

Universal models for classes of abelian groups for purity

Marcos Mazari Armida
Marcos.MazariA@colorado.edu

University of Colorado Boulder

August 2022
BLAST 2022, Chapman University

- 1 **Basic notions**
- 2 Main problem
- 3 Existence results
- 4 Non-existence results
- 5 Conclusions

Abstract class (Grossberg)

An *abstract class* is a pair $\mathbf{K} = (K, \leq_{\mathbf{K}})$ where:

- K is class of $\tau(\mathbf{K})$ structures.
- $\leq_{\mathbf{K}}$ is a partial order on K .
- If $M \leq_{\mathbf{K}} N$, then M is a substructure of N .
- $(K, \leq_{\mathbf{K}})$ is closed under isomorphisms.

\mathbf{K} -embeddings

$f : M \rightarrow N$ is a \mathbf{K} -embedding if $f : M \cong f[M] \leq_{\mathbf{K}} N$.

Notation: $\mathbf{K}_{\lambda} = \{M \in K : M \text{ has cardinality } \lambda\}$

Universal model

- $M \in \mathbf{K}$ is a *universal model in \mathbf{K}_λ* if $M \in \mathbf{K}_\lambda$ and if given any $N \in \mathbf{K}_\lambda$, there is a \mathbf{K} -embedding $f : N \rightarrow M$.
- We say that \mathbf{K} has a universal model of cardinality λ if there is a universal model in \mathbf{K}_λ .

Example

(\mathbb{Q} -vector spaces, \subseteq): For every λ , $\mathbb{Q}^{(\lambda)}$ is a universal model of size λ .

Basic notions: Abelian groups

All groups are abelian groups.

p-groups

G is a p-group if every element of G has order p^n for some n .

Example

$\mathbb{Z}(p), \mathbb{Z}(p^2), \mathbb{Z}(p^\infty)$.

Torsion-free

G is torsion-free if every element different from zero has infinite order.

Basic notions: Pure subgroup

Example

- (p -groups, \subseteq): For every λ , $\mathbb{Z}(p^\infty)^{(\lambda)}$ is a universal model of size λ .
- (torsion-free, \subseteq): For every λ , $\mathbb{Q}^{(\lambda)}$ is a universal model of size λ .

Pure subgroup (Prüfer)

$G \leq_p H$ if for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $nH \cap G = nG$.

Example

$G \leq_p G \oplus H$, $t(G) \leq_p G$, $\mathbb{Z} \not\leq_p \mathbb{Q}$.

- 1 Basic notions
- 2 **Main problem**
- 3 Existence results
- 4 Non-existence results
- 5 Conclusions

Main Problem (*Abelian groups* by L. Fuchs)

For which cardinals λ , does $(p\text{-groups}, \leq_p)$ has a universal model of cardinality λ ? The same question for torsion-free abelian groups with pure embeddings.

$\mathbb{Z}(p^\infty)^{(\lambda)}$ is not a universal group in $(p\text{-groups}, \leq_p)_\lambda$.

- 1 Basic notions
- 2 Main problem
- 3 **Existence results**
- 4 Non-existence results
- 5 Conclusions

Existence results: Abstract elementary classes

- Abstract elementary classes were introduced by Shelah in the 70's.
- Shelah's eventual categoricity conjecture.
- The abstract theory has developed rapidly.

Abstract elementary class (Shelah)

An *abstract elementary class* is a pair $\mathbf{K} = (K, \leq_{\mathbf{K}})$ where:

- 1 $\mathbf{K} = (K, \leq_{\mathbf{K}})$ is an abstract class.
- 2 Tarski-Vaught axioms.
- 3 Löwenheim-Skolem-Tarski axiom ($LS(\mathbf{K})$).

Existence results: Abstract elementary classes

- $(p\text{-groups}, \leq)$ and $(p\text{-groups}, \leq_p)$
- $(\text{torsion-free}, \leq)$ $(\text{torsion-free}, \leq_p)$.
- $(\text{Mod}(T), \preceq)$ for T a complete first-order theory.
- $(\mathbb{R}\text{-VS}, \subseteq)$.
- (Ab, \leq) and (Ab, \leq_p) .
- (RTF, \leq_p) .
- $(\aleph_1\text{-free}, \leq_p)$.
- (Tor, \leq_p)
- $(R\text{-Mod}, \leq)$ and $(R\text{-Mod}, \leq_p)$.
- $(R\text{-Flat}, \leq_p)$.
- $(R\text{-Absp}, \leq_p)$.
- $(R\text{-l-inj}, \leq_p)$ and $(R\text{-l-pi}, \leq_p)$.

Existence results: Some properties

Amalgamation property (AP)

Every $M \leq_{\mathbf{K}} N_1, N_2$ can be completed to a commutative square in \mathbf{K} .

$$\begin{array}{ccc} N_1 & \xrightarrow{f} & N' \\ \text{id} \uparrow & & \uparrow g \\ M & \xrightarrow{\text{id}} & N_2 \end{array}$$

Some properties

- 1 \mathbf{K} has JEP: if every $M, N \in \mathbf{K}$ can be \mathbf{K} -embedded to a model in \mathbf{K} .
- 2 \mathbf{K} has NMM: if every $M \in \mathbf{K}$ can be properly extended in \mathbf{K} .

Existence results: Some properties

$(p\text{-groups}, \leq_p)$ is an AEC such that $LS(\mathbf{K}) = \aleph_0$ with AP, JEP, NMM.

Answer under GCH ($2^\lambda = \lambda^+$)

There is a universal model for every uncountable cardinal for both $(p\text{-groups}, \leq_p)$.

Existence results: Galois-types and stability

We assume amalgamation for simplicity.

Pre-types

- 1 $\mathbf{K}^3 = \{(a, M, N) : M, N \in \mathbf{K}, M \leq_{\mathbf{K}} N \text{ and } a \in N\}$.
- 2 For $(a_1, M_1, N_1), (a_2, M_2, N_2) \in \mathbf{K}^3$, we say $(a_1, M_1, N_1)E(a_2, M_2, N_2)$ if:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} N_1 & \xrightarrow{f_1} & N \\ \text{id} \uparrow & & \uparrow f_2 \\ M = M_1 = M_2 & \xrightarrow{\text{id}} & N_2 \end{array}$$
$$f_1(a_1) = f_2(a_2)$$

Galois-types

- 1 For $(a, M, N) \in \mathbf{K}^3$, let $\mathbf{gtp}_{\mathbf{K}}(a/M; N) := [(a, M, N)]_E$.
- 2 $\mathbf{gS}(M)$ is the set of Galois-types over M .

T is a complete first-order theory: $(\text{Mod}(T), \preceq)$

$\mathbf{gtp}_{\mathbf{K}}(a/M; N_1) = \mathbf{gtp}_{\mathbf{K}}(b/M; N_2)$ if and only if $tp(a/M, N) = tp(b/M, N)$

pp -formulas and pp -types

- ϕ is a positive primitive formula (pp -formula) if it is an existentially quantified finite system of linear equations.
- $pp(\bar{b}/A, N)$ are the pp -formulas satisfied by \bar{b} in N with parameters in A .

Lemma (M.)

Let $M, N_1, N_2 \in p$ -groups, $M \leq_p N_1, N_2$, $b_1 \in N_1$ and $b_2 \in N_2$. Then:

$$\mathbf{gtp}(b_1/M; N_1) = \mathbf{gtp}(b_2/M; N_2) \text{ iff } pp(b_1/M, N_1) = pp(b_2/M, N_2).$$

Existence results: Galois-types and stability

Stable

- \mathbf{K} is λ -stable if $|\mathbf{gS}(M)| \leq \lambda$ for all $M \in \mathbf{K}$ of cardinality λ .
- \mathbf{K} is stable if there is a λ such that \mathbf{K} is λ -stable.

Lemma (M.)

$\lambda^{\aleph_0} = \lambda$ if and only if $(\mathbf{p}\text{-groups}, \leq_p)$ is λ -stable.

Question

Let R be an associative ring with unity.

If (\mathbf{K}, \leq_p) is an AEC of modules, is \mathbf{K} stable? Is this true if $R = \mathbb{Z}$? Under what conditions on R is this true?

Theorem (M.)

If $\lambda^{\aleph_0} = \lambda$ or $\forall \mu < \lambda (\mu^{\aleph_0} < \lambda)$, then $(p\text{-groups}, \leq_p)$ has a universal model of cardinality λ .

Proof sketch.

- If $\lambda^{\aleph_0} = \lambda$, then $(p\text{-groups}, \leq_p)$ is λ -stable.
- $(p\text{-groups}, \leq_p)$ has AP, JEP and NMM.
- (with Kucera) $(p\text{-groups}, \leq_p)$ has a universal model of cardinality λ .

Lemma (Kucera-M.)

Let \mathbf{K} be an AEC with AP, JEP and NMM. Assume there is a κ such that if $\theta^\kappa = \theta$, then \mathbf{K} is θ -stable.

If $\lambda^\kappa = \lambda$ or $\forall \mu < \lambda (\mu^\kappa < \lambda)$, then \mathbf{K} has a universal model of size λ .

Existence results: \aleph_0 (joint work with Ivo Herzog)

$q(\bar{x})$ is a complete p -torsion pp-type if there is a p -group M and $\bar{a} \in M^n$ with $q(\bar{x}) = pp(\bar{a}/\emptyset, M)$.

Lemma (Herzog-M.)

The number of complete p -torsion pp-types of any finite length is countable.

Theorem (Herzog-M.)

$(p\text{-groups}, \leq_p)$ has a universal model of cardinality \aleph_0 .

Proof sketch.

- $T = Th(\bigoplus_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{Z}(p^n)^{(\aleph_0)} \oplus \mathbb{Z}(p^\infty)^{(\aleph_0)})$.
- Build U a countable saturated model for p -torsion pp-types.
- U is universal for countable models of T .
- The results follows from the fact that every p -group can be purely embedded into a model of T of the same size.

Existence results: \aleph_0 (joint work with Ivo Herzog)

Theorem (Herzog-M.)

$\bigoplus_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{Z}(p^n)^{(\aleph_0)} \oplus \mathbb{Z}(p^\infty)^{(\aleph_0)}$ is a universal model of cardinality \aleph_0 in $(p\text{-groups}, \leq_p)_{\aleph_0}$.

Remark

- (Kojman-Shelah) The class of reduced p -groups with pure embeddings and (torsion-free, \leq_p) do not have a universal model of cardinality \aleph_0 .
- Even the countable groups of infinite length can be purely embedded into $\bigoplus_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{Z}(p^n)^{(\aleph_0)} \oplus \mathbb{Z}(p^\infty)^{(\aleph_0)}$.

- 1 Basic notions
- 2 Main problem
- 3 Existence results
- 4 **Non-existence results**
- 5 Conclusions

Non-existence results: Club guessing sequences

Kojman and Shelah, *Universal abelian groups*.

Mirna Džamonja, *Club guessing and the universal models*.

Club guessing sequences

Let λ be a regular cardinal and S be a stationary subset of λ .

$\bar{A} = \{A_\alpha : \alpha \in S\}$ is a club guessing sequence for S if:

- 1 For every $\alpha \in S$, $A_\alpha \subseteq \alpha$ and A_α is unbounded.
- 2 For every $D \subseteq \lambda$, if D is a club then $\{\alpha \in S : A_\alpha \subseteq D\}$ is not empty.

Lemma (M.)

Let λ and μ be regular cardinals. If $\mu^+ < \lambda < \mu^{\aleph_0}$, then $(p\text{-groups}, \leq_p)$ does not have a universal model of cardinality λ .

Proof sketch.

- (M.) Assume for the sake of contradiction that there is G a universal p -group.
- (Shelah) There is a club guessing sequence for S_μ^λ using that $\mu^+ < \lambda$.
- (Kojman-Shelah) Introduce an invariant $P_\alpha(\bar{G}, \bar{A})$ which is preserved under pure embeddings.
- (K.-S.) There is a p -group of size λ that cannot be purely embedded into G because of the invariant using that $\lambda < \mu^{\aleph_0}$.

- 1 Basic notions
- 2 Main problem
- 3 Existence results
- 4 Non-existence results
- 5 **Conclusions**

Conclusions: An answer below \aleph_ω

Theorem (M.)

For $n \geq 2$, $(p\text{-groups}, \leq_p)$ has a universal model of cardinality \aleph_n if and only if $2^{\aleph_0} \leq \aleph_n$.

Proof sketch.

- \Leftarrow : $\aleph_n^{\aleph_0} = \aleph_n$.
- \Rightarrow : $\aleph_0^+ < \aleph_n < \aleph_n^{\aleph_0}$.

Lemma (M.)

- If $2^{\aleph_0} = \aleph_1$, then $(p\text{-groups}, \leq_p)$ has a universal model of cardinality \aleph_1 .
- If $2^{\aleph_0} > \aleph_1$ and \clubsuit , then $(p\text{-groups}, \leq_p)$ does not have a universal model of cardinality \aleph_1 .

\clubsuit holds if there is a club guessing sequence in \aleph_1 .

Conclusions: Torsion-free groups

Theorem (Kojman-Shelah, Shelah, Kucera-M.)

If $\lambda^{\aleph_0} = \lambda$ or $\forall \mu < \lambda (\mu^{\aleph_0} < \lambda)$, then $(\text{torsion-free}, \leq_p)$ has a universal model of cardinality λ .

Lemma (Kojman-Shelah)

If $\lambda < 2^{\aleph_0}$, then $(\text{torsion-free}, \leq_p)$ does not have a universal model of cardinality λ .

An answer below \aleph_ω

- There is no universal model of cardinality \aleph_0 for $(\text{torsion-free}, \leq_p)$.
- For $n \geq 1$, $(\text{torsion-free}, \leq_p)$ has a universal model of cardinality \aleph_n if and only if $2^{\aleph_0} \leq \aleph_n$.

Questions

- Does $(p\text{-groups}, \leq_p)$ have a universal model of cardinality \aleph_ω ?
- What about other singular cardinals? For example for λ singular when $\mu^+ < \lambda < \mu^{\aleph_0}$.

- Marcos Mazari-Armida, *A model theoretic solution to a problem of László Fuchs*, *Journal of Algebra* **567** (2021), 196–209.
- Ivo Herzog and Marcos Mazari-Armida, *A countable universal torsion abelian group for purity*, in preparation.

Thank you!