable_environments}, \text{axiom})$
 $\forall e: ((\text{environment}(e) \text{ and stable}(e)) \Rightarrow \text{in_environment}(e, \text{appear}(\text{efficient_producers}, e))) \quad \text{fof}(\text{mp_EP_in_stable_environments}, \text{axiom})$
 $\forall x, y, z: ((\text{greater}(x, y) \text{ and greater}(y, z)) \Rightarrow \text{greater}(x, z)) \quad \text{fof}(\text{mp_greater_transitivity}, \text{axiom})$
 $\forall x, y: (\text{greater_or_equal}(x, y) \iff (\text{greater}(x, y) \text{ or } x = y)) \quad \text{fof}(\text{mp_greater_or_equal}, \text{axiom})$
 $\forall e, t: ((\text{environment}(e) \text{ and in_environment}(e, t) \text{ and greater_or_equal}(t, \text{appear}(\text{efficient_producers}, e))) \Rightarrow \text{greater}(\text{cardinality_at_time}(\text{first_movers}, \text{efficient_producers}, e, t), \text{cardinality_at_time}(\text{first_movers}, \text{efficient_producers}, e, t))) \Rightarrow \text{greater}(\text{cardinality_at_time}(\text{first_movers}, \text{efficient_producers}, e, t), \text{cardinality_at_time}(\text{first_movers}, \text{efficient_producers}, e, t))) \quad \text{fof}(l_{10}, \text{hypothesis})$
 $\forall e: ((\text{environment}(e) \text{ and stable}(e)) \Rightarrow \exists \text{to}: (\text{greater}(\text{to}, \text{appear}(\text{efficient_producers}, e)) \text{ and } \forall t: ((\text{subpopulations}(\text{first_movers}, \text{efficient_producers}, e, t) \text{ and } \text{greater}(0, \text{growth_rate}(\text{first_movers}, t)))) \Rightarrow \text{contracts_from}(\text{to}, \text{first_movers}))) \quad \text{fof}(l_{10}, \text{hypothesis})$

MGT027-1.p The FM set contracts in stable environments

The first mover set begins to contract past a certain time in stable environments.

$(\text{environment}(a) \text{ and stable}(a) \text{ and in_environment}(a, b)) \Rightarrow (\text{greater}(\text{cardinality_at_time}(\text{first_movers}, \text{sk}_1(b, a)), 0) \text{ or } \text{contracts_from}(b, \text{first_movers}))$
 $(\text{environment}(a) \text{ and stable}(a) \text{ and in_environment}(a, b)) \Rightarrow (\text{greater_or_equal}(\text{sk}_1(b, a), b) \text{ or } \text{contracts_from}(b, \text{first_movers}))$
 $(\text{environment}(a) \text{ and stable}(a) \text{ and in_environment}(a, b) \text{ and greater}(0, \text{growth_rate}(\text{first_movers}, \text{sk}_1(b, a)))) \Rightarrow \text{contracts_from}(b, \text{first_movers})$
 $(\text{environment}(a) \text{ and in_environment}(a, b) \text{ and greater}(\text{cardinality_at_time}(\text{first_movers}, b), 0) \text{ and greater}(\text{cardinality_at_time}(\text{first_movers}, \text{efficient_producers}, a, b))) \Rightarrow \text{contracts_from}(b, \text{first_movers}) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{mp_non_empty_fm_and_ep}_{27}, \text{axiom})$
 $(\text{environment}(a) \text{ and stable}(a) \text{ and in_environment}(a, b) \text{ and greater}(c, b)) \Rightarrow \text{in_environment}(a, c) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{mp_long_stable_environments}, \text{axiom})$
 $(\text{environment}(a) \text{ and stable}(a)) \Rightarrow \text{in_environment}(a, \text{appear}(\text{efficient_producers}, a)) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{mp_EP_in_stable_environments}_{28}, \text{axiom})$
 $(\text{greater}(a, b) \text{ and greater}(b, c)) \Rightarrow \text{greater}(a, c) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{mp_greater_transitivity}_{30}, \text{axiom})$
 $\text{greater_or_equal}(a, b) \Rightarrow (\text{greater}(a, b) \text{ or } a = b) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{mp_greater_or_equal}_{31}, \text{axiom})$
 $\text{greater}(a, b) \Rightarrow \text{greater_or_equal}(a, b) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{mp_greater_or_equal}_{32}, \text{axiom})$

$a = b \Rightarrow \text{greater_or_equal}(a, b) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{mp_greater_or_equal}_{33}, \text{axiom})$
 $(\text{environment}(a) \text{ and } \text{in_environment}(a, b) \text{ and } \text{greater_or_equal}(b, \text{appear}(\text{efficient_producers}, a))) \Rightarrow \text{greater}(\text{cardinality_at_t}, t)$
 $(\text{environment}(a) \text{ and } \text{stable}(a)) \Rightarrow \text{greater}(\text{sk}_2(a), \text{appear}(\text{efficient_producers}, a)) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{l10}_{35}, \text{hypothesis})$
 $(\text{environment}(a) \text{ and } \text{stable}(a) \text{ and } \text{subpopulations}(\text{first_movers}, \text{efficient_producers}, a, b) \text{ and } \text{greater_or_equal}(b, \text{sk}_2(a))) \Rightarrow$
 $\text{greater}(0, \text{growth_rate}(\text{first_movers}, b)) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{l10}_{36}, \text{hypothesis})$
 $\text{environment}(\text{sk}_3) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{prove_l9}_{37}, \text{negated_conjecture})$
 $\text{stable}(\text{sk}_3) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{prove_l9}_{38}, \text{negated_conjecture})$
 $\text{greater}(a, \text{appear}(\text{efficient_producers}, \text{sk}_3)) \Rightarrow \neg \text{contracts_from}(a, \text{first_movers}) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{prove_l9}_{39}, \text{negated_conjecture})$

MGT028+1.p FMs have a negative growth rate in stable environments

First movers have negative growth rate past a certain point of time (also after the appearance of efficient producers) in stable environments.

$\forall e: ((\text{environment}(e) \text{ and } \text{stable}(e) \text{ and } \exists t_1: (\text{in_environment}(e, t_1) \text{ and } \forall t: ((\text{subpopulations}(\text{first_movers}, \text{efficient_producers}, \text{greater}(0, \text{growth_rate}(\text{first_movers}, t)))))) \Rightarrow \exists t_2: (\text{greater}(t_2, \text{appear}(\text{efficient_producers}, e)) \text{ and } \forall t: ((\text{subpopulations}(\text{first_movers}, \text{efficient_producers}, \text{greater}(0, \text{growth_rate}(\text{first_movers}, t)))))) \quad \text{fof}(\text{mp_first_movers_negative_growth}, \text{axiom})$
 $\forall e: ((\text{environment}(e) \text{ and } \text{stable}(e)) \Rightarrow \exists \text{to}: (\text{in_environment}(e, \text{to}) \text{ and } \forall t: ((\text{subpopulations}(\text{first_movers}, \text{efficient_producers}, \text{greater}(\text{growth_rate}(\text{efficient_producers}, t), 0) \text{ and } \text{greater}(0, \text{growth_rate}(\text{first_movers}, t)))))) \quad \text{fof}(\text{l}_{11}, \text{hypothesis})$
 $\forall e: ((\text{environment}(e) \text{ and } \text{stable}(e)) \Rightarrow \exists \text{to}: (\text{greater}(\text{to}, \text{appear}(\text{efficient_producers}, e)) \text{ and } \forall t: ((\text{subpopulations}(\text{first_movers}, \text{efficient_producers}, \text{greater}(0, \text{growth_rate}(\text{first_movers}, t)))))) \quad \text{fof}(\text{prove_l}_{10}, \text{conjecture})$

MGT028-1.p FMs have a negative growth rate in stable environments

First movers have negative growth rate past a certain point of time (also after the appearance of efficient producers) in stable environments.

$(\text{environment}(a) \text{ and } \text{stable}(a) \text{ and } \text{in_environment}(a, b)) \Rightarrow (\text{subpopulations}(\text{first_movers}, \text{efficient_producers}, a, \text{sk}_1(b, a)) \text{ or } \text{greater}(\text{sk}_2(a), \text{appear}(\text{efficient_producers}, a, b)))$
 $(\text{environment}(a) \text{ and } \text{stable}(a) \text{ and } \text{in_environment}(a, b)) \Rightarrow (\text{greater_or_equal}(\text{sk}_1(b, a), b) \text{ or } \text{greater}(\text{sk}_2(a), \text{appear}(\text{efficient_producers}, a, b)))$
 $(\text{environment}(a) \text{ and } \text{stable}(a) \text{ and } \text{in_environment}(a, b) \text{ and } \text{subpopulations}(\text{first_movers}, \text{efficient_producers}, a, c) \text{ and } \text{greater}(\text{sk}_1(b, a), c)) \Rightarrow (\text{subpopulations}(\text{first_movers}, \text{efficient_producers}, a, \text{sk}_1(b, a)) \text{ or } \text{greater}(0, \text{growth_rate}(\text{first_movers}, c))) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{mp_first_movers_negative_growth}_4, \text{axiom})$
 $(\text{environment}(a) \text{ and } \text{stable}(a) \text{ and } \text{in_environment}(a, b) \text{ and } \text{subpopulations}(\text{first_movers}, \text{efficient_producers}, a, c) \text{ and } \text{greater}(\text{sk}_1(b, a), c)) \Rightarrow (\text{greater_or_equal}(\text{sk}_1(b, a), b) \text{ or } \text{greater}(0, \text{growth_rate}(\text{first_movers}, c))) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{mp_first_movers_negative_growth}_4, \text{axiom})$
 $(\text{environment}(a) \text{ and } \text{stable}(a) \text{ and } \text{in_environment}(a, b) \text{ and } \text{greater}(0, \text{growth_rate}(\text{first_movers}, \text{sk}_1(b, a)))) \Rightarrow \text{greater}(\text{sk}_2(a), \text{appear}(\text{efficient_producers}, a, b))$
 $(\text{environment}(a) \text{ and } \text{stable}(a) \text{ and } \text{in_environment}(a, b) \text{ and } \text{greater}(0, \text{growth_rate}(\text{first_movers}, \text{sk}_1(b, a))) \text{ and } \text{subpopulations}(\text{first_movers}, \text{efficient_producers}, a, c) \text{ and } \text{greater}(0, \text{growth_rate}(\text{first_movers}, c))) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{mp_first_movers_negative_growth}_6, \text{axiom})$
 $(\text{environment}(a) \text{ and } \text{stable}(a)) \Rightarrow \text{in_environment}(a, \text{sk}_3(a)) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{l}_{117}, \text{hypothesis})$
 $(\text{environment}(a) \text{ and } \text{stable}(a) \text{ and } \text{subpopulations}(\text{first_movers}, \text{efficient_producers}, a, b) \text{ and } \text{greater_or_equal}(b, \text{sk}_3(a))) \Rightarrow \text{greater}(\text{growth_rate}(\text{efficient_producers}, b), 0) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{l}_{118}, \text{hypothesis})$
 $(\text{environment}(a) \text{ and } \text{stable}(a) \text{ and } \text{subpopulations}(\text{first_movers}, \text{efficient_producers}, a, b) \text{ and } \text{greater_or_equal}(b, \text{sk}_3(a))) \Rightarrow \text{greater}(0, \text{growth_rate}(\text{first_movers}, b)) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{l}_{119}, \text{hypothesis})$
 $\text{environment}(\text{sk}_4) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{prove_l}_{1010}, \text{negated_conjecture})$
 $\text{stable}(\text{sk}_4) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{prove_l}_{1011}, \text{negated_conjecture})$
 $\text{greater}(a, \text{appear}(\text{efficient_producers}, \text{sk}_4)) \Rightarrow \text{subpopulations}(\text{first_movers}, \text{efficient_producers}, \text{sk}_4, \text{sk}_5(a)) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{prove_l}_{1012}, \text{negated_conjecture})$
 $\text{greater}(a, \text{appear}(\text{efficient_producers}, \text{sk}_4)) \Rightarrow \text{greater_or_equal}(\text{sk}_5(a), a) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{prove_l}_{1013}, \text{negated_conjecture})$
 $\text{greater}(a, \text{appear}(\text{efficient_producers}, \text{sk}_4)) \Rightarrow \neg \text{greater}(0, \text{growth_rate}(\text{first_movers}, \text{sk}_5(a))) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{prove_l}_{1014}, \text{negated_conjecture})$

MGT029+1.p EPs have positive and FMs have negative growth rates

Efficient producers have positive, while first movers have negative growth rate past a certain point of time in stable environments.

$\forall x, y, z: ((\text{greater}(x, y) \text{ and } \text{greater}(y, z)) \Rightarrow \text{greater}(x, z)) \quad \text{fof}(\text{mp_greater_transitivity}, \text{axiom})$
 $\forall e, t_1, t_2: ((\text{in_environment}(e, t_1) \text{ and } \text{in_environment}(e, t_2)) \Rightarrow (\text{greater}(t_2, t_1) \text{ or } t_2 = t_1 \text{ or } \text{greater}(t_1, t_2))) \quad \text{fof}(\text{mp_times_greater_or_equal}, \text{axiom})$
 $\forall x, y: (\text{greater_or_equal}(x, y) \iff (\text{greater}(x, y) \text{ or } x = y)) \quad \text{fof}(\text{mp_greater_or_equal}, \text{axiom})$
 $\forall e, t: ((\text{environment}(e) \text{ and } \text{subpopulations}(\text{first_movers}, \text{efficient_producers}, e, t) \text{ and } \text{greater_or_equal}(t, \text{equilibrium}(e))) \Rightarrow ((\text{growth_rate}(\text{first_movers}, t) = 0 \text{ and } \text{growth_rate}(\text{efficient_producers}, t) = 0) \text{ or } (\text{greater}(\text{growth_rate}(\text{first_movers}, t), 0) \text{ and } \text{greater}(\text{growth_rate}(\text{efficient_producers}, t), 0)))) \quad \text{fof}(\text{l}_{12}, \text{hypothesis})$
 $\forall e: ((\text{environment}(e) \text{ and } \text{stable}(e)) \Rightarrow \exists \text{to}: (\text{in_environment}(e, \text{to}) \text{ and } \forall t: ((\text{subpopulations}(\text{first_movers}, \text{efficient_producers}, \text{greater}(\text{growth_rate}(\text{efficient_producers}, t), \text{growth_rate}(\text{first_movers}, t)))))) \quad \text{fof}(\text{l}_{11}, \text{hypothesis})$
 $\forall e: ((\text{environment}(e) \text{ and } \text{stable}(e)) \Rightarrow \exists t: (\text{in_environment}(e, t) \text{ and } \text{greater_or_equal}(t, \text{equilibrium}(e)))) \quad \text{fof}(\text{a}_4, \text{hypothesis})$
 $\forall e: ((\text{environment}(e) \text{ and } \text{stable}(e)) \Rightarrow \exists \text{to}: (\text{in_environment}(e, \text{to}) \text{ and } \forall t: ((\text{subpopulations}(\text{first_movers}, \text{efficient_producers}, \text{greater}(\text{growth_rate}(\text{efficient_producers}, t), 0) \text{ and } \text{greater}(0, \text{growth_rate}(\text{first_movers}, t)))))) \quad \text{fof}(\text{prove_l}_{11}, \text{conjecture})$

MGT030+1.p Earliest time point when FM growth rate exceeds EP growth rate

There is an earliest time point, past which FM's growth rate exceeds EP's growth rate.

$\forall e: ((\text{environment}(e) \text{ and } \exists t_0: (\text{in_environment}(e, t_0) \text{ and } \forall t: ((\text{subpopulations}(\text{first_movers}, \text{efficient_producers}, e, t) \text{ and } \text{greater}(\text{growth_rate}(\text{efficient_producers}, t), \text{growth_rate}(\text{first_movers}, t)))))) \Rightarrow \exists t_0: (\text{in_environment}(e, t_0) \text{ and } \neg \text{greater}(\text{growth_rate}(\text{efficient_producers}, t_0), \text{growth_rate}(\text{first_movers}, t_0)))) \quad \text{fof}(\text{mp_earliest_time_growth_rate_exceeds}, \text{axiom})$
 $\forall e: ((\text{environment}(e) \text{ and } \text{stable}(e)) \Rightarrow \exists t_0: (\text{in_environment}(e, t_0) \text{ and } \forall t: ((\text{subpopulations}(\text{first_movers}, \text{efficient_producers}, e, t) \text{ and } \text{greater}(\text{growth_rate}(\text{efficient_producers}, t), \text{growth_rate}(\text{first_movers}, t)))))) \quad \text{fof}(l_1, \text{hypothesis})$
 $\forall e: ((\text{environment}(e) \text{ and } \text{stable}(e)) \Rightarrow \exists t_0: (\text{in_environment}(e, t_0) \text{ and } \neg \text{greater}(\text{growth_rate}(\text{efficient_producers}, t_0), \text{growth_rate}(\text{first_movers}, t_0)))) \quad \text{fof}(\text{prove_l12}, \text{conjecture})$

MGT030-1.p Earliest time point when FM growth rate exceeds EP growth rate

There is an earliest time point, past which FM's growth rate exceeds EP's growth rate.

$(\text{environment}(a) \text{ and } \text{in_environment}(a, b)) \Rightarrow (\text{subpopulations}(\text{first_movers}, \text{efficient_producers}, a, \text{sk}_1(b, a)) \text{ or } \text{in_environment}(a, \text{sk}_2(a))) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{mp_earliest_time_growth_rate_exceeds}_1, \text{axiom})$
 $(\text{environment}(a) \text{ and } \text{in_environment}(a, b) \text{ and } \text{greater}(\text{growth_rate}(\text{efficient_producers}, \text{sk}_2(a)), \text{growth_rate}(\text{first_movers}, \text{sk}_2(a)))) \Rightarrow (\text{subpopulations}(\text{first_movers}, \text{efficient_producers}, a, \text{sk}_1(b, a)) \text{ and } \text{greater}(\text{growth_rate}(\text{efficient_producers}, \text{sk}_2(a)), \text{growth_rate}(\text{first_movers}, \text{sk}_2(a)))) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{mp_earliest_time_growth_rate_exceeds}_3, \text{axiom})$
 $(\text{environment}(a) \text{ and } \text{in_environment}(a, b) \text{ and } \text{subpopulations}(\text{first_movers}, \text{efficient_producers}, a, c) \text{ and } \text{greater}(c, \text{sk}_2(a))) \Rightarrow (\text{subpopulations}(\text{first_movers}, \text{efficient_producers}, a, \text{sk}_1(b, a)) \text{ or } \text{greater}(\text{growth_rate}(\text{efficient_producers}, c), \text{growth_rate}(\text{first_movers}, c))) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{mp_earliest_time_growth_rate_exceeds}_4, \text{axiom})$
 $(\text{environment}(a) \text{ and } \text{in_environment}(a, b) \text{ and } \text{greater}(\text{growth_rate}(\text{efficient_producers}, \text{sk}_2(a)), \text{growth_rate}(\text{first_movers}, \text{sk}_2(a)))) \Rightarrow (\text{greater_or_equal}(\text{sk}_1(b, a), b) \text{ and } \text{greater}(\text{growth_rate}(\text{efficient_producers}, \text{sk}_2(a)), \text{growth_rate}(\text{first_movers}, \text{sk}_2(a)))) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{mp_earliest_time_growth_rate_exceeds}_5, \text{axiom})$
 $(\text{environment}(a) \text{ and } \text{in_environment}(a, b) \text{ and } \text{subpopulations}(\text{first_movers}, \text{efficient_producers}, a, c) \text{ and } \text{greater}(c, \text{sk}_2(a))) \Rightarrow (\text{greater_or_equal}(\text{sk}_1(b, a), b) \text{ or } \text{greater}(\text{growth_rate}(\text{efficient_producers}, c), \text{growth_rate}(\text{first_movers}, c))) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{mp_earliest_time_growth_rate_exceeds}_6, \text{axiom})$
 $(\text{environment}(a) \text{ and } \text{in_environment}(a, b) \text{ and } \text{greater}(\text{growth_rate}(\text{efficient_producers}, \text{sk}_1(b, a)), \text{growth_rate}(\text{first_movers}, \text{sk}_1(b, a)))) \Rightarrow (\text{in_environment}(a, \text{sk}_2(a)) \text{ and } \text{greater}(\text{growth_rate}(\text{efficient_producers}, \text{sk}_1(b, a)), \text{growth_rate}(\text{first_movers}, \text{sk}_1(b, a)))) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{mp_earliest_time_growth_rate_exceeds}_7, \text{axiom})$
 $(\text{environment}(a) \text{ and } \text{in_environment}(a, b) \text{ and } \text{greater}(\text{growth_rate}(\text{efficient_producers}, \text{sk}_1(b, a)), \text{growth_rate}(\text{first_movers}, \text{sk}_1(b, a)))) \Rightarrow (\neg \text{greater}(\text{growth_rate}(\text{efficient_producers}, \text{sk}_2(a)), \text{growth_rate}(\text{first_movers}, \text{sk}_2(a)))) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{mp_earliest_time_growth_rate_exceeds}_8, \text{axiom})$
 $(\text{environment}(a) \text{ and } \text{in_environment}(a, b) \text{ and } \text{greater}(\text{growth_rate}(\text{efficient_producers}, \text{sk}_1(b, a)), \text{growth_rate}(\text{first_movers}, \text{sk}_1(b, a)))) \Rightarrow (\text{greater}(\text{growth_rate}(\text{efficient_producers}, c), \text{growth_rate}(\text{first_movers}, c))) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{mp_earliest_time_growth_rate_exceeds}_9, \text{axiom})$
 $(\text{environment}(a) \text{ and } \text{stable}(a)) \Rightarrow \text{in_environment}(a, \text{sk}_3(a)) \quad \text{cnf}(l1_{10}, \text{hypothesis})$
 $(\text{environment}(a) \text{ and } \text{stable}(a) \text{ and } \text{subpopulations}(\text{first_movers}, \text{efficient_producers}, a, b) \text{ and } \text{greater_or_equal}(b, \text{sk}_3(a))) \Rightarrow (\text{greater}(\text{growth_rate}(\text{efficient_producers}, b), \text{growth_rate}(\text{first_movers}, b))) \quad \text{cnf}(l1_{11}, \text{hypothesis})$
 $\text{environment}(\text{sk}_4) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{prove_l12}_{12}, \text{negated_conjecture})$
 $\text{stable}(\text{sk}_4) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{prove_l12}_{13}, \text{negated_conjecture})$
 $\text{in_environment}(\text{sk}_4, a) \Rightarrow (\text{greater}(\text{growth_rate}(\text{efficient_producers}, a), \text{growth_rate}(\text{first_movers}, a)) \text{ or } \text{subpopulations}(\text{first_movers}, \text{efficient_producers}, a, b) \text{ and } \text{greater}(\text{growth_rate}(\text{efficient_producers}, a), \text{growth_rate}(\text{first_movers}, a)))) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{prove_l12}_{14}, \text{negated_conjecture})$
 $\text{in_environment}(\text{sk}_4, a) \Rightarrow (\text{greater}(\text{growth_rate}(\text{efficient_producers}, a), \text{growth_rate}(\text{first_movers}, a)) \text{ or } \text{greater}(\text{sk}_5(a), a)) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{prove_l12}_{15}, \text{negated_conjecture})$
 $(\text{in_environment}(\text{sk}_4, a) \text{ and } \text{greater}(\text{growth_rate}(\text{efficient_producers}, \text{sk}_5(a)), \text{growth_rate}(\text{first_movers}, \text{sk}_5(a)))) \Rightarrow \text{greater}(\text{growth_rate}(\text{efficient_producers}, \text{sk}_5(a)), \text{growth_rate}(\text{first_movers}, \text{sk}_5(a))) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{prove_l12}_{16}, \text{negated_conjecture})$

MGT031+1.p First movers appear first in an environment

$\forall e: (\text{environment}(e) \Rightarrow \text{greater}(\text{number_of_organizations}(e, \text{appear}(\text{an_organisation}, e)), 0)) \quad \text{fof}(\text{mp_positive_number_when_appear}, \text{axiom})$
 $\forall e, t: ((\text{environment}(e) \text{ and } \text{greater}(\text{number_of_organizations}(e, t), 0)) \Rightarrow \exists s: (\text{subpopulation}(s, e, t) \text{ and } \text{greater}(\text{cardinality_at_time}(s, t), \text{cardinality_at_time}(\text{first_movers}, t)))) \quad \text{fof}(\text{mp_first_movers_appear_first}, \text{axiom})$
 $\forall e, t: ((\text{environment}(e) \text{ and } \text{in_environment}(e, t) \text{ and } \text{greater}(\text{appear}(\text{efficient_producers}, e), t)) \Rightarrow \neg \text{greater}(\text{cardinality_at_time}(\text{first_movers}, t), \text{cardinality_at_time}(e, t))) \quad \text{fof}(\text{mp_first_movers_appear_first_negated}, \text{axiom})$
 $\forall e, t: ((\text{environment}(e) \text{ and } \text{in_environment}(e, t) \text{ and } \text{greater}(\text{appear}(\text{first_movers}, e), t)) \Rightarrow \neg \text{greater}(\text{cardinality_at_time}(\text{first_movers}, t), \text{cardinality_at_time}(e, t))) \quad \text{fof}(\text{mp_first_movers_appear_first_negated_2}, \text{axiom})$
 $\forall e: (\text{environment}(e) \Rightarrow \text{greater_or_equal}(\text{appear}(\text{first_movers}, e), \text{appear}(\text{an_organisation}, e))) \quad \text{fof}(\text{mp_FM_not_precede_first_movers}, \text{axiom})$
 $\forall x, y, z: ((\text{greater}(x, y) \text{ and } \text{greater}(y, z)) \Rightarrow \text{greater}(x, z)) \quad \text{fof}(\text{mp_greater_transitivity}, \text{axiom})$
 $\forall x, y: (\text{greater_or_equal}(x, y) \iff (\text{greater}(x, y) \text{ or } x = y)) \quad \text{fof}(\text{mp_greater_or_equal}, \text{axiom})$
 $\forall e, x, t: ((\text{environment}(e) \text{ and } \text{subpopulation}(x, e, t) \text{ and } \text{greater}(\text{cardinality_at_time}(x, t), 0)) \Rightarrow (x = \text{efficient_producers} \text{ or } x = \text{first_movers})) \quad \text{fof}(a_9, \text{hypothesis})$
 $\forall e: (\text{environment}(e) \Rightarrow \text{greater}(\text{appear}(\text{efficient_producers}, e), \text{appear}(\text{first_movers}, e))) \quad \text{fof}(a_{13}, \text{hypothesis})$
 $\forall e: ((\text{environment}(e) \text{ and } \text{in_environment}(e, \text{appear}(\text{an_organisation}, e))) \Rightarrow \text{appear}(\text{an_organisation}, e) = \text{appear}(\text{first_movers}, e)) \quad \text{fof}(a_{14}, \text{hypothesis})$

MGT031-1.p First movers appear first in an environment

$\text{environment}(a) \Rightarrow \text{greater}(\text{number_of_organizations}(e, \text{appear}(\text{an_organisation}, a)), 0) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{mp_positive_number_when_appear}, \text{axiom})$
 $(\text{environment}(a) \text{ and } \text{greater}(\text{number_of_organizations}(a, b), 0)) \Rightarrow \text{subpopulation}(\text{sk}_1(b, a), a, b) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{mp_number_mean_no_appear}, \text{axiom})$
 $(\text{environment}(a) \text{ and } \text{greater}(\text{number_of_organizations}(a, b), 0)) \Rightarrow \text{greater}(\text{cardinality_at_time}(\text{sk}_1(b, a), b), 0) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{mp_number_mean_no_appear_2}, \text{axiom})$
 $(\text{environment}(a) \text{ and } \text{in_environment}(a, b) \text{ and } \text{greater}(\text{appear}(\text{efficient_producers}, a), b)) \Rightarrow \neg \text{greater}(\text{cardinality_at_time}(\text{efficient_producers}, b), \text{cardinality_at_time}(a, b)) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{mp_number_mean_no_appear_3}, \text{axiom})$
 $(\text{environment}(a) \text{ and } \text{in_environment}(a, b) \text{ and } \text{greater}(\text{appear}(\text{first_movers}, a), b)) \Rightarrow \neg \text{greater}(\text{cardinality_at_time}(\text{first_movers}, b), \text{cardinality_at_time}(a, b)) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{mp_number_mean_no_appear_4}, \text{axiom})$
 $\text{environment}(a) \Rightarrow \text{greater_or_equal}(\text{appear}(\text{first_movers}, a), \text{appear}(\text{an_organisation}, a)) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{mp_FM_not_precede_first}_{25}, \text{axiom})$
 $(\text{greater}(a, b) \text{ and } \text{greater}(b, c)) \Rightarrow \text{greater}(a, c) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{mp_greater_transitivity}_{26}, \text{axiom})$
 $\text{greater_or_equal}(a, b) \Rightarrow (\text{greater}(a, b) \text{ or } a = b) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{mp_greater_or_equal}_{27}, \text{axiom})$
 $\text{greater}(a, b) \Rightarrow \text{greater_or_equal}(a, b) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{mp_greater_or_equal}_{28}, \text{axiom})$
 $a = b \Rightarrow \text{greater_or_equal}(a, b) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{mp_greater_or_equal}_{29}, \text{axiom})$
 $(\text{environment}(a) \text{ and } \text{subpopulation}(b, a, c) \text{ and } \text{greater}(\text{cardinality_at_time}(b, c), 0)) \Rightarrow (b = \text{efficient_producers} \text{ or } b = \text{first_movers}) \quad \text{cnf}(a9_{30}, \text{hypothesis})$

$\text{environment}(a) \Rightarrow \text{greater}(\text{appear}(\text{efficient_producers}, e), \text{appear}(\text{first_movers}, a)) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{a13}_{31}, \text{hypothesis})$
 $\text{environment}(\text{sk}_2) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{prove_l13}_{32}, \text{negated_conjecture})$
 $\text{in_environment}(\text{sk}_2, \text{appear}(\text{an_organisation}, \text{sk}_2)) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{prove_l13}_{33}, \text{negated_conjecture})$
 $\text{appear}(\text{an_organisation}, \text{sk}_2) \neq \text{appear}(\text{first_movers}, \text{sk}_2) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{prove_l13}_{34}, \text{negated_conjecture})$

MGT032+2.p Selection favours EPs above FMs

In stable environments, selection favors efficient producers above first movers past a certain point in time.

$\forall e, s_1, s_2, t: ((\text{environment}(e) \text{ and } \text{subpopulations}(s_1, s_2, e, t) \text{ and } \text{greater}(\text{growth_rate}(s_2, t), \text{growth_rate}(s_1, t))) \Rightarrow$
 $\text{selection_favors}(s_2, s_1, t)) \quad \text{fof}(\text{mp1_high_growth_rates}, \text{axiom})$

$\forall e: ((\text{environment}(e) \text{ and } \text{stable}(e)) \Rightarrow \exists \text{to}: (\text{in_environment}(e, \text{to}) \text{ and } \forall t: ((\text{subpopulations}(\text{first_movers}, \text{efficient_producers},$
 $\text{greater}(\text{growth_rate}(\text{efficient_producers}, t), \text{growth_rate}(\text{first_movers}, t)))))) \quad \text{fof}(l_1, \text{hypothesis})$

$\forall e: ((\text{environment}(e) \text{ and } \text{stable}(e)) \Rightarrow \exists \text{to}: (\text{in_environment}(e, \text{to}) \text{ and } \forall t: ((\text{subpopulations}(\text{first_movers}, \text{efficient_producers},$
 $\text{selection_favors}(\text{efficient_producers}, \text{first_movers}, t)))))) \quad \text{fof}(\text{prove_t}_1, \text{conjecture})$

MGT032-2.p Selection favours EPs above FMs

In stable environments, selection favors efficient producers above first movers past a certain point in time.

$(\text{environment}(a) \text{ and } \text{subpopulations}(b, c, a, d) \text{ and } \text{greater}(\text{growth_rate}(c, d), \text{growth_rate}(b, d))) \Rightarrow \text{selection_favors}(c, b, d)$

$(\text{environment}(a) \text{ and } \text{stable}(a)) \Rightarrow \text{in_environment}(a, \text{sk}_1(a)) \quad \text{cnf}(l1_2, \text{hypothesis})$

$(\text{environment}(a) \text{ and } \text{stable}(a) \text{ and } \text{subpopulations}(\text{first_movers}, \text{efficient_producers}, a, b) \text{ and } \text{greater_or_equal}(b, \text{sk}_1(a))) \Rightarrow$
 $\text{greater}(\text{growth_rate}(\text{efficient_producers}, b), \text{growth_rate}(\text{first_movers}, b)) \quad \text{cnf}(l1_3, \text{hypothesis})$

$\text{environment}(\text{sk}_2) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{prove_t1}_4, \text{negated_conjecture})$

$\text{stable}(\text{sk}_2) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{prove_t1}_5, \text{negated_conjecture})$

$\text{in_environment}(\text{sk}_2, a) \Rightarrow \text{subpopulations}(\text{first_movers}, \text{efficient_producers}, \text{sk}_2, \text{sk}_3(a)) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{prove_t1}_6, \text{negated_conjecture})$

$\text{in_environment}(\text{sk}_2, a) \Rightarrow \text{greater_or_equal}(\text{sk}_3(a), a) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{prove_t1}_7, \text{negated_conjecture})$

$\text{in_environment}(\text{sk}_2, a) \Rightarrow \neg \text{selection_favors}(\text{efficient_producers}, \text{first_movers}, \text{sk}_3(a)) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{prove_t1}_8, \text{negated_conjecture})$

MGT033-1.p Selection favors FMs above EPs until EPs appear

Selection favors first movers above efficient producers until the appearance of efficient producers.

$(\text{environment}(a) \text{ and } \text{subpopulation}(b, a, c) \text{ and } \text{subpopulation}(d, a, c) \text{ and } \text{greater}(\text{cardinality_at_time}(b, c), 0) \text{ and } \text{cardinality}$
 $0) \Rightarrow \text{selection_favors}(b, d, c) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{mp2_favour_members}_{24}, \text{axiom})$

$(\text{environment}(a) \text{ and } \text{in_environment}(a, b) \text{ and } \text{greater}(\text{appear}(c, a), b)) \Rightarrow \text{cardinality_at_time}(c, b) = 0 \quad \text{cnf}(\text{mp_not_prese}$

$(\text{environment}(a) \text{ and } \text{greater}(\text{number_of_organizations}(a, b), 0)) \Rightarrow \text{subpopulation}(\text{sk}_1(b, a), a, b) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{mp_positive_sum_me}$

$(\text{environment}(a) \text{ and } \text{greater}(\text{number_of_organizations}(a, b), 0)) \Rightarrow \text{greater}(\text{cardinality_at_time}(\text{sk}_1(b, a), b), 0) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{mp_pos}$

$\text{cardinality_at_time}(a, t) = 0 \Rightarrow \neg \text{greater}(\text{cardinality_at_time}(a, b), 0) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{mp_zero_is_not_positive}_{28}, \text{axiom})$

$(\text{environment}(a) \text{ and } \text{greater}(\text{number_of_organizations}(a, b), 0)) \Rightarrow \text{in_environment}(a, b) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{mp_positive_and_sustains}_{29}, a$

$(\text{environment}(a) \text{ and } \text{in_environment}(a, b) \text{ and } \text{in_environment}(a, c) \text{ and } \text{greater_or_equal}(c, d) \text{ and } \text{greater_or_equal}(d, b)) \Rightarrow$

$\text{in_environment}(a, d) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{mp_durations_are_time_intervals}_{30}, \text{axiom})$

$\text{environment}(a) \Rightarrow \text{in_environment}(a, \text{start_time}(a)) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{mp_opening_time_in_duration}_{31}, \text{axiom})$

$\text{environment}(a) \Rightarrow \text{greater_or_equal}(\text{appear}(\text{first_movers}, a), \text{start_time}(a)) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{mp_no_FM_before_opening}_{32}, \text{axiom})$

$(\text{environment}(a) \text{ and } \text{in_environment}(a, b)) \Rightarrow \text{subpopulation}(\text{first_movers}, a, b) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{mp_subpopulations}_{33}, \text{axiom})$

$(\text{environment}(a) \text{ and } \text{in_environment}(a, b)) \Rightarrow \text{subpopulation}(\text{efficient_producers}, a, b) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{mp_subpopulations}_{34}, \text{axiom})$

$(\text{environment}(a) \text{ and } \text{in_environment}(a, \text{appear}(\text{first_movers}, a))) \Rightarrow \text{in_environment}(a, \text{appear}(\text{an_organisation}, a)) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{m}$

$(\text{environment}(a) \text{ and } \text{in_environment}(a, b) \text{ and } \text{greater_or_equal}(b, \text{appear}(\text{an_organisation}, a))) \Rightarrow \text{greater}(\text{number_of_organiz}$

$(\text{environment}(a) \text{ and } \text{subpopulation}(b, a, c) \text{ and } \text{greater}(\text{cardinality_at_time}(b, c), 0)) \Rightarrow (b = \text{efficient_producers} \text{ or } b =$
 $\text{first_movers}) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{a9}_{37}, \text{hypothesis})$

$(\text{environment}(a) \text{ and } \text{in_environment}(a, \text{appear}(\text{an_organisation}, a))) \Rightarrow \text{appear}(\text{an_organisation}, a) = \text{appear}(\text{first_movers}, a)$

$\text{environment}(\text{sk}_2) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{prove_t2}_{39}, \text{negated_conjecture})$

$\text{in_environment}(\text{sk}_2, \text{sk}_3) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{prove_t2}_{40}, \text{negated_conjecture})$

$\text{greater_or_equal}(\text{sk}_3, \text{appear}(\text{first_movers}, \text{sk}_2)) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{prove_t2}_{41}, \text{negated_conjecture})$

$\text{greater}(\text{appear}(\text{efficient_producers}, \text{sk}_2), \text{sk}_3) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{prove_t2}_{42}, \text{negated_conjecture})$

$\neg \text{selection_favors}(\text{first_movers}, \text{efficient_producers}, \text{sk}_3) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{prove_t2}_{43}, \text{negated_conjecture})$

MGT035+1.p EPs outcompete FMs in stable environments

Efficient producers outcompete first movers past a certain time in stable environments.

$\forall x, y, z: ((\text{greater}(x, y) \text{ and } \text{greater}(y, z)) \Rightarrow \text{greater}(x, z)) \quad \text{fof}(\text{mp_greater_transitivity}, \text{axiom})$

$\forall e, t_1, t_2: ((\text{in_environment}(e, t_1) \text{ and } \text{in_environment}(e, t_2)) \Rightarrow (\text{greater}(t_2, t_1) \text{ or } t_2 = t_1 \text{ or } \text{greater}(t_1, t_2))) \quad \text{fof}(\text{mp_times}$

$\forall x, y: (\text{greater_or_equal}(x, y) \iff (\text{greater}(x, y) \text{ or } x = y)) \quad \text{fof}(\text{mp_greater_or_equal}, \text{axiom})$

$\forall e, s_1, s_2, t: ((\text{environment}(e) \text{ and } \text{subpopulations}(s_1, s_2, e, t)) \Rightarrow ((\text{greater_or_equal}(\text{growth_rate}(s_2, t), 0) \text{ and } \text{greater}(0, \text{grow}$
 $\text{outcompetes}(s_2, s_1, t))) \quad \text{fof}(d_2, \text{hypothesis})$

$\forall e, t: ((\text{environment}(e) \text{ and } \text{subpopulations}(\text{first_movers}, \text{efficient_producers}, e, t) \text{ and } \text{greater_or_equal}(t, \text{equilibrium}(e))) \Rightarrow$
 $((\text{growth_rate}(\text{first_movers}, t) = 0 \text{ and } \text{growth_rate}(\text{efficient_producers}, t) = 0) \text{ or } (\text{greater}(\text{growth_rate}(\text{first_movers}, t), 0) \text{ and}$

$(\text{environment}(a) \text{ and subpopulations}(b, c, a, d) \text{ and greater_or_equal}(\text{growth_rate}(c, d), 0) \text{ and greater}(0, \text{growth_rate}(b, d))) \Rightarrow$
 $\text{outcompetes}(c, b, d) \quad \text{cnf}(d27, \text{hypothesis})$
 $(\text{environment}(a) \text{ and subpopulations}(b, c, a, d) \text{ and outcompetes}(c, b, d)) \Rightarrow \text{greater_or_equal}(\text{growth_rate}(c, d), 0) \quad \text{cnf}(d28, \text{hypothesis})$
 $(\text{environment}(a) \text{ and subpopulations}(b, c, a, d) \text{ and outcompetes}(c, b, d)) \Rightarrow \text{greater}(0, \text{growth_rate}(b, d)) \quad \text{cnf}(d29, \text{hypothesis})$
 $\text{greater}(\text{resilience}(\text{efficient_producers}), \text{resilience}(\text{first_movers})) \quad \text{cnf}(a210, \text{hypothesis})$
 $(\text{environment}(a) \text{ and in_environment}(a, b) \text{ and greater}(\text{resilience}(d), \text{resilience}(c)) \text{ and greater}(0, \text{growth_rate}(d, b))) \Rightarrow$
 $\text{greater}(0, \text{growth_rate}(c, b)) \quad \text{cnf}(a1311, \text{hypothesis})$
 $\text{environment}(\text{sk}_1) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{prove_t5}_{12}, \text{negated_conjecture})$
 $\text{subpopulations}(\text{first_movers}, \text{efficient_producers}, \text{sk}_1, \text{sk}_2) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{prove_t5}_{13}, \text{negated_conjecture})$
 $\text{outcompetes}(\text{first_movers}, \text{efficient_producers}, \text{sk}_2) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{prove_t5}_{14}, \text{negated_conjecture})$

MGT036-3.p First movers never outcompete efficient producers.

$(\text{environment}(a) \text{ and subpopulations}(b, c, a, d)) \Rightarrow \text{subpopulations}(c, b, a, d) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{mp_symmetry_of_subpopulations}_1, \text{axiom})$
 $(\text{environment}(a) \text{ and subpopulations}(b, c, a, d) \text{ and greater_or_equal}(\text{growth_rate}(c, d), 0) \text{ and greater}(0, \text{growth_rate}(b, d))) \Rightarrow$
 $\text{outcompetes}(c, b, d) \quad \text{cnf}(d22, \text{hypothesis})$
 $(\text{environment}(a) \text{ and subpopulations}(b, c, a, d) \text{ and outcompetes}(c, b, d)) \Rightarrow \text{greater_or_equal}(\text{growth_rate}(c, d), 0) \quad \text{cnf}(d23, \text{hypothesis})$
 $(\text{environment}(a) \text{ and subpopulations}(b, c, a, d) \text{ and outcompetes}(c, b, d)) \Rightarrow \text{greater}(0, \text{growth_rate}(b, d)) \quad \text{cnf}(d24, \text{hypothesis})$
 $\text{environment}(\text{sk}_1) \quad \text{cnf}(a13_star_5, \text{hypothesis})$
 $\text{subpopulations}(\text{first_movers}, \text{efficient_producers}, \text{sk}_1, \text{sk}_2) \quad \text{cnf}(a13_star_6, \text{hypothesis})$
 $\text{greater_or_equal}(\text{growth_rate}(\text{first_movers}, \text{sk}_2), 0) \quad \text{cnf}(a13_star_7, \text{hypothesis})$
 $\text{greater}(0, \text{growth_rate}(\text{efficient_producers}, \text{sk}_2)) \quad \text{cnf}(a13_star_8, \text{hypothesis})$
 $(\text{environment}(a) \text{ and subpopulations}(\text{first_movers}, \text{efficient_producers}, a, b)) \Rightarrow \neg \text{outcompetes}(\text{first_movers}, \text{efficient_producers}, a, b)$

MGT038+1.p FMs become extinct in stable environments

First movers become extinct past a certain point in time in stable environments.

$\text{finite_set}(\text{first_movers}) \quad \text{fof}(\text{mp7_first_movers_exist}, \text{axiom})$
 $\forall e: ((\text{environment}(e) \text{ and stable}(e)) \Rightarrow \text{in_environment}(e, \text{appear}(\text{first_movers}, e))) \quad \text{fof}(\text{mp_stable_first_movers}, \text{axiom})$
 $\forall s, \text{to}: ((\text{finite_set}(s) \text{ and contracts_from}(\text{to}, s)) \Rightarrow \exists t_2: (\text{greater}(t_2, \text{to}) \text{ and cardinality_at_time}(s, t_2) = 0)) \quad \text{fof}(\text{mp_contracting_time}, \text{axiom})$
 $\forall e, t_1, t_2: ((\text{environment}(e) \text{ and stable}(e) \text{ and in_environment}(e, t_1) \text{ and greater}(t_2, t_1)) \Rightarrow \text{in_environment}(e, t_2)) \quad \text{fof}(\text{mp_long_stable_en}, \text{axiom})$
 $\forall x, y, z: ((\text{greater}(x, y) \text{ and greater}(y, z)) \Rightarrow \text{greater}(x, z)) \quad \text{fof}(\text{mp_greater_transitivity}, \text{axiom})$
 $\forall e: ((\text{environment}(e) \text{ and stable}(e)) \Rightarrow \exists \text{to}: (\text{greater}(\text{to}, \text{appear}(\text{efficient_producers}, e)) \text{ and contracts_from}(\text{to}, \text{first_movers}))) \quad \text{fof}(\text{mp_contracting_time}, \text{axiom})$
 $\forall e: (\text{environment}(e) \Rightarrow \text{greater}(\text{appear}(\text{efficient_producers}, e), \text{appear}(\text{first_movers}, e))) \quad \text{fof}(a13, \text{hypothesis})$
 $\forall e: ((\text{environment}(e) \text{ and stable}(e)) \Rightarrow \exists \text{to}: (\text{in_environment}(e, \text{to}) \text{ and greater}(\text{to}, \text{appear}(\text{first_movers}, e)) \text{ and cardinality_at_time}(\text{first_movers}, \text{to}) = 0)) \quad \text{fof}(\text{prove_t7}, \text{conjecture})$

MGT038-1.p FMs become extinct in stable environments

First movers become extinct past a certain point in time in stable environments.

$\text{finite_set}(\text{first_movers}) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{mp7_first_movers_exist}_{17}, \text{axiom})$
 $(\text{environment}(a) \text{ and stable}(a)) \Rightarrow \text{in_environment}(a, \text{appear}(\text{first_movers}, a)) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{mp_stable_first_movers}_{18}, \text{axiom})$
 $(\text{finite_set}(a) \text{ and contracts_from}(b, a)) \Rightarrow \text{greater}(\text{sk}_1(b, a), b) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{mp_contracting_time}_{19}, \text{axiom})$
 $(\text{finite_set}(a) \text{ and contracts_from}(b, a)) \Rightarrow \text{cardinality_at_time}(s, t_2) = 0 \quad \text{cnf}(\text{mp_contracting_time}_{20}, \text{axiom})$
 $(\text{environment}(a) \text{ and stable}(a) \text{ and in_environment}(a, b) \text{ and greater}(c, b)) \Rightarrow \text{in_environment}(a, c) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{mp_long_stable_en}_{21}, \text{axiom})$
 $(\text{greater}(a, b) \text{ and greater}(b, c)) \Rightarrow \text{greater}(a, c) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{mp_greater_transitivity}_{22}, \text{axiom})$
 $(\text{environment}(a) \text{ and stable}(a)) \Rightarrow \text{greater}(\text{sk}_2(a), \text{appear}(\text{efficient_producers}, a)) \quad \text{cnf}(1923, \text{hypothesis})$
 $(\text{environment}(a) \text{ and stable}(a)) \Rightarrow \text{contracts_from}(\text{sk}_2(a), \text{first_movers}) \quad \text{cnf}(1924, \text{hypothesis})$
 $\text{environment}(a) \Rightarrow \text{greater}(\text{appear}(\text{efficient_producers}, e), \text{appear}(\text{first_movers}, a)) \quad \text{cnf}(a13_{25}, \text{hypothesis})$
 $\text{environment}(\text{sk}_3) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{prove_t7}_{26}, \text{negated_conjecture})$
 $\text{stable}(\text{sk}_3) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{prove_t7}_{27}, \text{negated_conjecture})$
 $(\text{in_environment}(\text{sk}_3, a) \text{ and greater}(a, \text{appear}(\text{first_movers}, \text{sk}_3))) \Rightarrow \text{cardinality_at_time}(\text{first_movers}, \text{to}) \neq 0 \quad \text{cnf}(\text{prove_t7}_{28}, \text{conjecture})$

MGT039-1.p Selection favours EPs above Fms if change is slow

Selection favors efficient producers above first movers if environmental change is slow.

$(\text{observational_period}(a) \text{ and propagation_strategy}(\text{first_movers}) \text{ and propagation_strategy}(\text{efficient_producers})) \Rightarrow$
 $(\text{environment}(\text{sk}_1(a)) \text{ or selection_favors}(\text{efficient_producers}, \text{first_movers}, a)) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{mp3_favoured_strategy}_{20}, \text{axiom})$
 $(\text{observational_period}(a) \text{ and propagation_strategy}(\text{first_movers}) \text{ and propagation_strategy}(\text{efficient_producers})) \Rightarrow$
 $(\text{in_environment}(a, \text{sk}_1(a)) \text{ or selection_favors}(\text{efficient_producers}, \text{first_movers}, a)) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{mp3_favoured_strategy}_{21}, \text{axiom})$
 $(\text{observational_period}(a) \text{ and propagation_strategy}(\text{first_movers}) \text{ and propagation_strategy}(\text{efficient_producers}) \text{ and selection_favors}(\text{efficient_producers}, \text{first_movers}, a)) \Rightarrow$
 $\text{selection_favors}(\text{efficient_producers}, \text{first_movers}, a) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{mp3_favoured_strategy}_{22}, \text{axiom})$
 $(\text{observational_period}(a) \text{ and slow_change}(a) \text{ and environment}(b) \text{ and in_environment}(a, b)) \Rightarrow \text{in_environment}(b, \text{sk}_2(b, a))$
 $(\text{observational_period}(a) \text{ and slow_change}(a) \text{ and environment}(b) \text{ and in_environment}(a, b)) \Rightarrow \text{greater}(\text{sk}_2(b, a), \text{critical_point}(b, a))$
 $\text{propagation_strategy}(\text{first_movers}) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{mp_organizational_sets}_{125}, \text{axiom})$

propagation_strategy(efficient_producers) cnf(mp_organizational_sets2₂₆, axiom)
 (environment(a) and greater_or_equal(b , start_time(a)) and greater_or_equal(end_time(a), b)) \Rightarrow in_environment(a , b) cnf(mp_environment_end_point2₂₈, axiom)
 (environment(a) and in_environment(a , b)) \Rightarrow greater_or_equal(end_time(a), b) cnf(mp_environment_end_point2₂₈, axiom)
 environment(a) \Rightarrow greater_or_equal(critical_point(a), start_time(a)) cnf(mp_time_of_critical_point2₂₉, axiom)
 (greater(a , b) and greater(b , c)) \Rightarrow greater(a , c) cnf(mp_greater_transitivity3₀, axiom)
 greater_or_equal(a , b) \Rightarrow (greater(a , b) or $a = b$) cnf(mp_greater_or_equal3₁, axiom)
 greater(a , b) \Rightarrow greater_or_equal(a , b) cnf(mp_greater_or_equal3₂, axiom)
 $a = b$ \Rightarrow greater_or_equal(a , b) cnf(mp_greater_or_equal3₃, axiom)
 (environment(a) and greater(b , start_time(a))) \Rightarrow (greater(b , end_time(a)) or greater_or_equal(end_time(a), b)) cnf(mp_bigger_than_start_time3₄, axiom)
 (environment(a) and in_environment(a , b) and greater(b , critical_point(a))) \Rightarrow selection_favors(efficient_producers, first_movers, a) cnf(mp_selection_favors3₅, axiom)
 observational_period(sk₃) cnf(prove_t8₃₆, negated_conjecture)
 slow_change(sk₃) cnf(prove_t8₃₇, negated_conjecture)
 \neg selection_favors(efficient_producers, first_movers, sk₃) cnf(prove_t8₃₈, negated_conjecture)

MGT040-2.p Selection favours FMs above EPs if change is not extreme

Selection favors first movers above efficient producers if environmental change is rapid but not extreme during the observational period.

(observational_period(a) and propagation_strategy(first_movers) and propagation_strategy(efficient_producers)) \Rightarrow
 (environment(sk₁(a)) or selection_favors(efficient_producers, first_movers, a)) cnf(mp3_favoured_strategy2₃, axiom)
 (observational_period(a) and propagation_strategy(first_movers) and propagation_strategy(efficient_producers)) \Rightarrow
 (in_environment(a , sk₁(a)) or selection_favors(efficient_producers, first_movers, a)) cnf(mp3_favoured_strategy2₄, axiom)
 (observational_period(a) and propagation_strategy(first_movers) and propagation_strategy(efficient_producers) and selection_favors(efficient_producers, first_movers, a)) \Rightarrow selection_favors(efficient_producers, first_movers, a) cnf(mp3_favoured_strategy2₅, axiom)
 (observational_period(a) and rapid_change(a) and environment(b) and in_environment(a , b)) \Rightarrow \neg in_environment(b , critical_point(a)) cnf(mp6_not_extreme3₆, axiom)
 (observational_period(a) and environment(b) and in_environment(a , b) and empty(b)) \Rightarrow extreme(a) cnf(mp6_not_extreme3₆, axiom)
 propagation_strategy(first_movers) cnf(mp_organizational_sets1₂₈, axiom)
 propagation_strategy(efficient_producers) cnf(mp_organizational_sets2₂₉, axiom)
 environment(a) \Rightarrow in_environment(a , end_time(a)) cnf(mp_endpoint_in_environment3₀, axiom)
 environment(a) \Rightarrow (in_environment(a , critical_point(a)) or greater(critical_point(a), end_time(a))) cnf(mp_critical_point_in_environment3₁, axiom)
 environment(a) \Rightarrow (empty(a) or greater_or_equal(end_time(a), appear(an_organisation, a))) cnf(mp_non_empty_means_environment3₂, axiom)
 (environment(a) and greater_or_equal(b , appear(efficient_producers, a)) and greater(critical_point(a), b)) \Rightarrow (in_environment(a , critical_point(a)) or selection_favors(efficient_producers, first_movers, a)) cnf(mp_selection_favors3₃, axiom)
 (environment(a) and greater_or_equal(b , appear(efficient_producers, a)) and greater(critical_point(a), b) and selection_favors(efficient_producers, first_movers, a)) \Rightarrow selection_favors(efficient_producers, first_movers, a) cnf(mp_selection_favors3₃, axiom)
 (in_environment(a , critical_point(a)) or selection_favors(first_movers, efficient_producers, end_time(a))) cnf(mp_selection_favors3₃, axiom)
 greater_or_equal(a , b) \Rightarrow (greater(a , b) or $a = b$) cnf(mp_greater_or_equal3₅, axiom)
 in_environment(a , b) \Rightarrow (greater(appear(efficient_producers, a), b) or greater_or_equal(b , appear(efficient_producers, a))) cnf(mp_in_environment3₆, axiom)
 (environment(a) and in_environment(a , b) and greater_or_equal(b , appear(first_movers, a)) and greater(appear(efficient_producers, a), b)) \Rightarrow selection_favors(first_movers, efficient_producers, b) cnf(t2₃₇, hypothesis)
 (environment(a) and in_environment(a , critical_point(a)) and greater_or_equal(b , appear(efficient_producers, a)) and greater(critical_point(a), b)) \Rightarrow selection_favors(first_movers, efficient_producers, b) cnf(t3₃₈, hypothesis)
 observational_period(sk₂) cnf(prove_t9₃₉, negated_conjecture)
 rapid_change(sk₂) cnf(prove_t9₄₀, negated_conjecture)
 \neg extreme(sk₂) cnf(prove_t9₄₁, negated_conjecture)
 \neg selection_favors(first_movers, efficient_producers, sk₂) cnf(prove_t9₄₂, negated_conjecture)

MGT041+2.p There are non-FM and non-EP organisations

There are non-first mover and non-efficient producers organisations.

$\forall x, t: \neg$ number_of_routines(x , t , low) and number_of_routines(x , t , high) fof(mp_not_high_and_low, axiom)
 $\forall x, t: (($ organisation_at_time(x , t) and efficient_producer(x) and founding_time(x , t)) \Rightarrow has_elaborated_routines(x , t)) fof(a15, hypothesis)
 $\forall x, t: (($ organisation_at_time(x , t) and first_mover(x) and founding_time(x , t)) \Rightarrow number_of_routines(x , t , low)) fof(a15, hypothesis)
 $\exists x, t: ($ organisation_at_time(x , t) and founding_time(x , t) and number_of_routines(x , t , high) and \neg has_elaborated_routines(x , t)) fof(prove_t1₀, conjecture)
 $\exists x, t: ($ organisation_at_time(x , t) and \neg first_mover(x) and \neg efficient_producer(x)) fof(prove_t1₀, conjecture)

MGT041-2.p There are non-FM and non-EP organisations

There are non-first mover and non-efficient producers organisations.

number_of_routines(a , b , low) \Rightarrow \neg number_of_routines(a , b , high) cnf(mp_not_high_and_low₁, axiom)
 (organisation_at_time(a , b) and efficient_producer(a) and founding_time(a , b)) \Rightarrow has_elaborated_routines(a , b) cnf(a14₂, hypothesis)
 (organisation_at_time(a , b) and first_mover(a) and founding_time(a , b)) \Rightarrow number_of_routines(a , b , low) cnf(a15₃, hypothesis)
 organisation_at_time(sk₁, sk₂) cnf(a16₄, hypothesis)
 founding_time(sk₁, sk₂) cnf(a16₅, hypothesis)
 number_of_routines(sk₁, sk₂, high) cnf(a16₆, hypothesis)
 \neg has_elaborated_routines(sk₁, sk₂) cnf(a16₇, hypothesis)

organisation_at_time(a, b) \Rightarrow (first_mover(a) or efficient_producer(a)) cnf(prove_t10₈, negated_conjecture)

MGT043+1.p Conditions for a higher hazard of mortality

When an organization lacks immunity, the growth of internal friction elevates its hazard of mortality when its knowledge and the quality of its ties are constant.

include('Axioms/MGT001+0.ax')

$\forall x, t_0, t:$ ((organization(x) and \neg has_immunity(x, t_0) and \neg has_immunity(x, t)) \Rightarrow (((greater(capability(x, t), capability(x, t_0)), smaller(hazard_of_mortality(x, t), hazard_of_mortality(x, t_0))) and ((greater_or_equal(capability(x, t), capability(x, t_0)) and greater_or_equal(hazard_of_mortality(x, t), hazard_of_mortality(x, t_0))) and ((capability(x, t) = capability(x, t_0) and position(x, t) = position(x, t_0)) \Rightarrow hazard_of_mortality(x, t) = hazard_of_mortality(x, t_0)))) fof(assumption₄, axiom)

$\forall x, t_0, t:$ (organization(x) \Rightarrow (((greater(stock_of_knowledge(x, t), stock_of_knowledge(x, t_0)) and smaller_or_equal(internal_friction(x, t), internal_friction(x, t_0))) and ((greater(capability(x, t), capability(x, t_0))) and ((smaller_or_equal(stock_of_knowledge(x, t), stock_of_knowledge(x, t_0)) and greater_or_equal(internal_friction(x, t), internal_friction(x, t_0))) and ((stock_of_knowledge(x, t) = stock_of_knowledge(x, t_0) and internal_friction(x, t) = internal_friction(x, t_0)) \Rightarrow capability(x, t) = capability(x, t_0)))) fof(assumption₅, axiom)

$\forall x, t_0, t:$ (organization(x) \Rightarrow ((greater(external_ties(x, t), external_ties(x, t_0)) \Rightarrow greater(position(x, t), position(x, t_0))) and (external_ties(x, t_0) \Rightarrow position(x, t) = position(x, t_0))) fof(assumption₆, axiom)

$\forall x, t_0, t:$ ((organization(x) and \neg has_immunity(x, t_0) and \neg has_immunity(x, t) and stock_of_knowledge(x, t) = stock_of_knowledge(x, t_0) and external_ties(x, t) \Rightarrow greater(hazard_of_mortality(x, t), hazard_of_mortality(x, t_0))) fof(lemma₂, conjecture)

MGT043-1.p Conditions for a higher hazard of mortality

When an organization lacks immunity, the growth of internal friction elevates its hazard of mortality when its knowledge and the quality of its ties are constant.

include('Axioms/MGT001-0.ax')

(organization(a) and greater(capability(a, c), capability(a, b)) and greater_or_equal(position(a, c), position(a, b))) \Rightarrow (has_immunity(a, b) or has_immunity(a, c) or smaller(hazard_of_mortality(a, c), hazard_of_mortality(a, b))) cnf(assumption₄₀, axiom)

(organization(a) and greater_or_equal(capability(a, c), capability(a, b)) and greater(position(a, c), position(a, b))) \Rightarrow (has_immunity(a, b) or has_immunity(a, c) or smaller(hazard_of_mortality(a, c), hazard_of_mortality(a, b))) cnf(assumption₄₁, axiom)

(organization(a) and capability(a, c) = capability(a, b) and position(a, c) = position(a, b)) \Rightarrow (has_immunity(a, b) or has_immunity(a, c)) cnf(assumption₄₂, axiom)

(organization(a) and greater(stock_of_knowledge(a, b), stock_of_knowledge(a, c)) and smaller_or_equal(internal_friction(a, b), internal_friction(a, c))) \Rightarrow greater(capability(a, b), capability(a, c)) cnf(assumption₅₁, axiom)

(organization(a) and smaller_or_equal(stock_of_knowledge(a, b), stock_of_knowledge(a, c)) and greater(internal_friction(a, b), internal_friction(a, c))) \Rightarrow smaller(capability(a, b), capability(a, c)) cnf(assumption₅₂, axiom)

(organization(a) and stock_of_knowledge(a, b) = stock_of_knowledge(a, c) and internal_friction(a, b) = internal_friction(a, c)) \Rightarrow capability(a, b) = capability(a, c) cnf(assumption₅₃, axiom)

(organization(a) and greater(external_ties(a, b), external_ties(a, c))) \Rightarrow greater(position(a, b), position(a, c)) cnf(assumption₆₄, axiom)

(organization(a) and external_ties(a, b) = external_ties(a, c)) \Rightarrow position(a, b) = position(a, c) cnf(assumption₆₅, axiom)

organization(sk₁) cnf(lemma₂₄₆, negated_conjecture)

\neg has_immunity(sk₁, sk₂) cnf(lemma₂₄₇, negated_conjecture)

\neg has_immunity(sk₁, sk₃) cnf(lemma₂₄₈, negated_conjecture)

stock_of_knowledge(sk₁, sk₃) = stock_of_knowledge(sk₁, sk₂) cnf(lemma₂₄₉, negated_conjecture)

greater(internal_friction(sk₁, sk₃), internal_friction(sk₁, sk₂)) cnf(lemma₂₅₀, negated_conjecture)

external_ties(sk₁, sk₂) = external_ties(sk₁, sk₃) cnf(lemma₂₅₁, negated_conjecture)

\neg greater(hazard_of_mortality(sk₁, sk₃), hazard_of_mortality(sk₁, sk₂)) cnf(lemma₂₅₂, negated_conjecture)

MGT044+1.p Capability increases monotonically with age

An organization's capability increases monotonically with its age.

include('Axioms/MGT001+0.ax')

$\forall x, t_0, t:$ (organization(x) \Rightarrow (((greater(stock_of_knowledge(x, t), stock_of_knowledge(x, t_0)) and smaller_or_equal(internal_friction(x, t), internal_friction(x, t_0))) and ((greater(capability(x, t), capability(x, t_0))) and ((smaller_or_equal(stock_of_knowledge(x, t), stock_of_knowledge(x, t_0)) and greater_or_equal(internal_friction(x, t), internal_friction(x, t_0))) and ((stock_of_knowledge(x, t) = stock_of_knowledge(x, t_0) and internal_friction(x, t) = internal_friction(x, t_0)) \Rightarrow capability(x, t) = capability(x, t_0)))) fof(assumption₅, axiom)

$\forall x, t_0, t:$ ((organization(x) and greater(age(x, t), age(x, t_0))) \Rightarrow greater(stock_of_knowledge(x, t), stock_of_knowledge(x, t_0)))

$\forall x, t_0, t:$ (organization(x) \Rightarrow internal_friction(x, t) = internal_friction(x, t_0)) fof(assumption₉, axiom)

$\forall x, t_0, t:$ ((organization(x) and greater(age(x, t), age(x, t_0))) \Rightarrow greater(capability(x, t), capability(x, t_0))) fof(lemma₃, conjecture)

MGT044-1.p Capability increases monotonically with age

An organization's capability increases monotonically with its age.

include('Axioms/MGT001-0.ax')

(organization(a) and greater(stock_of_knowledge(a, b), stock_of_knowledge(a, c)) and smaller_or_equal(internal_friction(a, b), internal_friction(a, c))) \Rightarrow greater(capability(a, b), capability(a, c)) cnf(assumption₅₃₂, axiom)

$(\text{organization}(a) \text{ and } \text{smaller_or_equal}(\text{stock_of_knowledge}(a, b), \text{stock_of_knowledge}(a, c)) \text{ and } \text{greater}(\text{internal_friction}(a, b), \text{internal_friction}(a, c))) \Rightarrow \text{smaller}(\text{capability}(a, b), \text{capability}(a, c)) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{assumption_533}, \text{axiom})$
 $(\text{organization}(a) \text{ and } \text{stock_of_knowledge}(a, b) = \text{stock_of_knowledge}(a, c) \text{ and } \text{internal_friction}(a, b) = \text{internal_friction}(a, c)) \Rightarrow \text{smaller}(\text{capability}(a, b), \text{capability}(a, c)) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{assumption_534}, \text{axiom})$
 $(\text{organization}(a) \text{ and } \text{greater}(\text{age}(a, b), \text{age}(a, c))) \Rightarrow \text{greater}(\text{stock_of_knowledge}(a, b), \text{stock_of_knowledge}(a, c)) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{assumption_936}, \text{axiom})$
 $\text{organization}(a) \Rightarrow \text{internal_friction}(a, b) = \text{internal_friction}(a, c) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{assumption_936}, \text{axiom})$
 $\text{organization}(\text{sk}_1) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{lemma_337}, \text{negated_conjecture})$
 $\text{greater}(\text{age}(\text{sk}_1, \text{sk}_3), \text{age}(\text{sk}_1, \text{sk}_2)) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{lemma_338}, \text{negated_conjecture})$
 $\neg \text{greater}(\text{capability}(\text{sk}_1, \text{sk}_3), \text{capability}(\text{sk}_1, \text{sk}_2)) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{lemma_339}, \text{negated_conjecture})$

MGT045+1.p Structural position increases monotonically with age

An organization's structural position increases monotonically with its age.

include('Axioms/MGT001+0.ax')

$\forall x, t_0, t: (\text{organization}(x) \Rightarrow ((\text{greater}(\text{external_ties}(x, t), \text{external_ties}(x, t_0)) \Rightarrow \text{greater}(\text{position}(x, t), \text{position}(x, t_0))) \text{ and } \text{external_ties}(x, t_0) \Rightarrow \text{position}(x, t) = \text{position}(x, t_0)))) \quad \text{fof}(\text{assumption}_6, \text{axiom})$

$\forall x, t_0, t: ((\text{organization}(x) \text{ and } \text{greater}(\text{age}(x, t), \text{age}(x, t_0))) \Rightarrow \text{greater}(\text{external_ties}(x, t), \text{external_ties}(x, t_0))) \quad \text{fof}(\text{assumption}_6, \text{axiom})$

$\forall x, t_0, t: ((\text{organization}(x) \text{ and } \text{greater}(\text{age}(x, t), \text{age}(x, t_0))) \Rightarrow \text{greater}(\text{position}(x, t), \text{position}(x, t_0))) \quad \text{fof}(\text{lemma}_4, \text{conjecture})$

MGT045-1.p Structural position increases monotonically with age

An organization's structural position increases monotonically with its age.

include('Axioms/MGT001-0.ax')

$(\text{organization}(a) \text{ and } \text{greater}(\text{external_ties}(a, b), \text{external_ties}(a, c))) \Rightarrow \text{greater}(\text{position}(a, b), \text{position}(a, c)) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{assumption}_6, \text{axiom})$

$(\text{organization}(a) \text{ and } \text{external_ties}(a, b) = \text{external_ties}(a, c)) \Rightarrow \text{position}(a, b) = \text{position}(a, c) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{assumption_631}, \text{axiom})$

$(\text{organization}(a) \text{ and } \text{greater}(\text{age}(a, b), \text{age}(a, c))) \Rightarrow \text{greater}(\text{external_ties}(a, b), \text{external_ties}(a, c)) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{assumption_832}, \text{axiom})$

$\text{organization}(\text{sk}_1) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{lemma_433}, \text{negated_conjecture})$

$\text{greater}(\text{age}(\text{sk}_1, \text{sk}_3), \text{age}(\text{sk}_1, \text{sk}_2)) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{lemma_434}, \text{negated_conjecture})$

$\neg \text{greater}(\text{position}(\text{sk}_1, \text{sk}_3), \text{position}(\text{sk}_1, \text{sk}_2)) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{lemma_435}, \text{negated_conjecture})$

MGT046-1.p Unendowed organization's hazard of mortality declines with age

An unendowed organization's hazard of mortality declines monotonically with its age.

include('Axioms/MGT001-0.ax')

$(\text{organization}(a) \text{ and } \text{has_immunity}(a, b)) \Rightarrow \text{has_endowment}(a) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{assumption_141}, \text{axiom})$

$(\text{organization}(a) \text{ and } \text{greater}(\text{capability}(a, c), \text{capability}(a, b)) \text{ and } \text{greater_or_equal}(\text{position}(a, c), \text{position}(a, b))) \Rightarrow$

$(\text{has_immunity}(a, b) \text{ or } \text{has_immunity}(a, c) \text{ or } \text{smaller}(\text{hazard_of_mortality}(a, c), \text{hazard_of_mortality}(a, b))) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{assumption}_6, \text{axiom})$

$(\text{organization}(a) \text{ and } \text{greater_or_equal}(\text{capability}(a, c), \text{capability}(a, b)) \text{ and } \text{greater}(\text{position}(a, c), \text{position}(a, b))) \Rightarrow$

$(\text{has_immunity}(a, b) \text{ or } \text{has_immunity}(a, c) \text{ or } \text{smaller}(\text{hazard_of_mortality}(a, c), \text{hazard_of_mortality}(a, b))) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{assumption}_6, \text{axiom})$

$(\text{organization}(a) \text{ and } \text{capability}(a, c) = \text{capability}(a, b) \text{ and } \text{position}(a, c) = \text{position}(a, b)) \Rightarrow (\text{has_immunity}(a, b) \text{ or } \text{has_immunity}(a, c)) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{assumption}_6, \text{axiom})$

$\text{hazard_of_mortality}(a, b) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{assumption_444}, \text{axiom})$

$(\text{organization}(a) \text{ and } \text{greater}(\text{stock_of_knowledge}(a, b), \text{stock_of_knowledge}(a, c)) \text{ and } \text{smaller_or_equal}(\text{internal_friction}(a, b), \text{internal_friction}(a, c))) \Rightarrow$

$\text{greater}(\text{capability}(a, b), \text{capability}(a, c)) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{assumption_545}, \text{axiom})$

$(\text{organization}(a) \text{ and } \text{smaller_or_equal}(\text{stock_of_knowledge}(a, b), \text{stock_of_knowledge}(a, c)) \text{ and } \text{greater}(\text{internal_friction}(a, b), \text{internal_friction}(a, c))) \Rightarrow$

$\text{smaller}(\text{capability}(a, b), \text{capability}(a, c)) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{assumption_546}, \text{axiom})$

$(\text{organization}(a) \text{ and } \text{stock_of_knowledge}(a, b) = \text{stock_of_knowledge}(a, c) \text{ and } \text{internal_friction}(a, b) = \text{internal_friction}(a, c)) \Rightarrow$

$\text{capability}(a, b) = \text{capability}(a, c) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{assumption_547}, \text{axiom})$

$(\text{organization}(a) \text{ and } \text{greater}(\text{external_ties}(a, b), \text{external_ties}(a, c))) \Rightarrow \text{greater}(\text{position}(a, b), \text{position}(a, c)) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{assumption}_6, \text{axiom})$

$(\text{organization}(a) \text{ and } \text{external_ties}(a, b) = \text{external_ties}(a, c)) \Rightarrow \text{position}(a, b) = \text{position}(a, c) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{assumption_649}, \text{axiom})$

$(\text{organization}(a) \text{ and } \text{greater}(\text{age}(a, b), \text{age}(a, c))) \Rightarrow \text{greater}(\text{stock_of_knowledge}(a, b), \text{stock_of_knowledge}(a, c)) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{assumption}_6, \text{axiom})$

$(\text{organization}(a) \text{ and } \text{greater}(\text{age}(a, b), \text{age}(a, c))) \Rightarrow \text{greater}(\text{external_ties}(a, b), \text{external_ties}(a, c)) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{assumption_851}, \text{axiom})$

$\text{organization}(a) \Rightarrow \text{internal_friction}(a, b) = \text{internal_friction}(a, c) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{assumption_952}, \text{axiom})$

$\text{organization}(\text{sk}_1) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{theorem_153}, \text{negated_conjecture})$

$\neg \text{has_endowment}(\text{sk}_1) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{theorem_154}, \text{negated_conjecture})$

$\text{greater}(\text{age}(\text{sk}_1, \text{sk}_3), \text{age}(\text{sk}_1, \text{sk}_2)) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{theorem_155}, \text{negated_conjecture})$

$\neg \text{smaller}(\text{hazard_of_mortality}(\text{sk}_1, \text{sk}_3), \text{hazard_of_mortality}(\text{sk}_1, \text{sk}_2)) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{theorem_156}, \text{negated_conjecture})$

MGT048+1.p Capability decreases monotonically with its age

An organization's capability decreases monotonically with its age.

include('Axioms/MGT001+0.ax')

$\forall x, t_0, t: (\text{organization}(x) \Rightarrow (((\text{greater}(\text{stock_of_knowledge}(x, t), \text{stock_of_knowledge}(x, t_0)) \text{ and } \text{smaller_or_equal}(\text{internal_friction}(x, t), \text{internal_friction}(x, t_0))) \text{ and } \text{greater}(\text{capability}(x, t), \text{capability}(x, t_0))) \text{ and } ((\text{smaller_or_equal}(\text{stock_of_knowledge}(x, t), \text{stock_of_knowledge}(x, t_0)) \text{ and } \text{greater}(\text{internal_friction}(x, t), \text{internal_friction}(x, t_0))) \text{ and } \text{smaller}(\text{capability}(x, t), \text{capability}(x, t_0)))) \text{ and } ((\text{stock_of_knowledge}(x, t) = \text{stock_of_knowledge}(x, t_0) \text{ and } \text{internal_friction}(x, t) = \text{internal_friction}(x, t_0)) \Rightarrow \text{capability}(x, t) = \text{capability}(x, t_0)))) \quad \text{fof}(\text{assumption}_5, \text{axiom})$

$\forall x, t_0, t: (\text{organization}(x) \Rightarrow \text{stock_of_knowledge}(x, t) = \text{stock_of_knowledge}(x, t_0)) \quad \text{fof}(\text{assumption}_{10}, \text{axiom})$
 $\forall x, t_0, t: ((\text{organization}(x) \text{ and } \text{greater}(\text{age}(x, t), \text{age}(x, t_0))) \Rightarrow \text{greater}(\text{internal_friction}(x, t), \text{internal_friction}(x, t_0))) \quad \text{fof}(\text{assumption}_{11}, \text{axiom})$
 $\forall x, t_0, t: ((\text{organization}(x) \text{ and } \text{greater}(\text{age}(x, t), \text{age}(x, t_0))) \Rightarrow \text{smaller}(\text{capability}(x, t), \text{capability}(x, t_0))) \quad \text{fof}(\text{lemma}_5, \text{conjecture})$

MGT048-1.p Capability decreases monotonically with its age

An organization's capability decreases monotonically with its age.

include('Axioms/MGT001-0.ax')

$(\text{organization}(a) \text{ and } \text{greater}(\text{stock_of_knowledge}(a, b), \text{stock_of_knowledge}(a, c)) \text{ and } \text{smaller_or_equal}(\text{internal_friction}(a, b), \text{internal_friction}(a, c))) \Rightarrow \text{greater}(\text{capability}(a, b), \text{capability}(a, c)) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{assumption}_{532}, \text{axiom})$
 $(\text{organization}(a) \text{ and } \text{smaller_or_equal}(\text{stock_of_knowledge}(a, b), \text{stock_of_knowledge}(a, c)) \text{ and } \text{greater}(\text{internal_friction}(a, b), \text{internal_friction}(a, c))) \Rightarrow \text{smaller}(\text{capability}(a, b), \text{capability}(a, c)) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{assumption}_{533}, \text{axiom})$
 $(\text{organization}(a) \text{ and } \text{stock_of_knowledge}(a, b) = \text{stock_of_knowledge}(a, c) \text{ and } \text{internal_friction}(a, b) = \text{internal_friction}(a, c)) \Rightarrow \text{capability}(a, b) = \text{capability}(a, c) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{assumption}_{534}, \text{axiom})$
 $\text{organization}(a) \Rightarrow \text{stock_of_knowledge}(a, b) = \text{stock_of_knowledge}(a, c) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{assumption}_{1035}, \text{axiom})$
 $(\text{organization}(a) \text{ and } \text{greater}(\text{age}(a, b), \text{age}(a, c))) \Rightarrow \text{greater}(\text{internal_friction}(a, b), \text{internal_friction}(a, c)) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{assumption}_{535}, \text{axiom})$
 $\text{organization}(\text{sk}_1) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{lemma}_{537}, \text{negated_conjecture})$
 $\text{greater}(\text{age}(\text{sk}_1, \text{sk}_3), \text{age}(\text{sk}_1, \text{sk}_2)) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{lemma}_{538}, \text{negated_conjecture})$
 $\neg \text{smaller}(\text{capability}(\text{sk}_1, \text{sk}_3), \text{capability}(\text{sk}_1, \text{sk}_2)) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{lemma}_{539}, \text{negated_conjecture})$

MGT049+1.p Structural position does not vary with its age

An organization's structural position does not vary with its age.

include('Axioms/MGT001+0.ax')

$\forall x, t_0, t: (\text{organization}(x) \Rightarrow ((\text{greater}(\text{external_ties}(x, t), \text{external_ties}(x, t_0)) \Rightarrow \text{greater}(\text{position}(x, t), \text{position}(x, t_0))) \text{ and } \text{external_ties}(x, t_0) \Rightarrow \text{position}(x, t) = \text{position}(x, t_0)))) \quad \text{fof}(\text{assumption}_6, \text{axiom})$
 $\forall x, t_0, t: (\text{organization}(x) \Rightarrow \text{external_ties}(x, t) = \text{external_ties}(x, t_0)) \quad \text{fof}(\text{assumption}_{111}, \text{axiom})$
 $\forall x, t_0, t: ((\text{organization}(x) \text{ and } \text{greater}(\text{age}(x, t), \text{age}(x, t_0))) \Rightarrow \text{position}(x, t) = \text{position}(x, t_0)) \quad \text{fof}(\text{lemma}_6, \text{conjecture})$

MGT049-1.p Structural position does not vary with its age

An organization's structural position does not vary with its age.

include('Axioms/MGT001-0.ax')

$(\text{organization}(a) \text{ and } \text{greater}(\text{external_ties}(a, b), \text{external_ties}(a, c))) \Rightarrow \text{greater}(\text{position}(a, b), \text{position}(a, c)) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{assumption}_{631}, \text{axiom})$
 $(\text{organization}(a) \text{ and } \text{external_ties}(a, b) = \text{external_ties}(a, c)) \Rightarrow \text{position}(a, b) = \text{position}(a, c) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{assumption}_{631}, \text{axiom})$
 $\text{organization}(a) \Rightarrow \text{external_ties}(a, b) = \text{external_ties}(a, c) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{assumption}_{1132}, \text{axiom})$
 $\text{organization}(\text{sk}_1) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{lemma}_{633}, \text{negated_conjecture})$
 $\text{greater}(\text{age}(\text{sk}_1, \text{sk}_3), \text{age}(\text{sk}_1, \text{sk}_2)) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{lemma}_{634}, \text{negated_conjecture})$
 $\text{position}(\text{sk}_1, \text{sk}_3) \neq \text{position}(\text{sk}_1, \text{sk}_2) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{lemma}_{635}, \text{negated_conjecture})$

MGT050-1.p Unendowed organization's hazard of mortality increases with age

An unendowed organization's hazard of mortality increases with its age.

include('Axioms/MGT001-0.ax')

$(\text{organization}(a) \text{ and } \text{has_immunity}(a, b)) \Rightarrow \text{has_endowment}(a) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{assumption}_{141}, \text{axiom})$
 $(\text{organization}(a) \text{ and } \text{greater}(\text{capability}(a, c), \text{capability}(a, b)) \text{ and } \text{greater_or_equal}(\text{position}(a, c), \text{position}(a, b))) \Rightarrow \text{has_immunity}(a, b) \text{ or } \text{has_immunity}(a, c) \text{ or } \text{smaller}(\text{hazard_of_mortality}(a, c), \text{hazard_of_mortality}(a, b)) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{assumption}_{444}, \text{axiom})$
 $(\text{organization}(a) \text{ and } \text{greater_or_equal}(\text{capability}(a, c), \text{capability}(a, b)) \text{ and } \text{greater}(\text{position}(a, c), \text{position}(a, b))) \Rightarrow \text{has_immunity}(a, b) \text{ or } \text{has_immunity}(a, c) \text{ or } \text{smaller}(\text{hazard_of_mortality}(a, c), \text{hazard_of_mortality}(a, b)) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{assumption}_{444}, \text{axiom})$
 $(\text{organization}(a) \text{ and } \text{capability}(a, c) = \text{capability}(a, b) \text{ and } \text{position}(a, c) = \text{position}(a, b)) \Rightarrow (\text{has_immunity}(a, b) \text{ or } \text{has_immunity}(a, c)) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{assumption}_{444}, \text{axiom})$
 $(\text{organization}(a) \text{ and } \text{greater}(\text{stock_of_knowledge}(a, b), \text{stock_of_knowledge}(a, c)) \text{ and } \text{smaller_or_equal}(\text{internal_friction}(a, b), \text{internal_friction}(a, c))) \Rightarrow \text{greater}(\text{capability}(a, b), \text{capability}(a, c)) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{assumption}_{545}, \text{axiom})$
 $(\text{organization}(a) \text{ and } \text{smaller_or_equal}(\text{stock_of_knowledge}(a, b), \text{stock_of_knowledge}(a, c)) \text{ and } \text{greater}(\text{internal_friction}(a, b), \text{internal_friction}(a, c))) \Rightarrow \text{smaller}(\text{capability}(a, b), \text{capability}(a, c)) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{assumption}_{546}, \text{axiom})$
 $(\text{organization}(a) \text{ and } \text{stock_of_knowledge}(a, b) = \text{stock_of_knowledge}(a, c) \text{ and } \text{internal_friction}(a, b) = \text{internal_friction}(a, c)) \Rightarrow \text{capability}(a, b) = \text{capability}(a, c) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{assumption}_{547}, \text{axiom})$
 $(\text{organization}(a) \text{ and } \text{greater}(\text{external_ties}(a, b), \text{external_ties}(a, c))) \Rightarrow \text{greater}(\text{position}(a, b), \text{position}(a, c)) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{assumption}_{649}, \text{axiom})$
 $(\text{organization}(a) \text{ and } \text{external_ties}(a, b) = \text{external_ties}(a, c)) \Rightarrow \text{position}(a, b) = \text{position}(a, c) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{assumption}_{649}, \text{axiom})$
 $\text{organization}(a) \Rightarrow \text{stock_of_knowledge}(a, b) = \text{stock_of_knowledge}(a, c) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{assumption}_{1050}, \text{axiom})$
 $\text{organization}(a) \Rightarrow \text{external_ties}(a, b) = \text{external_ties}(a, c) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{assumption}_{1151}, \text{axiom})$
 $(\text{organization}(a) \text{ and } \text{greater}(\text{age}(a, b), \text{age}(a, c))) \Rightarrow \text{greater}(\text{internal_friction}(a, b), \text{internal_friction}(a, c)) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{assumption}_{548}, \text{axiom})$
 $\text{organization}(\text{sk}_1) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{theorem}_{353}, \text{negated_conjecture})$
 $\neg \text{has_endowment}(\text{sk}_1) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{theorem}_{354}, \text{negated_conjecture})$
 $\text{greater}(\text{age}(\text{sk}_1, \text{sk}_3), \text{age}(\text{sk}_1, \text{sk}_2)) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{theorem}_{355}, \text{negated_conjecture})$

\neg greater(hazard_of_mortality(sk₁, sk₃), hazard_of_mortality(sk₁, sk₂)) cnf(theorem_356, negated_conjecture)

MGT052+1.p The environment at any time is similar with itself

include('Axioms/MGT001+0.ax')

$\forall x, t_0, t: (\text{dissimilar}(x, t_0, t) \iff (\text{organization}(x) \text{ and } \neg \text{is_aligned}(x, t_0) \iff \text{is_aligned}(x, t)))$ fof(definition₂, axiom)

$\forall x, t: \neg \text{dissimilar}(x, t, t)$ fof(background_assumption₁, conjecture)

MGT052-1.p The environment at any time is similar with itself

include('Axioms/MGT001-0.ax')

$\text{dissimilar}(a, b, c) \Rightarrow \text{organization}(a)$ cnf(definition_229, axiom)

$\text{dissimilar}(a, b, c) \Rightarrow (\text{is_aligned}(a, b) \text{ or } \text{is_aligned}(a, c))$ cnf(definition_230, axiom)

$(\text{dissimilar}(a, b, c) \text{ and } \text{is_aligned}(a, b)) \Rightarrow \neg \text{is_aligned}(a, c)$ cnf(definition_231, axiom)

$(\text{organization}(a) \text{ and } \text{is_aligned}(a, b)) \Rightarrow (\text{is_aligned}(a, b) \text{ or } \text{dissimilar}(a, b, c))$ cnf(definition_232, axiom)

$(\text{organization}(a) \text{ and } \text{is_aligned}(a, b)) \Rightarrow (\text{is_aligned}(a, c) \text{ or } \text{dissimilar}(a, b, c))$ cnf(definition_233, axiom)

$(\text{organization}(a) \text{ and } \text{is_aligned}(a, b)) \Rightarrow (\text{is_aligned}(a, c) \text{ or } \text{dissimilar}(a, c, b))$ cnf(definition_234, axiom)

$(\text{organization}(a) \text{ and } \text{is_aligned}(a, b)) \Rightarrow (\text{is_aligned}(a, b) \text{ or } \text{dissimilar}(a, c, b))$ cnf(definition_235, axiom)

$\text{dissimilar}(sk_1, sk_2, sk_2)$ cnf(background_assumption_136, negated_conjecture)

MGT053+1.p The dissimilarity relation is symmetric

include('Axioms/MGT001+0.ax')

$\forall x, t_0, t: (\text{dissimilar}(x, t_0, t) \iff (\text{organization}(x) \text{ and } \neg \text{is_aligned}(x, t_0) \iff \text{is_aligned}(x, t)))$ fof(definition₂, axiom)

$\forall x, t_1, t_2: (\text{dissimilar}(x, t_1, t_2) \iff \text{dissimilar}(x, t_2, t_1))$ fof(lemma₇, conjecture)

MGT053-1.p The dissimilarity relation is symmetric

include('Axioms/MGT001-0.ax')

$\text{dissimilar}(a, b, c) \Rightarrow \text{organization}(a)$ cnf(definition_229, axiom)

$\text{dissimilar}(a, b, c) \Rightarrow (\text{is_aligned}(a, b) \text{ or } \text{is_aligned}(a, c))$ cnf(definition_230, axiom)

$(\text{dissimilar}(a, b, c) \text{ and } \text{is_aligned}(a, b)) \Rightarrow \neg \text{is_aligned}(a, c)$ cnf(definition_231, axiom)

$(\text{organization}(a) \text{ and } \text{is_aligned}(a, b)) \Rightarrow (\text{is_aligned}(a, b) \text{ or } \text{dissimilar}(a, b, c))$ cnf(definition_232, axiom)

$(\text{organization}(a) \text{ and } \text{is_aligned}(a, b)) \Rightarrow (\text{is_aligned}(a, c) \text{ or } \text{dissimilar}(a, b, c))$ cnf(definition_233, axiom)

$(\text{organization}(a) \text{ and } \text{is_aligned}(a, b)) \Rightarrow (\text{is_aligned}(a, c) \text{ or } \text{dissimilar}(a, c, b))$ cnf(definition_234, axiom)

$(\text{organization}(a) \text{ and } \text{is_aligned}(a, b)) \Rightarrow (\text{is_aligned}(a, b) \text{ or } \text{dissimilar}(a, c, b))$ cnf(definition_235, axiom)

$\text{dissimilar}(sk_1, sk_2, sk_3) \text{ or } \text{dissimilar}(sk_1, sk_3, sk_2)$ cnf(lemma_736, negated_conjecture)

$\text{dissimilar}(sk_1, sk_2, sk_3) \Rightarrow \text{dissimilar}(sk_1, sk_2, sk_3)$ cnf(lemma_737, negated_conjecture)

$\text{dissimilar}(sk_1, sk_3, sk_2) \Rightarrow \text{dissimilar}(sk_1, sk_3, sk_2)$ cnf(lemma_738, negated_conjecture)

$\text{dissimilar}(sk_1, sk_3, sk_2) \Rightarrow \neg \text{dissimilar}(sk_1, sk_2, sk_3)$ cnf(lemma_739, negated_conjecture)

MGT054+1.p Hazard of mortality increases in a drifting environment

An unendowed organization's hazard of mortality increases with age in a drifting environment.

include('Axioms/MGT001+0.ax')

$\forall x, t: ((\text{organization}(x) \text{ and } \neg \text{has_endowment}(x)) \Rightarrow \neg \text{has_immunity}(x, t))$ fof(assumption₁, axiom)

$\forall x, t_0, t: ((\text{organization}(x) \text{ and } \text{has_immunity}(x, t_0) \text{ and } \neg \text{has_immunity}(x, t)) \Rightarrow \text{greater}(\text{hazard_of_mortality}(x, t), \text{hazard_of_mortality}(x, t_0)))$

$\forall x, t_0, t: (\text{dissimilar}(x, t_0, t) \iff (\text{organization}(x) \text{ and } \neg \text{is_aligned}(x, t_0) \iff \text{is_aligned}(x, t)))$ fof(definition₂, axiom)

$\forall x, t: ((\text{organization}(x) \text{ and } \text{age}(x, t) = 0) \Rightarrow \text{is_aligned}(x, t))$ fof(assumption₁₃, axiom)

$\forall x, t_0, t: ((\text{organization}(x) \text{ and } \text{is_aligned}(x, t_0) \text{ and } \neg \text{is_aligned}(x, t)) \Rightarrow \text{greater}(\text{capability}(x, t_0), \text{capability}(x, t)))$ fof(assumption₁₄, axiom)

$\forall x, t_0, t: ((\text{organization}(x) \text{ and } \text{age}(x, t_0) = 0) \Rightarrow (\text{greater}(\text{age}(x, t), \text{sigma}) \iff \text{dissimilar}(x, t_0, t)))$ fof(assumption₁₅, axiom)

$\forall x, t_0, t: ((\text{organization}(x) \text{ and } \neg \text{has_immunity}(x, t_0) \text{ and } \neg \text{has_immunity}(x, t) \text{ and } \text{greater}(\text{capability}(x, t), \text{capability}(x, t_0))) \Rightarrow \text{greater}(\text{hazard_of_mortality}(x, t_0), \text{hazard_of_mortality}(x, t)))$ fof(assumption₁₆, axiom)

$\forall x, t_0, t_1, t_2: ((\text{organization}(x) \text{ and } \neg \text{has_endowment}(x) \text{ and } \text{age}(x, t_0) = 0 \text{ and } \text{smaller_or_equal}(\text{age}(x, t_1), \text{sigma}) \text{ and } \text{greater}(\text{age}(x, t_1), \text{sigma})) \Rightarrow \text{greater}(\text{hazard_of_mortality}(x, t_2), \text{hazard_of_mortality}(x, t_1)))$ fof(theorem₅, conjecture)

$\forall x, t_0, t_1, t_2: ((\text{organization}(x) \text{ and } \neg \text{has_endowment}(x) \text{ and } \text{age}(x, t_0) = 0 \text{ and } \text{smaller_or_equal}(\text{age}(x, t_1), \text{sigma}) \text{ and } \text{greater}(\text{age}(x, t_1), \text{sigma})) \Rightarrow \text{greater}(\text{hazard_of_mortality}(x, t_2), \text{hazard_of_mortality}(x, t_1)))$ fof(theorem₅, conjecture)

MGT054-1.p Hazard of mortality increases in a drifting environment

An unendowed organization's hazard of mortality increases with age in a drifting environment.

include('Axioms/MGT001-0.ax')

$(\text{organization}(a) \text{ and } \text{has_immunity}(a, b)) \Rightarrow \text{has_endowment}(a)$ cnf(assumption_138, axiom)

$(\text{organization}(a) \text{ and } \text{has_immunity}(a, b)) \Rightarrow (\text{has_immunity}(a, c) \text{ or } \text{greater}(\text{hazard_of_mortality}(a, c), \text{hazard_of_mortality}(a, b)))$

$\text{dissimilar}(a, b, c) \Rightarrow \text{organization}(a)$ cnf(definition_240, axiom)

$\text{dissimilar}(a, b, c) \Rightarrow (\text{is_aligned}(a, b) \text{ or } \text{is_aligned}(a, c))$ cnf(definition_241, axiom)

$(\text{dissimilar}(a, b, c) \text{ and } \text{is_aligned}(a, b)) \Rightarrow \neg \text{is_aligned}(a, c)$ cnf(definition_242, axiom)

$(\text{organization}(a) \text{ and } \text{is_aligned}(a, b)) \Rightarrow (\text{is_aligned}(a, b) \text{ or } \text{dissimilar}(a, b, c))$ cnf(definition_243, axiom)

$(\text{organization}(a) \text{ and } \text{is_aligned}(a, b)) \Rightarrow (\text{is_aligned}(a, c) \text{ or } \text{dissimilar}(a, b, c))$ cnf(definition_244, axiom)

$(\text{organization}(a) \text{ and } \text{is_aligned}(a, b)) \Rightarrow (\text{is_aligned}(a, c) \text{ or } \text{dissimilar}(a, c, b))$ cnf(definition_245, axiom)

$(\text{organization}(a) \text{ and } \text{is_aligned}(a, b)) \Rightarrow (\text{is_aligned}(a, b) \text{ or } \text{dissimilar}(a, c, b))$ cnf(definition_246, axiom)

$(\text{organization}(a) \text{ and } \text{age}(a, b) = 0) \Rightarrow \text{is_aligned}(a, b) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{assumption_13}_{47}, \text{axiom})$
 $(\text{organization}(a) \text{ and } \text{is_aligned}(a, b)) \Rightarrow (\text{is_aligned}(a, c) \text{ or } \text{greater}(\text{capability}(a, b), \text{capability}(a, c))) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{assumption_14}_{48}, \text{axiom})$
 $(\text{organization}(a) \text{ and } \text{age}(a, b) = 0 \text{ and } \text{greater}(\text{age}(a, c), \text{sigma})) \Rightarrow \text{dissimilar}(a, b, c) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{assumption_15}_{49}, \text{axiom})$
 $(\text{organization}(a) \text{ and } \text{age}(a, b) = 0 \text{ and } \text{dissimilar}(a, b, c)) \Rightarrow \text{greater}(\text{age}(a, c), \text{sigma}) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{assumption_15}_{50}, \text{axiom})$
 $(\text{organization}(a) \text{ and } \text{greater}(\text{capability}(a, c), \text{capability}(a, b))) \Rightarrow (\text{has_immunity}(a, b) \text{ or } \text{has_immunity}(a, c) \text{ or } \text{greater}(\text{hazard_of_mortality}(a, b), \text{hazard_of_mortality}(a, c))) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{theorem_5}_{52}, \text{negated_conjecture})$
 $\neg \text{has_endowment}(\text{sk}_1) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{theorem_5}_{53}, \text{negated_conjecture})$
 $\text{age}(\text{sk}_1, \text{sk}_2) = 0 \quad \text{cnf}(\text{theorem_5}_{54}, \text{negated_conjecture})$
 $\text{smaller_or_equal}(\text{age}(\text{sk}_1, \text{sk}_3), \text{sigma}) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{theorem_5}_{55}, \text{negated_conjecture})$
 $\text{greater}(\text{age}(\text{sk}_1, \text{sk}_4), \text{sigma}) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{theorem_5}_{56}, \text{negated_conjecture})$
 $\text{greater}(\text{sigma}, 0) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{theorem_5}_{57}, \text{negated_conjecture})$
 $\neg \text{greater}(\text{hazard_of_mortality}(\text{sk}_1, \text{sk}_4), \text{hazard_of_mortality}(\text{sk}_1, \text{sk}_3)) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{theorem_5}_{58}, \text{negated_conjecture})$

MGT056+1.p Conditions for a constant then jumping hazard of mortality 2

When ‘eta’ >= ‘sigma’ in a drifting environment, an endowed organization’s hazard of mortality remains constant until age ‘eta’ and then jumps to a higher level in a drifting environment.

include('Axioms/MGT001+0.ax')

$\forall x: (\text{has_endowment}(x) \iff \forall t: (\text{organization}(x) \text{ and } (\text{smaller_or_equal}(\text{age}(x, t), \text{eta}) \Rightarrow \text{has_immunity}(x, t)) \text{ and } (\text{greater}(\text{age}(x, t), \text{eta}) \Rightarrow \neg \text{has_immunity}(x, t)))) \quad \text{fof}(\text{definition}_1, \text{axiom})$

$\forall x, t_0, t: ((\text{organization}(x) \text{ and } \text{has_immunity}(x, t_0) \text{ and } \text{has_immunity}(x, t)) \Rightarrow \text{hazard_of_mortality}(x, t_0) = \text{hazard_of_mortality}(x, t))$

$\forall x, t_0, t: ((\text{organization}(x) \text{ and } \text{has_immunity}(x, t_0) \text{ and } \neg \text{has_immunity}(x, t)) \Rightarrow \text{greater}(\text{hazard_of_mortality}(x, t), \text{hazard_of_mortality}(x, t_0)))$

$\forall x, t_0, t_1, t_2: ((\text{organization}(x) \text{ and } \text{has_endowment}(x) \text{ and } \text{age}(x, t_0) = 0 \text{ and } \text{smaller_or_equal}(\text{age}(x, t_1), \text{eta}) \text{ and } \text{greater}(\text{age}(x, t_1), \text{eta})) \Rightarrow (\text{greater}(\text{hazard_of_mortality}(x, t_2), \text{hazard_of_mortality}(x, t_1)) \text{ and } \text{hazard_of_mortality}(x, t_1) = \text{hazard_of_mortality}(x, t_0)))$

MGT056-1.p Conditions for a constant then jumping hazard of mortality 2

When ‘eta’ >= ‘sigma’ in a drifting environment, an endowed organization’s hazard of mortality remains constant until age ‘eta’ and then jumps to a higher level in a drifting environment.

include('Axioms/MGT001-0.ax')

$\text{has_endowment}(a) \Rightarrow \text{organization}(a) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{definition_1}_{31}, \text{axiom})$

$(\text{has_endowment}(a) \text{ and } \text{smaller_or_equal}(\text{age}(a, b), \text{eta})) \Rightarrow \text{has_immunity}(a, b) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{definition_1}_{32}, \text{axiom})$

$(\text{has_endowment}(a) \text{ and } \text{greater}(\text{age}(a, b), \text{eta})) \Rightarrow \neg \text{has_immunity}(a, b) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{definition_1}_{33}, \text{axiom})$

$\text{organization}(a) \Rightarrow (\text{smaller_or_equal}(\text{age}(a, \text{sk}_1(a)), \text{eta}) \text{ or } \text{greater}(\text{age}(a, \text{sk}_1(a)), \text{eta}) \text{ or } \text{has_endowment}(a)) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{definition_1}_{34}, \text{axiom})$

$\text{organization}(a) \Rightarrow (\text{smaller_or_equal}(\text{age}(a, \text{sk}_1(a)), \text{eta}) \text{ or } \text{has_immunity}(a, \text{sk}_1(a)) \text{ or } \text{has_endowment}(a)) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{definition_1}_{35}, \text{axiom})$

$(\text{organization}(a) \text{ and } \text{has_immunity}(a, \text{sk}_1(a))) \Rightarrow (\text{greater}(\text{age}(a, \text{sk}_1(a)), \text{eta}) \text{ or } \text{has_endowment}(a)) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{definition_1}_{36}, \text{axiom})$

$(\text{organization}(a) \text{ and } \text{has_immunity}(a, \text{sk}_1(a))) \Rightarrow (\text{has_immunity}(a, \text{sk}_1(a)) \text{ or } \text{has_endowment}(a)) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{definition_1}_{37}, \text{axiom})$

$(\text{organization}(a) \text{ and } \text{has_immunity}(a, b) \text{ and } \text{has_immunity}(a, c)) \Rightarrow \text{hazard_of_mortality}(a, b) = \text{hazard_of_mortality}(a, c)$

$(\text{organization}(a) \text{ and } \text{has_immunity}(a, b)) \Rightarrow (\text{has_immunity}(a, c) \text{ or } \text{greater}(\text{hazard_of_mortality}(a, c), \text{hazard_of_mortality}(a, b)))$

$\text{organization}(\text{sk}_2) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{lemma_9}_{40}, \text{negated_conjecture})$

$\text{has_endowment}(\text{sk}_2) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{lemma_9}_{41}, \text{negated_conjecture})$

$\text{age}(\text{sk}_2, \text{sk}_3) = 0 \quad \text{cnf}(\text{lemma_9}_{42}, \text{negated_conjecture})$

$\text{smaller_or_equal}(\text{age}(\text{sk}_2, \text{sk}_4), \text{eta}) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{lemma_9}_{43}, \text{negated_conjecture})$

$\text{greater}(\text{age}(\text{sk}_2, \text{sk}_5), \text{eta}) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{lemma_9}_{44}, \text{negated_conjecture})$

$\text{greater_or_equal}(\text{eta}, \text{sigma}) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{lemma_9}_{45}, \text{negated_conjecture})$

$\text{greater}(\text{sigma}, 0) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{lemma_9}_{46}, \text{negated_conjecture})$

$\text{greater}(\text{hazard_of_mortality}(\text{sk}_2, \text{sk}_5), \text{hazard_of_mortality}(\text{sk}_2, \text{sk}_4)) \Rightarrow \text{hazard_of_mortality}(\text{sk}_2, \text{sk}_4) \neq \text{hazard_of_mortality}(\text{sk}_2, \text{sk}_5)$

MGT057+1.p Conditions for a constant then increasing hazard of mortality

In a drifting environment, an endowed organization’s hazard of mortality is constant during the period of immunity; beyond the period of immunity, the hazard rises with age.

include('Axioms/MGT001+0.ax')

$\forall x: (\text{has_endowment}(x) \iff \forall t: (\text{organization}(x) \text{ and } (\text{smaller_or_equal}(\text{age}(x, t), \text{eta}) \Rightarrow \text{has_immunity}(x, t)) \text{ and } (\text{greater}(\text{age}(x, t), \text{eta}) \Rightarrow \neg \text{has_immunity}(x, t)))) \quad \text{fof}(\text{definition}_1, \text{axiom})$

$\forall x, t_0, t: ((\text{organization}(x) \text{ and } \text{has_immunity}(x, t_0) \text{ and } \text{has_immunity}(x, t)) \Rightarrow \text{hazard_of_mortality}(x, t_0) = \text{hazard_of_mortality}(x, t))$

$\forall x, t_0, t: ((\text{organization}(x) \text{ and } \text{has_immunity}(x, t_0) \text{ and } \neg \text{has_immunity}(x, t)) \Rightarrow \text{greater}(\text{hazard_of_mortality}(x, t), \text{hazard_of_mortality}(x, t_0)))$

$\forall x, t_0, t_1, t_2: ((\text{organization}(x) \text{ and } \text{has_endowment}(x) \text{ and } \text{age}(x, t_0) = 0 \text{ and } \text{smaller_or_equal}(\text{age}(x, t_1), \text{eta}) \text{ and } \text{greater}(\text{age}(x, t_1), \text{eta})) \Rightarrow (\text{greater}(\text{hazard_of_mortality}(x, t_2), \text{hazard_of_mortality}(x, t_1)) \text{ and } \text{hazard_of_mortality}(x, t_1) = \text{hazard_of_mortality}(x, t_0)))$

MGT057-1.p Conditions for a constant then increasing hazard of mortality

In a drifting environment, an endowed organization’s hazard of mortality is constant during the period of immunity; beyond the period of immunity, the hazard rises with age.

include('Axioms/MGT001-0.ax')

$\text{has_endowment}(a) \Rightarrow \text{organization}(a) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{definition_131}, \text{axiom})$
 $(\text{has_endowment}(a) \text{ and } \text{smaller_or_equal}(\text{age}(a, b), \text{eta})) \Rightarrow \text{has_immunity}(a, b) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{definition_132}, \text{axiom})$
 $(\text{has_endowment}(a) \text{ and } \text{greater}(\text{age}(a, b), \text{eta})) \Rightarrow \neg \text{has_immunity}(a, b) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{definition_133}, \text{axiom})$
 $\text{organization}(a) \Rightarrow (\text{smaller_or_equal}(\text{age}(a, \text{sk}_1(a)), \text{eta}) \text{ or } \text{greater}(\text{age}(a, \text{sk}_1(a)), \text{eta}) \text{ or } \text{has_endowment}(a)) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{definition_134}, \text{axiom})$
 $\text{organization}(a) \Rightarrow (\text{smaller_or_equal}(\text{age}(a, \text{sk}_1(a)), \text{eta}) \text{ or } \text{has_immunity}(a, \text{sk}_1(a)) \text{ or } \text{has_endowment}(a)) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{definition_135}, \text{axiom})$
 $(\text{organization}(a) \text{ and } \text{has_immunity}(a, \text{sk}_1(a))) \Rightarrow (\text{greater}(\text{age}(a, \text{sk}_1(a)), \text{eta}) \text{ or } \text{has_endowment}(a)) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{definition_136}, \text{axiom})$
 $(\text{organization}(a) \text{ and } \text{has_immunity}(a, \text{sk}_1(a))) \Rightarrow (\text{has_immunity}(a, \text{sk}_1(a)) \text{ or } \text{has_endowment}(a)) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{definition_137}, \text{axiom})$
 $(\text{organization}(a) \text{ and } \text{has_immunity}(a, b) \text{ and } \text{has_immunity}(a, c)) \Rightarrow \text{hazard_of_mortality}(a, b) = \text{hazard_of_mortality}(a, c)$
 $(\text{organization}(a) \text{ and } \text{has_immunity}(a, b)) \Rightarrow (\text{has_immunity}(a, c) \text{ or } \text{greater}(\text{hazard_of_mortality}(a, c), \text{hazard_of_mortality}(a, b)))$
 $\text{organization}(\text{sk}_2) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{theorem_640}, \text{negated_conjecture})$
 $\text{has_endowment}(\text{sk}_2) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{theorem_641}, \text{negated_conjecture})$
 $\text{age}(\text{sk}_2, \text{sk}_3) = 0 \quad \text{cnf}(\text{theorem_642}, \text{negated_conjecture})$
 $\text{smaller_or_equal}(\text{age}(\text{sk}_2, \text{sk}_4), \text{eta}) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{theorem_643}, \text{negated_conjecture})$
 $\text{greater}(\text{age}(\text{sk}_2, \text{sk}_5), \text{eta}) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{theorem_644}, \text{negated_conjecture})$
 $\text{greater}(\text{eta}, 0) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{theorem_645}, \text{negated_conjecture})$
 $\text{greater}(\text{hazard_of_mortality}(\text{sk}_2, \text{sk}_5), \text{hazard_of_mortality}(\text{sk}_2, \text{sk}_4)) \Rightarrow \text{hazard_of_mortality}(\text{sk}_2, \text{sk}_4) \neq \text{hazard_of_mortality}(\text{sk}_2, \text{sk}_5)$

MGT058+1.p An organization's position cannot be both fragile and robust

include('Axioms/MGT001+0.ax')

$\forall x: (\text{fragile_position}(x) \iff \forall t: ((\text{smaller_or_equal}(\text{age}(x, t), \text{sigma}) \Rightarrow \text{positional_advantage}(x, t)) \text{ and } (\text{greater}(\text{age}(x, t), \text{sigma}) \Rightarrow \neg \text{positional_advantage}(x, t)))) \quad \text{fof}(\text{definition}_3, \text{axiom})$

$\forall x: (\text{robust_position}(x) \iff \forall t: ((\text{smaller_or_equal}(\text{age}(x, t), \text{tau}) \Rightarrow \neg \text{positional_advantage}(x, t)) \text{ and } (\text{greater}(\text{age}(x, t), \text{tau}) \Rightarrow \text{positional_advantage}(x, t)))) \quad \text{fof}(\text{definition}_4, \text{axiom})$

$\forall x: ((\text{organization}(x) \text{ and } \exists t_0: \text{age}(x, t_0) = 0 \text{ and } \text{greater_or_equal}(\text{sigma}, 0) \text{ and } \text{greater_or_equal}(\text{tau}, 0)) \Rightarrow \neg \text{fragile_position}(x))$

MGT058-1.p An organization's position cannot be both fragile and robust

include('Axioms/MGT001-0.ax')

$(\text{fragile_position}(a) \text{ and } \text{smaller_or_equal}(\text{age}(a, b), \text{sigma})) \Rightarrow \text{positional_advantage}(a, b) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{definition_330}, \text{axiom})$

$(\text{fragile_position}(a) \text{ and } \text{greater}(\text{age}(a, b), \text{sigma})) \Rightarrow \neg \text{positional_advantage}(a, b) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{definition_331}, \text{axiom})$

$\text{smaller_or_equal}(\text{age}(a, \text{sk}_1(a)), \text{sigma}) \text{ or } \text{greater}(\text{age}(a, \text{sk}_1(a)), \text{sigma}) \text{ or } \text{fragile_position}(a) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{definition_332}, \text{axiom})$

$\text{smaller_or_equal}(\text{age}(a, \text{sk}_1(a)), \text{sigma}) \text{ or } \text{positional_advantage}(a, \text{sk}_1(a)) \text{ or } \text{fragile_position}(a) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{definition_333}, \text{axiom})$

$\text{positional_advantage}(a, \text{sk}_1(a)) \Rightarrow (\text{greater}(\text{age}(a, \text{sk}_1(a)), \text{sigma}) \text{ or } \text{fragile_position}(a)) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{definition_334}, \text{axiom})$

$\text{positional_advantage}(a, \text{sk}_1(a)) \Rightarrow (\text{positional_advantage}(a, \text{sk}_1(a)) \text{ or } \text{fragile_position}(a)) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{definition_335}, \text{axiom})$

$(\text{robust_position}(a) \text{ and } \text{smaller_or_equal}(\text{age}(a, b), \text{tau})) \Rightarrow \neg \text{positional_advantage}(a, b) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{definition_436}, \text{axiom})$

$(\text{robust_position}(a) \text{ and } \text{greater}(\text{age}(a, b), \text{tau})) \Rightarrow \text{positional_advantage}(a, b) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{definition_437}, \text{axiom})$

$\text{smaller_or_equal}(\text{age}(a, \text{sk}_2(a)), \text{tau}) \text{ or } \text{greater}(\text{age}(a, \text{sk}_2(a)), \text{tau}) \text{ or } \text{robust_position}(a) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{definition_438}, \text{axiom})$

$\text{positional_advantage}(a, \text{sk}_2(a)) \Rightarrow (\text{smaller_or_equal}(\text{age}(a, \text{sk}_2(a)), \text{tau}) \text{ or } \text{robust_position}(a)) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{definition_439}, \text{axiom})$

$\text{positional_advantage}(a, \text{sk}_2(a)) \text{ or } \text{greater}(\text{age}(a, \text{sk}_2(a)), \text{tau}) \text{ or } \text{robust_position}(a) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{definition_440}, \text{axiom})$

$\text{positional_advantage}(a, \text{sk}_2(a)) \Rightarrow (\text{positional_advantage}(a, \text{sk}_2(a)) \text{ or } \text{robust_position}(a)) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{definition_441}, \text{axiom})$

$\text{organization}(\text{sk}_3) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{lemma_1042}, \text{negated_conjecture})$

$\text{age}(\text{sk}_3, \text{sk}_4) = 0 \quad \text{cnf}(\text{lemma_1043}, \text{negated_conjecture})$

$\text{greater_or_equal}(\text{sigma}, 0) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{lemma_1044}, \text{negated_conjecture})$

$\text{greater_or_equal}(\text{tau}, 0) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{lemma_1045}, \text{negated_conjecture})$

$\text{fragile_position}(\text{sk}_3) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{lemma_1046}, \text{negated_conjecture})$

$\text{robust_position}(\text{sk}_3) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{lemma_1047}, \text{negated_conjecture})$

MGT059+1.p Hazard of mortality is constant during periods of immunity

An organization's hazard of mortality is constant during periods in which it has immunity.

include('Axioms/MGT001+0.ax')

$\forall x, t: (\text{organization}(x) \Rightarrow ((\text{has_immunity}(x, t) \Rightarrow \text{hazard_of_mortality}(x, t) = \text{very_low}) \text{ and } (\neg \text{has_immunity}(x, t) \Rightarrow ((\text{is_aligned}(x, t) \text{ and } \text{positional_advantage}(x, t)) \Rightarrow \text{hazard_of_mortality}(x, t) = \text{low}) \text{ and } ((\neg \text{is_aligned}(x, t) \text{ and } \text{positional_advantage}(x, t) = \text{mod}_1) \text{ and } ((\text{is_aligned}(x, t) \text{ and } \neg \text{positional_advantage}(x, t)) \Rightarrow \text{hazard_of_mortality}(x, t) = \text{mod}_2) \text{ and } ((\neg \text{is_aligned}(x, t) \text{ and } \neg \text{positional_advantage}(x, t)) \Rightarrow \text{hazard_of_mortality}(x, t) = \text{high})))))) \quad \text{fof}(\text{assumption}_1, \text{axiom})$

$\forall x, t_0, t: ((\text{organization}(x) \text{ and } \text{has_immunity}(x, t_0) \text{ and } \text{has_immunity}(x, t)) \Rightarrow \text{hazard_of_mortality}(x, t_0) = \text{hazard_of_mortality}(x, t))$

MGT059-1.p Hazard of mortality is constant during periods of immunity

An organization's hazard of mortality is constant during periods in which it has immunity.

include('Axioms/MGT001-0.ax')

$(\text{organization}(a) \text{ and } \text{has_immunity}(a, b)) \Rightarrow \text{hazard_of_mortality}(a, b) = \text{very_low} \quad \text{cnf}(\text{assumption_1732}, \text{axiom})$

$(\text{organization}(a) \text{ and } \text{is_aligned}(a, b) \text{ and } \text{positional_advantage}(a, b)) \Rightarrow (\text{has_immunity}(a, b) \text{ or } \text{hazard_of_mortality}(a, b) = \text{low}) \quad \text{cnf}(\text{assumption_1733}, \text{axiom})$

$(\text{organization}(a) \text{ and } \text{positional_advantage}(a, b)) \Rightarrow (\text{has_immunity}(a, b) \text{ or } \text{is_aligned}(a, b) \text{ or } \text{hazard_of_mortality}(a, b) = \text{mod}_1)$ $\text{cnf}(\text{assumption_17}_{34}, \text{axiom})$
 $(\text{organization}(a) \text{ and } \text{is_aligned}(a, b)) \Rightarrow (\text{has_immunity}(a, b) \text{ or } \text{positional_advantage}(a, b) \text{ or } \text{hazard_of_mortality}(a, b) = \text{mod}_2)$ $\text{cnf}(\text{assumption_17}_{35}, \text{axiom})$
 $\text{organization}(a) \Rightarrow (\text{has_immunity}(a, b) \text{ or } \text{is_aligned}(a, b) \text{ or } \text{positional_advantage}(a, b) \text{ or } \text{hazard_of_mortality}(a, b) = \text{high})$ $\text{cnf}(\text{assumption_17}_{36}, \text{axiom})$
 $\text{organization}(\text{sk}_1)$ $\text{cnf}(\text{assumption_2}_{37}, \text{negated_conjecture})$
 $\text{has_immunity}(\text{sk}_1, \text{sk}_2)$ $\text{cnf}(\text{assumption_2}_{38}, \text{negated_conjecture})$
 $\text{has_immunity}(\text{sk}_1, \text{sk}_3)$ $\text{cnf}(\text{assumption_2}_{39}, \text{negated_conjecture})$
 $\text{hazard_of_mortality}(\text{sk}_1, \text{sk}_2) \neq \text{hazard_of_mortality}(\text{sk}_1, \text{sk}_3)$ $\text{cnf}(\text{assumption_2}_{40}, \text{negated_conjecture})$

MGT060+1.p Hazard of mortality is lower during periods of immunity

An organization's hazard of mortality is lower during periods in which it has immunity than in periods in which it does not.

`include('Axioms/MGT001+0.ax')`

$\forall x, t: (\text{organization}(x) \Rightarrow ((\text{has_immunity}(x, t) \Rightarrow \text{hazard_of_mortality}(x, t) = \text{very_low}) \text{ and } (\neg \text{has_immunity}(x, t) \Rightarrow ((\text{is_aligned}(x, t) \text{ and } \text{positional_advantage}(x, t)) \Rightarrow \text{hazard_of_mortality}(x, t) = \text{low}) \text{ and } ((\neg \text{is_aligned}(x, t) \text{ and } \text{positional_advantage}(x, t)) \Rightarrow \text{hazard_of_mortality}(x, t) = \text{mod}_1) \text{ and } ((\text{is_aligned}(x, t) \text{ and } \neg \text{positional_advantage}(x, t)) \Rightarrow \text{hazard_of_mortality}(x, t) = \text{mod}_2) \text{ and } ((\neg \text{is_aligned}(x, t) \text{ and } \neg \text{positional_advantage}(x, t)) \Rightarrow \text{hazard_of_mortality}(x, t) = \text{high}))))))$ $\text{fof}(\text{assumption_18a}, \text{axiom})$
 $\text{greater}(\text{high}, \text{mod}_1)$ $\text{fof}(\text{assumption_18a}, \text{axiom})$
 $\text{greater}(\text{mod}_1, \text{low})$ $\text{fof}(\text{assumption_18b}, \text{axiom})$
 $\text{greater}(\text{low}, \text{very_low})$ $\text{fof}(\text{assumption_18c}, \text{axiom})$
 $\text{greater}(\text{high}, \text{mod}_2)$ $\text{fof}(\text{assumption_18d}, \text{axiom})$
 $\text{greater}(\text{mod}_2, \text{low})$ $\text{fof}(\text{assumption_18e}, \text{axiom})$

$\forall x, t_0, t: ((\text{organization}(x) \text{ and } \text{has_immunity}(x, t_0) \text{ and } \neg \text{has_immunity}(x, t)) \Rightarrow \text{greater}(\text{hazard_of_mortality}(x, t), \text{hazard_of_mortality}(x, t_0)))$

MGT060-1.p Hazard of mortality is lower during periods of immunity

An organization's hazard of mortality is lower during periods in which it has immunity than in periods in which it does not.

`include('Axioms/MGT001-0.ax')`

$(\text{organization}(a) \text{ and } \text{has_immunity}(a, b)) \Rightarrow \text{hazard_of_mortality}(a, b) = \text{very_low}$ $\text{cnf}(\text{assumption_17}_{32}, \text{axiom})$
 $(\text{organization}(a) \text{ and } \text{is_aligned}(a, b) \text{ and } \text{positional_advantage}(a, b)) \Rightarrow (\text{has_immunity}(a, b) \text{ or } \text{hazard_of_mortality}(a, b) = \text{low})$ $\text{cnf}(\text{assumption_17}_{33}, \text{axiom})$
 $(\text{organization}(a) \text{ and } \text{positional_advantage}(a, b)) \Rightarrow (\text{has_immunity}(a, b) \text{ or } \text{is_aligned}(a, b) \text{ or } \text{hazard_of_mortality}(a, b) = \text{mod}_1)$ $\text{cnf}(\text{assumption_17}_{34}, \text{axiom})$
 $(\text{organization}(a) \text{ and } \text{is_aligned}(a, b)) \Rightarrow (\text{has_immunity}(a, b) \text{ or } \text{positional_advantage}(a, b) \text{ or } \text{hazard_of_mortality}(a, b) = \text{mod}_2)$ $\text{cnf}(\text{assumption_17}_{35}, \text{axiom})$
 $\text{organization}(a) \Rightarrow (\text{has_immunity}(a, b) \text{ or } \text{is_aligned}(a, b) \text{ or } \text{positional_advantage}(a, b) \text{ or } \text{hazard_of_mortality}(a, b) = \text{high})$ $\text{cnf}(\text{assumption_17}_{36}, \text{axiom})$
 $\text{greater}(\text{high}, \text{mod}_1)$ $\text{cnf}(\text{assumption_18a}_{37}, \text{axiom})$
 $\text{greater}(\text{mod}_1, \text{low})$ $\text{cnf}(\text{assumption_18b}_{38}, \text{axiom})$
 $\text{greater}(\text{low}, \text{very_low})$ $\text{cnf}(\text{assumption_18c}_{39}, \text{axiom})$
 $\text{greater}(\text{high}, \text{mod}_2)$ $\text{cnf}(\text{assumption_18d}_{40}, \text{axiom})$
 $\text{greater}(\text{mod}_2, \text{low})$ $\text{cnf}(\text{assumption_18e}_{41}, \text{axiom})$
 $\text{organization}(\text{sk}_1)$ $\text{cnf}(\text{assumption_3}_{42}, \text{negated_conjecture})$
 $\text{has_immunity}(\text{sk}_1, \text{sk}_2)$ $\text{cnf}(\text{assumption_3}_{43}, \text{negated_conjecture})$
 $\neg \text{has_immunity}(\text{sk}_1, \text{sk}_3)$ $\text{cnf}(\text{assumption_3}_{44}, \text{negated_conjecture})$
 $\neg \text{greater}(\text{hazard_of_mortality}(\text{sk}_1, \text{sk}_3), \text{hazard_of_mortality}(\text{sk}_1, \text{sk}_2))$ $\text{cnf}(\text{assumption_3}_{45}, \text{negated_conjecture})$

MGT066+1.p Inequalities.

`include('Axioms/MGT001+0.ax')`

MGT066-1.p Inequalities.

`include('Axioms/MGT001-0.ax')`