

## ULTRAPRODUCTS OF ATOMIC BOOLEAN ALGEBRAS

PETER JIPSEN AND HENRY ROSE<sup>(1)</sup>

### 0. Introduction

It follows from Tarski's characterization of elementary equivalent Boolean algebras (see [1] Theorem 5.5.10 p.300), that every two infinite atomic Boolean algebras are elementary equivalent, and therefore the theory of infinite atomic Boolean algebras is complete. Thus if there exists a saturated infinite atomic Boolean algebra of cardinality  $\alpha$ , then it is unique up to isomorphism.

In Section 3 we "identify" the saturated atomic Boolean algebra of regular power  $\alpha > \omega$  (we denote it by  $B_\alpha$ ). If  $\alpha$  is a successor cardinal then  $B_\alpha$  is shown to be isomorphic to an ultraproduct of finite Boolean algebras. For  $\alpha$  inaccessible  $B_\alpha$  is the union of the elementary chain  $\{B_\gamma : \gamma \text{ is a successor cardinal } < \alpha\}$ . It is also shown that  $B_\alpha$  is  $\omega_1$ -incomplete for any regular power  $\alpha > \omega$ .

In Section 4 it is shown that if  $B$  is any infinite atomic Boolean algebra and  $\alpha$  is a regular cardinal such that  $|B| < \alpha$  ( $|B| \leq \alpha$  if  $\alpha$  is successor) then  $B$  is elementary embeddable in  $B_\alpha$ .

Finally, all the results are proven under the assumption of the generalized continuum hypothesis (CCH).

### 1. Preliminaries

#### 1.1. Notation and terminology

For a Boolean algebra  $B$  we denote by 0 and 1 the bottom and top element of  $B$  respectively. If  $a, b \in B$  then we let  $a + b$  and  $ab$  be the join and meet of  $a$  and  $b$ .

---

Received June 2, 1989.

<sup>(1)</sup> The work of the second author was supported by a grant from the University of Cape Town Research Committee, and by grants to the Topology Research Group from the University of Cape Town and the South African Council for Scientific and Industrial Research.

We refer the reader to [1] and [5] for the following concepts concerning Boolean algebras and Model Theory:

- (i) Boolean algebras: atomic,  $\alpha$ -complete ( $\alpha$ -incomplete).
- (ii) Model Theory: ultraproducts, good ultrafilters, elementary chains, saturated and special models.

## 1.2. Required results

In this paper we need the following wellknown results about the structure of atomic Boolean algebras:

**THEOREM 1.2.1.** *Let  $A$  be the set of atoms of an atomic Boolean algebra  $B$ . Then*

- (i)  $B$  is complete if and only if  $B \cong \mathcal{P}(A)$ .
- (ii) every element of  $B$  is the (possibly infinite) join of all the atoms less than it.

We also require the following results from model theory. For more details the reader is referred to [1] as well as the papers indicated.

**THEOREM 1.2.2** (Morley and Vaught [4]). *Two elementary equivalent saturated models of the same cardinality are isomorphic.*

**THEOREM 1.2.3** (Keisler [2]). *Let  $|I| = \beta$  and let  $E \subseteq \mathcal{P}(A)$  such that  $|E| \leq \beta$ , every element of  $E$  has power  $\beta$  and  $E$  is closed under finite intersections. Then  $E$  can be extended to a  $\beta^+$ -good ultrafilter.*

**THEOREM 1.2.4** (Keisler [3]). *Let  $\alpha$  be an infinite cardinal and let  $\mathcal{D}$  be a countably incomplete  $\alpha$ -good ultrafilter over a set  $I$ . Suppose the power of the language  $\mathcal{L}$  is less than  $\alpha$ . Then for any family  $A_i$  ( $i \in I$ ) of models of  $\mathcal{L}$ , the ultraproduct  $\prod_{\mathcal{D}} A_i$  is  $\alpha$ -saturated.*

## 2. The ultraproduct

### 2.1. The ultrafilter

Let  $B$  be an infinite atomic Boolean algebra, and consider the set

$$I = \{i \in B : i \text{ is the join of a finite set of atoms of } B\}.$$

Notice that Theorem 1.2.1 implies that  $I$  is a sublattice of  $B$ , in fact  $I$  is the sublattice of compact elements of  $B$ . Also the structure

of  $I$  depends only on the cardinality of the set of atoms of  $B$ . For  $i \in I$  consider the set

$$I_i = \{j \in I : j \geq i\}.$$

Then the collection

$$E = \{I_i : i \in I\}$$

has the finite intersection property. In fact, for  $i_1, \dots, i_n \in I$  and  $x = i_1 + \dots + i_n$  we have

$$\bigcap_{k=1}^n I_{i_k} = I_x.$$

We denote by  $\mathcal{D}$  an ultrafilter over  $I$  with basis  $E$ . Since for any  $i \in I$  we have  $|I_i| = |I| = |E| = \beta$  say, we may assume that  $\mathcal{D}$  is a  $\beta^+$ -good ultrafilter (see Theorem 1.2.3).

### 2.2. The ultraproduct $\Pi_{\mathcal{D}}(i]$

Let  $B$  and  $I$  be as in 2.1. For  $i \in I$  consider the principal ideal

$$(i] = \{j \in B : j \leq i\}.$$

Clearly  $(i]$  is isomorphic to a finite Boolean algebra, and  $(i] \subseteq I$  for all  $i \in I$  (see Theorem 1.2.1). Consider the product.

$$\prod_{i \in I} (i].$$

A function  $f : I \rightarrow I$  is a member of this product if and only if it satisfies  $f(i) \leq i$  for all  $i \in I$ . We denote by

$$\prod_{\mathcal{D}} (i]$$

an ultraproduct, where  $\mathcal{D}$  is any ultrafilter from 2.1.

### 2.3. The cardinality of the ultraproduct

Let  $B$  be any infinite atomic Boolean algebra with  $I, \mathcal{D}$  and  $\Pi_{\mathcal{D}}(i]$  as in 2.2. For  $a \in B$  we consider

$$f_a / \mathcal{D} \in \prod_{\mathcal{D}} (i]$$

where  $f_a \in \prod_{i \in I} (i]$  is given by  $f_a(i) = ai$  for all  $i \in I$ .

**LEMMA 2.3.1.** *If  $a, b \in B$  and  $a \neq b$  then  $f_a / \mathcal{D} \neq f_b / \mathcal{D}$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose  $a \not\leq b$  then for some  $i \in I$  we have  $i \leq a$  and  $i \not\leq b$ . Then for any  $j \in I_i$ ,  $i \leq f_a(j)$  and  $i \not\leq f_b(j)$ .

Hence  $\{j \in I : f_a(j) \neq f_b(j)\} \supseteq I_i \in \mathcal{D}$  so the result follows.

**COROLLARY 2.3.2.** *Suppose that  $I$  has cardinality  $\beta$  and let  $C$  be any infinite atomic Boolean algebra with  $|C| \leq 2^\beta$ . Then*

$$|\Pi_{\mathcal{D}}(i)]| = |\Pi_{\mathcal{D}}C| = 2^\beta.$$

*Proof.* Here we assume that  $B$  is complete, with set of atoms  $A$  so  $|A| = |I| = \beta$  and  $|B| = |\mathcal{D}(A)| = 2^\beta$  (from Theorem 1.2.1). By the preceding lemma  $2^\beta = |B| \leq |\Pi_{\mathcal{D}}(i)]|$ , but we also have

$$|\Pi_{\mathcal{D}}(i)]| \leq |\Pi_{\mathcal{D}}C| \leq |C|^I \leq (2^\beta)^\beta = 2^\beta \text{ so the result follows.}$$

### 3. Saturated atomic Boolean algebras of regular power

As in the introduction we denote the unique saturated atomic Boolean algebra of power  $\alpha \geq \omega$  by  $B_\alpha$  (if it exists). For  $\alpha$  a successor cardinal,  $\alpha = \beta^+$ , denote by  $\mathcal{D}_\alpha$  an  $\alpha$ -good ultrafilter as defined in 2.1. ( $\mathcal{D}_\alpha$  can be constructed on the set  $I$  of compact elements of any infinite atomic Boolean algebra for which  $|I| = \beta$ ). The next theorem identifies  $B_\alpha$  for regular  $\alpha$ .

**THEOREM 3.1.** *Let  $\alpha$  be an uncountable regular cardinal.*

(i) *If  $\alpha$  is a successor cardinal then*

$$B_\alpha \cong \prod_{\mathcal{D}_\alpha} (i] \cong \prod_{\mathcal{D}_\alpha} C$$

*for any infinite atomic Boolean algebra  $C$  with  $|C| \leq \alpha$ .*

(ii) *If  $\alpha$  is a limit regular (inaccessible) cardinal then*

$$B_\alpha \cong U\{B_\gamma \mid \gamma \text{ is a successor cardinal } < \alpha\}.$$

*Proof.* (i) Suppose  $\alpha = \beta^+$  then by Theorem 1.2.4 both  $\prod_{\mathcal{D}_\alpha} (i]$  and  $\prod_{\mathcal{D}_\alpha} C$  are  $\beta^+$ -saturated and by Corollary 2.3.2 they are both of cardinality  $2^\beta$ . Hence by GCH they are saturated. It now follows from Theorem 1.2.2 and the completeness of the theory of infinite atomic Boolean algebras that they are isomorphic.

(ii) Let  $\gamma < \delta$  be two successor cardinals then by (i) above it follows that  $B_\delta \cong \prod_{\mathcal{D}_\delta} B_\gamma$  so  $B_\gamma$  can be regarded as an elementary subalgebra of  $B_\delta$ . Thus the collection  $\varphi = \{B_\gamma \mid \gamma \text{ is a successor cardinal } < \alpha\}$  forms a specializing chain for the special model  $\cup \varphi$  which is of cardinality

$\alpha$ . But special models of regular limit power are saturated (see [1] p. 217. Prop. 5.16(iv)) so  $\cup \varphi \cong B_\alpha$ .

In the remainder of this section we show that none of the Boolean algebras in Theorem 3.1 are complete. Let  $B, I$  and  $E$  be as in 2.1 and suppose  $\mathcal{D}$  is any ultrafilter having base  $E$ . We construct countable strictly increasing sequence of elements of  $\Pi_{\mathcal{D}}(i)$  which has no least upper bound. Let  $A$  be the set of all atoms of  $B$  and let  $A = \{a_\gamma : \gamma < \beta\}$  be an enumeration  $A, |A| = \beta$ . It follows from Theorem 1.2.1 that each  $i \in I$  is the join of a unique finite subset of  $A$  which we will denote by  $\bar{i}$ . Clearly  $i = \sum \bar{i}, \bar{0} = \phi$  and  $\bar{i} = \{a \in A : a \leq i\} = \{a_{\gamma_1}, a_{\gamma_2}, \dots, a_{\gamma_m}\}$  for some  $\gamma_1 < \gamma_2 < \dots < \gamma_m < \beta, m = |\bar{i}|$ , (i.e. we assume that the elements of  $i$  are listed in strictly increasing order according to the well-ordering of  $A$ ). For  $n < \omega$  set  $i_0 = 0, i_n = a_1 + \dots + a_n$ . We now consider the sequence  $S = \{f_{i_n}/\mathcal{D} : n < \omega\} \subseteq \Pi_{\mathcal{D}}(i)$  (for definition of  $f_a/\mathcal{D}$  see 2.3).  $S$  is a strictly increasing sequence since  $\{i \in I : f_{i_n}(i) < f_{i_{n+1}}(i)\} \supseteq I_{i_{n+1}} \in \mathcal{D}$  for each  $n < \omega$ . We now define a map  $\mu : \Pi_{\mathcal{D}}(i) \rightarrow \Pi_{\mathcal{D}}(i)$  by  $\mu(g/\mathcal{D}) = \hat{g}/\mathcal{D}$  where

$$\hat{g}(i) = \begin{cases} \{a_{\gamma_1}, \dots, a_{\gamma_{m-1}}\} & \text{if } \overline{g(i)} = \{a_{\gamma_1}, \dots, a_{\gamma_m}\}, \gamma_1 < \gamma_2 \dots < \gamma_m \\ 0 & \text{if } g(i) = 0. \end{cases}$$

(i.e.  $\hat{g}(i)$  is the join of all but the last element of  $\overline{g(i)}$ .)

LEMMA 3.2.

- (i)  $\mu$  is well defined and order preserving.
- (ii) if  $g/\mathcal{D} \neq 0/\mathcal{D}$  then  $\mu(g/\mathcal{D}) < g/\mathcal{D}$  ( $\mu$  is strictly decreasing).
- (iii) for  $f_{i_n}/\mathcal{D} \in S$  defined above and  $n \geq 1, \mu(f_{i_n}/\mathcal{D}) = f_{i_{n-1}}/\mathcal{D}$ .

*Proof.* (i)  $g/\mathcal{D} = h/\mathcal{D}$  implies  $\hat{g}/\mathcal{D} = \hat{h}/\mathcal{D}$  since  $\{i \in I : g(i) = h(i)\} \subseteq \{i \in I : \hat{g}(i) = \hat{h}(i)\}$ . Hence  $\mu$  is well defined. Now let  $g/\mathcal{D} \leq h/\mathcal{D}$  then  $\{i \in I : g(i) \leq h(i)\} \in \mathcal{D}$ . If  $g(i) = 0$  then  $\hat{g}(i) = 0 \leq \hat{h}(i)$  so suppose  $\overline{g(i)} = \{a_{\gamma_1}, \dots, a_{\gamma_m}\}, \overline{h(i)} = \{a_{\delta_1}, \dots, a_{\delta_n}\}$ .  $g(i) \leq h(i)$  implies  $\overline{g(i)} \subseteq \overline{h(i)}$  so  $a_{\gamma_m} = a_{\delta_k}$  for some  $k \leq n$ . We are assuming  $\gamma_1 < \dots < \gamma_m, \delta_1 < \dots < \delta_n$  hence  $\hat{g}(i) = \{a_{\gamma_1}, \dots, a_{\gamma_{m-1}}\} \subseteq \{a_{\delta_1}, \dots, a_{\delta_{k-1}}\} \subseteq \hat{h}(i)$  so  $\hat{g}(i) \leq \hat{h}(i)$ . It follows that  $\{i \in I : \hat{g}(i) \leq \hat{h}(i)\} \supseteq \{i \in I : g(i) \leq h(i)\} \in \mathcal{D}$  so  $\hat{g}/\mathcal{D} \leq \hat{h}/\mathcal{D}$ .

(ii) If  $g/\mathcal{D} \neq 0/\mathcal{D}$  then  $\{i \in I : g(i) \neq 0\} \in \mathcal{D}$ . But this is precisely the set of  $i$  for which  $\hat{g}(i) < g(i)$  so  $\mu(g/\mathcal{D}) = \hat{g}/\mathcal{D} < g/\mathcal{D}$ .

(iii)  $\{i \in I : \hat{f}_{i_n}(i) = f_{i_{n-1}}(i)\} \supseteq I_{i_n} \in \mathcal{D}$  so the result follows.

The next lemma is valid for join-semilattices but we need it only for Boolean algebras.

LEMMA. 3.3. *Let  $B$  be a Boolean algebra on which we can define an order preserving function  $\mu : B \rightarrow B$  such that  $\mu(b) < b$  for all  $b \neq 0$ . Suppose also that we can find a sequence  $S = \{b_n : n \in \omega\} \subseteq B$  with the property  $\mu(b_n) = b_{n-1}$  for all  $n \geq 1$ . Then*

- (i)  *$S$  has no join in  $B$ .*
- (ii) *the canonical image of  $S$  in any ultrapower of  $B$  has no join.*

*Proof.* (i) Suppose  $c$  is a least upper bound for  $S$ . Then  $c \geq b_n$  for all  $n \in \omega$  and  $c \neq 0$ . Since the function  $\mu$  is order preserving  $\mu(c) \geq \mu(b_n) = b_{n-1}$  for all  $n \geq 1$ . Hence we have found a strictly smaller upper bound for  $S$ .

(ii) Let  $d : B \rightarrow \prod_{\mathcal{D}} B$  be the canonical embedding  $d(b) = \langle b : i \in I \rangle / \mathcal{D}$  and define the map  $\mu_{\mathcal{D}}$  on the ultrapower in the obvious way: for  $h/\mathcal{D} \in \prod_{\mathcal{D}} B$  put  $\mu_{\mathcal{D}}(h/\mathcal{D}) = \langle \mu(h(i)) : i \in I \rangle / \mathcal{D}$ . This definition is well defined and one easily checks that  $\mu_{\mathcal{D}}$  has the same properties with respect to  $\prod_{\mathcal{D}} B$  and  $d(S) = \{d(b_n) : n \in \omega\}$  as  $\mu$  has with respect to  $B$  and  $S$ . It follows from part (i) that  $d(S)$  has no join in  $\prod_{\mathcal{D}} B$ .

COROLLARY 3.4. *For each regular cardinal  $\alpha > \omega$   $B_\alpha$  is  $\omega_1$ -incomplete.*

*Proof.* If  $\alpha$  is a successor cardinal this follows from Theorem 3.1 (i), Lemma 3.2 and 3.3 (i). So let  $\alpha$  be inaccessible and suppose  $B_\alpha$  is  $\omega_1$ -complete. Let  $\gamma$  be a successor cardinal  $< \alpha$  then by Lemma 3.3 there exists a sequence  $\{b_n : n \in \omega\} \subseteq B_\gamma$  which has no least upper bound in  $B_\gamma$ . But  $B_\gamma$  is embedded in  $B_\alpha = \bigcup \{B_\gamma : \gamma \text{ is a successor cardinal } < \alpha\}$ . Let  $b$  be the join of  $\{b_n : n \in \omega\}$  in  $B_\alpha$  then  $\{b\} \cup \{b_n : n \in \omega\} \subseteq B_\delta$  for some  $\gamma \leq \delta < \alpha$ ,  $\delta$  successor and  $b$  is the join of  $\{b_n\}$  in  $B_\delta$ . But by Theorem 3.1 (i)  $B_\delta$  is isomorphic to an ultrapower of  $B_\gamma$  so by Lemma 3.3 (ii)  $\{b_n : n \in \omega\}$  has no join in  $B_\delta$ .

(We identify  $B_\gamma$  with its isomorphic canonical image in  $B_\delta$ .)

#### 4. The embedding theorem

In this section we reformulate our main result (Theorem 3.1) in the following way.

THEOREM 4.1. *Let  $C$  be an infinite atomic Boolean algebra of cardi-*

nalinity  $\beta$ .

(i) For any successor cardinal  $\alpha \geq \beta$ ,  $C$  is elementary embeddable in an ultraproduct of finite Boolean algebras and this ultraproduct has cardinality  $\alpha$ .

(ii) For any inaccessible cardinal  $\alpha > \beta$ ,  $C$  is elementary embeddable in  $B_\alpha$  which is the union of an elementary chain of Boolean algebras each isomorphic to an ultraproduct of finite Boolean algebras.

*Proof.* (i) Since  $\alpha$  is a successor cardinal and  $|C| \leq \alpha$  it follows from Theorem 3.1 (i) that  $B_\alpha \cong \prod_{\mathcal{D}_\alpha} [i] \cong \prod_{\mathcal{D}_\alpha} C$  where  $\mathcal{D}_\alpha$  is an  $\alpha$ -good ultrafilter over  $I$  as in 2.1. For each  $i \in I$   $[i]$  is a finite Boolean algebra and  $C$  is elementary embeddable in any ultrapower of itself, so the result follows.

(ii) Since  $|C| < \alpha$  inaccessible, there exists successor cardinal  $\gamma < \alpha$  such that  $|C| \leq \gamma$ . By part (i)  $B_\gamma$  is elementary embeddable in  $B_\alpha$  and from the construction of  $B_\alpha$  (Theorem 3.1 (ii)) it follows that  $B_\gamma$  is an elementary subalgebra of  $B_\alpha$ .

REMARK. Unlike the theory of atomless Boolean algebras, the theory of infinite atomic Boolean algebras is not model complete: In  $\mathcal{L}(\omega)$  consider the subalgebra  $R$  generated by the set

$$X = \{\{2n, 2n+1\} : n \in \omega\}.$$

Then  $a \in X$  iff  $a$  is an atom (element of height 1) in  $B$  but  $a$  has height 2 in  $\mathcal{L}(\omega)$ . Since the height of an element is a first order property we have that  $B$  is not an elementary subalgebra of  $\mathcal{L}(\omega)$ .

### References

1. C. C. Chang and H. J. Keisler, *Model Theory*, North Holland, Amsterdam, 1978.
2. H. J. Keisler, *Good ideals in fields of sets*, Ann. Math., **79**(1964), 338-359.
3. H. J. Keisler, *Ultraproduct and saturated models*, Koninkl. Ned. Akad. Wetensch. Proc. Ser. A67 (=Indag. Math. **26**) (1964), 178-186.
4. M. Morley and R. Vaught, *Homogeneous and universal models*, Math. Scand. **11**(1962), 37-57.
5. R. Sikorski, *Boolean Algebras*, Springer Verlag, 1964.