

The Stone Space of an Orthomodular Lattice

Joseph McDonald (joint work with Katalin Bimbó)

University of Alberta

B.L.A.S.T. 2022, Chapman University

Introduction

- 1 Goldblatt (1975) proved that every ortholattice A is isomorphic to the clopen \perp -stable subsets of a Stone space X_A endowed with an orthogonality relation $\perp \subseteq X_A^2$ that is irreflexive and symmetric.
- 2 Bimbó (2007) added a topology to the general class of orthoframes and extended Goldblatt's representation to that of a full duality.
- 3 By Goldblatt (1984), orthomodularity cannot be characterized by any first-order condition on \perp .
- 4 Hartonas (2016) introduced orthomodular frames (which are first-order definable) and proved orthomodular quantum logic to be sound and complete with respect to this class of structures.
- 5 This talk is based on our paper (2022) in which we topologize orthomodular frames along the lines of Bimbó (2007) and develop a full (i.e., functorial) duality for orthomodular lattices.

Orthomodular Lattices

Definition

An *orthomodular lattice* is an algebra $A = \langle A; \wedge, \vee, -, 0, 1 \rangle$ of similarity type $\langle 2, 2, 1, 0, 0 \rangle$ satisfying the following conditions:

- ① $\langle A; \wedge, \vee, 0, 1 \rangle$ is a bounded lattice
- ② $-: A \rightarrow A$ is an orthocomplementation operator, i.e.,
 - ① $a \wedge -a = 0, a \vee -a = 1$
 - ② $a \leq b \Rightarrow -b \leq -a$
 - ③ $a = ---a$
- ③ $a \leq b \Rightarrow b = a \vee (b \wedge -a)$

Example

Let H be an infinite-dimensional separable Hilbert space over the complex numbers. Then the lattice $\mathbb{C}(H)$ of closed linear subspaces of H is an orthomodular lattice ordered by subspace inclusion.

Orthomodular Frames

Definition

An *orthomodular frame* is a structure $\langle X; \preceq, \perp, \Omega \rangle$ with $\preceq, \perp \subseteq X \times X$ and a distinguished subset $\Omega \subseteq X$ satisfying the following conditions:

- 1 $\langle X; \preceq \rangle$ is a meet-semilattice
- 2 $\langle X; \preceq \rangle$ has a least upper bound ω such that $\omega \in \Omega$
- 3 For each point $x \in \Omega$, the set $\{y : x \perp y\}$ is generated as the upper closure of a single point $z \in \Omega$. Moreover, the set $\{v : z \perp v\}$ generated by z is in the upper closure of x
- 4 $\forall x \forall y (x \in \Omega \wedge y \in \Omega \Rightarrow (y \leq x \wedge \forall z (y \preceq z \wedge z \perp x \Rightarrow z = \omega) \Rightarrow x = y))$
- 5 $\forall x (x \perp x \Rightarrow x = \omega)$
- 6 $\forall x \forall y (x \in \Omega \wedge y \in \Omega \Rightarrow x \cap y \in \Omega)$ where $x \cap y := \inf\{x, y\}$
- 7 $\forall x (x \perp \omega)$
- 8 $\forall x \forall y (x \perp y \Rightarrow y \perp x)$
- 9 $\forall x \forall y \forall z (x \perp y \wedge x \preceq z \Rightarrow z \perp y)$.

Orthomodular Spaces

Definition

Let $X = \langle X; \preceq, \perp, \Omega \rangle$ be an orthomodular frame. The \perp -stable sets are $(X)^\dagger = \{U \in \wp(X) : U = U^{\perp\perp}\}$ where $U^\perp = \{x \in X : \forall y (y \in U \Rightarrow x \perp y)\}$. Also, let $\Gamma = \lambda\rho : \wp(X) \rightarrow \wp(X)$ be a closure operator induced by a Galois connection on $\langle X; \preceq \rangle$. Then $\Gamma x = \uparrow x = \{y \in X : x \preceq y\}$ where $\Gamma x := \Gamma(\{x\})$.

Definition

An *orthomodular space* is an ordered relational topological space $X = \langle X; \preceq, \perp, \Omega, \mathcal{T}_X \rangle$ satisfying the following conditions:

- 1 $\langle X; \preceq, \perp, \Omega \rangle$ is an orthomodular frame
- 2 X is a compact space
- 3 $\text{CO}(X)^\dagger$ is closed under \cap and $^\perp$
- 4 each $U \in \text{CO}(X)^\dagger$ is of the form Γz for some $z \in \Omega$
- 5 $x \not\preceq y$ implies there exists $U \in \text{CO}(X)^\dagger$ such that $x \in U$ and $y \notin U$
- 6 $x \perp y$ implies there exists $U \in \text{CO}(X)^\dagger$ such that $x \in U$ and $y \in U^\perp$

The Dual Space of an Orthomodular Lattice

Lemma

Let $A = \langle A; \wedge, -, 0 \rangle$ be an orthomodular lattice, let $\mathfrak{F}(A)$ be the set of all filters on A , let $\mathfrak{P}(A)$ be the set of all principal filters on A , and let $h: A \rightarrow \wp(\mathfrak{F}(A))$ be a function defined by $h(a) = \{x \in \mathfrak{F}(A) : a \in x\}$. Moreover, let $\mathcal{T}(S)$ be a topology on $\mathfrak{F}(A)$ generated by the subbasis $S = \{h(a) : a \in A\} \cup \{-h(a) : a \in A\}$. Lastly, define $x \perp_A y$ iff $\exists a \in A$ such that $a \in x$ and $-a \in y$. Then the space $\mathcal{S}_0(A) = \langle \mathfrak{F}(A); \subseteq, \perp_A, \mathfrak{P}(A), \mathcal{T}(S) \rangle$ is an orthomodular space. Hence, $\mathcal{S}_0(A)$ denotes an orthomodular space whenever A is an orthomodular lattice.

Corollary

If A is an orthomodular lattice, then $\mathcal{S}_0(A)$ is a Stone space. Moreover, \perp_A is an orthogonality relation on $\mathfrak{F}(A) \setminus \{\omega\}$.

The Dual Lattice of an Orthomodular Space

Lemma

If $X = \langle X; \preceq, \perp, \Omega, \mathcal{T}_X \rangle$ is an orthomodular space, then the algebra $\mathcal{A}_0(X) = \langle \text{CO}(X)^\dagger; \cap, \perp, \{\omega\} \rangle$ is an orthomodular lattice. Hence, $\mathcal{A}_0(X)$ is an orthomodular lattice whenever X is an orthomodular space.

- ① \perp is clearly an involution on $\text{CO}(X)^\dagger$ since by definition,

$$(X)^\dagger = \{U \in \wp(X) : U = U^{\perp\perp}\}$$

- ② \perp is order reversing on $\text{CO}(X)^\dagger$ by the symmetry of \perp and the definition of \perp .
- ③ $U \cap U^\perp = \{\omega\}$ follows from the fact that for each point $x \in X$, we have that $x \perp \omega$ and $x \perp x \Rightarrow x = \omega$.
- ④ The orthomodularity condition falls out of condition 4 of orthomodular frames and condition 4 of orthomodular spaces.

Object Duality

Theorem (Topological representation of orthomodular lattices)

For every orthomodular lattice $A = \langle A; \wedge, -, 0 \rangle$, there exists an isomorphism $A \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_0(\mathcal{S}_0(A))$.

We show that the canonical map $h: A \rightarrow \wp(\mathcal{S}_0(A))$ defined by

$$h(a) = \{x \in \mathfrak{F}(A) : a \in x\}$$

exhibits the desired isomorphism from A to $\mathcal{A}_0(\mathcal{S}_0(A))$ where $h(0) = \{\omega\}$.

Theorem (Algebraic realization of orthomodular spaces)

For any orthomodular space $X = \langle X; \preceq, \perp, \Omega, \mathcal{T}_X \rangle$, there exists a relational homeomorphism $X \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_0(\mathcal{A}_0(X))$.

We show that the function $f: X \rightarrow \wp(\mathcal{A}_0(X))$ defined by:

$$f(x) = \{U \in \text{CO}(X)^\dagger : x \in U\}$$

exhibits the desired relational homeomorphism from X to $\mathcal{S}_0(\mathcal{A}_0(X))$.

Homomorphisms and Continuous Weak p -Morphisms

Definition

The category $\mathbb{O}ML$ has as objects, orthomodular lattices, and has as morphisms, *orthomodular lattice homomorphisms*, i.e., homomorphisms $\phi : A \rightarrow A'$ which preserve the orthomodular lattice operations:

- 1 $\phi(a \wedge b) = \phi(a) \wedge \phi(b)$
- 2 $\phi(-a) = -\phi(a)$
- 3 $\phi(0) = 0$

Definition

The category $\mathbb{O}MS$ has as objects, orthomodular spaces and has as morphisms, *continuous weak p -morphisms*, i.e., continuous functions $\psi : X \rightarrow X'$ satisfying the following conditions:

- 1 $x \not\perp_X y \Rightarrow \psi(x) \not\perp_{X'} \psi(y)$
- 2 $z \not\perp_{X'} \psi(y) \Rightarrow \exists x(x \not\perp_X y \wedge z \preceq_{X'} \psi(x))$

Matching Morphisms

Lemma (The contravariant functor \mathcal{S}_F)

Let A and A' be orthomodular lattices and $\phi: A \rightarrow A'$ an orthomodular lattice homomorphism. Then the map $\mathcal{S}_1(\phi): \mathcal{S}_0(A') \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_0(A)$ with $\mathcal{S}_1(\phi) := \phi^{-1}$ and $\text{dom}(\mathcal{S}_1(\phi)) = \mathfrak{F}(A')$ is a continuous weak p -morphism. Hence $\mathcal{S}_1(\phi)$ denotes a continuous weak p -morphism whenever ϕ is an orthomodular lattice homomorphism. Hence, $\mathcal{S}_F := \langle \mathcal{S}_0, \mathcal{S}_1 \rangle: \text{OML} \rightarrow \text{OMS}$ is a contravariant functor.

Lemma (The contravariant functor \mathcal{A}_F)

Let X and X' be orthomodular spaces and $\psi: X \rightarrow X'$ a continuous weak p -morphism. Then the corresponding map $\mathcal{A}_1(\psi): \mathcal{A}_0(X') \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_0(X)$ with $\mathcal{A}_1(\psi) := \psi^{-1}$ and $\text{dom}(\mathcal{A}_1(\psi)) = \text{CO}(X')^\dagger$ is an orthomodular lattice homomorphism. Hence $\mathcal{A}_1(\psi)$ denotes an orthomodular lattice homomorphism whenever ψ is a continuous weak p -morphism. Moreover, $\mathcal{A}_F := \langle \mathcal{A}_0, \mathcal{A}_1 \rangle: \text{OMS} \rightarrow \text{OML}$ is a contravariant functor.

The Main Result

Theorem (Functorial duality)

The contravariant functors $\mathcal{A}_F: \text{OMS} \rightarrow \text{OML}$ and $\mathcal{S}_F: \text{OML} \rightarrow \text{OMS}$ constitute a dual equivalence of categories.

It remains to demonstrate for each $a \in A$ that

$$h(\phi(a)) = \mathcal{A}_1(\mathcal{S}_1(\phi))$$

where $\mathcal{A}_1(\mathcal{S}_1(\phi)) = \phi^{-1-1}[h(a)]$ and for each $x \in X$ that,

$$f(\psi(x)) = \mathcal{S}_1(\mathcal{A}_1(\psi))$$

where $\mathcal{S}_1(\mathcal{A}_1(\psi)) = \psi^{-1-1}[f(x)]$

In summary, we have proven that the following diagrams commute:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 A & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{S}_0(A) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{A}_0(\mathcal{S}_0(A)) \\
 \downarrow \phi & \dashrightarrow^{1-1} & \uparrow \mathcal{S}_1(\phi) & \dashrightarrow^{1-1} & \downarrow \mathcal{A}_1(\mathcal{S}_1(\phi)) \\
 A' & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{S}_0(A') & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{A}_0(\mathcal{S}_0(A'))
 \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 X & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{A}_0(X) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{S}_0(\mathcal{A}_0(X)) \\
 \downarrow \psi & \dashrightarrow^{1-1} & \uparrow \mathcal{A}_1(\psi) & \dashrightarrow^{1-1} & \downarrow \mathcal{S}_1(\mathcal{A}_1(\psi)) \\
 X' & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{A}_0(X') & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{S}_0(\mathcal{A}_0(X'))
 \end{array}$$

- ① Bimbó, K. (2007), Functorial duality for ortholattices and De Morgan lattices. *Logica Universalis* **1**, 311–333.
- ② Bimbó, K., Dunn, M. (2008), Generalized Galois Logics: Relational Semantics of Nonclassical Logical Calculi. *CSLI Lecture Notes* no. 188, CSLI Publications, Stanford.
- ③ Goldblatt, R. (1975), The Stone space of an ortholattice. *Bulletin of the London Mathematical Society* **7**, 45–48.
- ④ Goldblatt, R. (1984), Orthomodularity is not elementary. *The Journal of Symbolic Logic* **49**, 401–404.
- ⑤ Hartonas, C. (2016), First-order frames and orthomodular quantum logic. *Journal of Applied Non-Classical Logics* **26**, 69–80.
- ⑥ McDonald, J., Yamamoto, K. (2022), Choice-free duality for orthocomplemented lattices by means of spectral spaces. Forthcoming in *Algebra Universalis*.
- ⑦ McDonald, J., Bimbó, K. (2022), Topological duality for orthomodular lattices. Under review at *Mathematical Logic Quarterly*

This work was supported by the SSHRC-IG # 435–2019–0331