

# SOME QUASIVARIETIES OF COMPLEX ALGEBRAS THAT ARE VARIETIES

PETER JIPSEN

For many classes  $\mathcal{K}$  of structures one finds that the **SP** closure of the class **CmK** of complex algebras of members of  $\mathcal{K}$  is also closed under **H**. If  $\mathcal{K}$  is closed under ultraproducts, e.g. an elementary class, then **SPCmK** is a quasivariety (since  $\mathbf{P_uCmK} \subseteq \mathbf{CmP_uK}$ ). So the above observation says that the quasivariety generated by  $\mathcal{K}$  is a variety. In this note we find some conditions on the class  $\mathcal{K}$  for which this is always the case. The main result explains for example why **SPCm**(semilattices) is a variety whereas **SPCm**(semigroups) is just a quasivariety.

Let  $(f_i : i < \kappa)$  be a sequence of function symbols, each with some fixed arity. For brevity we often write  $f(x_0, \dots, x_{n-1}) = f(\underline{x})$ , where  $f$  is assumed to have arity  $n$ .

**Definition 1.** Let  $\mathbf{A} = (A, f_i^{\mathbf{A}} : i < \kappa)$  be a universal algebra. For each  $f_i^{\mathbf{A}} : A^n \rightarrow A$  define  $\hat{f}_i : \mathcal{P}(A)^n \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(A)$  by

$$\hat{f}_i(\underline{X}) = \{f_i(\underline{x}) : x_j \in X_j \text{ for all } j < n\}.$$

The *full complex algebra*  $\mathbf{CmA}$  is defined as  $(\mathcal{P}(A), \cup, \sim, \emptyset, \hat{f}_i : i < \kappa)$ . Here  $\sim$  denotes unary complementation with respect to the largest set  $A$ . Note that  $\hat{f}$  should really be denoted  $\hat{f}^{\mathbf{CmA}}$ , but we will usually drop the superscripts on both  $f$  and  $\hat{f}$ . If  $f$  is a constant (0-ary function symbol) then the above definition reduces to  $\hat{f} = \{f\}$ . When confusion is unlikely, we also omit the  $\hat{\phantom{x}}$  on operation symbols and identify singleton sets with their unique element.

Full complex algebras are examples of complete and atomic Boolean algebras with normal operators. A *complex algebra* is any subalgebra of a full complex algebra. When considering them in the abstract setting of BAOs, the Boolean operations will be denoted by  $+, \cdot, -, 0, 1$ .

Actually the notion of a complex algebra is usually defined more generally for relational structures  $\mathbf{U} = (U, R_i : i < \kappa)$ . In this case one defines

$$\hat{f}_i(\underline{X}) = \{y \in U : R_i(x_1, \dots, x_n, y) \text{ where } x_j \in X_j \text{ for } j = 1 \dots n\}.$$

Let  $\mathcal{K}$  be a class of structures, and define

$$\mathbf{CmK} = \{\mathbf{CmA} : \mathbf{A} \in \mathcal{K}\}.$$

As usual,  $\mathbf{V}(\mathcal{C})$  denotes the variety or equational class generated by a class of algebras  $\mathcal{C}$ . Recall that  $\mathbf{V}(\mathcal{C}) = \mathbf{HSPC}$ , where **HC**, **SC**, **PC** are the class of homomorphic images, all subalgebras and all products of members of  $\mathcal{C}$ .

**Problem 2.** Under what conditions on  $\mathcal{K}$  is  $\mathbf{VCmK} = \mathbf{SPCmK}$ ?

Recall that a map  $h : \mathbf{W} \rightarrow \mathbf{U}$  is a relational structure homomorphism if  $R_i^{\mathbf{W}}(x_0, \dots, x_n)$  implies  $R_i^{\mathbf{U}}(h(x_0), \dots, h(x_n))$  for all  $x_0, \dots, x_n \in W$  and all  $i < \kappa$ . Such a map  $h$  is called a *bounded morphism* if, in addition, it also satisfies the condition: for all  $i < \kappa$ , all  $y_0, \dots, y_{n-1} \in U$  and  $x_n \in W$

$R_i^{\mathbf{U}}(y_0, \dots, y_{n-1}, h(x_n))$  implies there exist  $x_0, \dots, x_{n-1} \in W$  such that  $h(x_j) = y_j$  for  $j < n$  and  $R_i^{\mathbf{W}}(x_0, \dots, x_n)$ .

A substructure  $\mathbf{W}$  of a structure  $\mathbf{U}$  is an *inner substructure* if the injection map is a bounded morphism (i.e.  $R_i^{\mathbf{U}}(x_0 \dots x_n)$  and  $x_n \in W$  imply  $x_0 \dots x_{n-1} \in W$ ).

For a class  $\mathcal{K}$  of structures,  $\mathbb{H}_b \mathcal{K}$  denotes the class of all bounded morphic images and  $\mathbb{S}_b \mathcal{K}$  denotes the class of all inner substructures of  $\mathcal{K}$ . Given a structure  $\mathbf{U}$  and a set  $X \subseteq U$ , we define  $\text{Sg}_b^{\mathbf{U}}(X)$  to be the intersection of all inner substructures of  $\mathbf{U}$  that contain  $X$ , and since inner substructures are closed under intersections, this deserves to be called the *inner substructure generated by  $X$* . For  $u \in U$  the *one-generated inner substructure*  $\text{Sg}_b^{\mathbf{U}}(\{u\})$  is also denoted by  $\text{Sg}_b^{\mathbf{U}}(u)$ .

The next result is wellknown, and explains the universal algebraic significance of one-generated inner substructures.

**Lemma 3.** *Let  $\mathbf{W}$  be an inner substructure of  $\mathbf{U}$ . Then  $\mathbf{W}$  is one-generated if and only if  $\text{Cm} \mathbf{W}$  is subdirectly irreducible.*

*Proof.* The congruence relations on  $\text{Cm} \mathbf{U}$  are in one-to-one correspondence with so-called *congruence ideals*, which are boolean ideals that satisfy an additional condition. In the case of a complex algebra  $\text{Cm} \mathbf{U}$ , this condition is most easily described in terms of a binary relation  $\rho$  defined on  $U$  by

$$x \rho y \text{ iff } x = y \text{ or there exist } \underline{x} \in U^{n+1}, i < \kappa, j < n \text{ such that } R_i^{\mathbf{U}}(\underline{x}), x = x_j \text{ and } y = x_n.$$

As usual, let  $\rho[X] = \{y \in U : x \rho y \text{ for some } x \in X\}$ ,  $\rho^{-1}$  denotes the inverse of  $\rho$ ,  $\rho^n$  the composition of  $n$  copies of  $\rho$ , and  $\rho^*$  the reflexive transitive closure of  $\rho$ .

A boolean ideal  $I$  of  $\text{Cm} \mathbf{U}$  is a *congruence ideal* if for all  $X \in I$  we have  $\rho[X] \in I$ . The congruence ideal generated by an element  $X$  in  $\text{Cm} \mathbf{U}$  is denoted by  $\text{ci}(X)$ , and can be computed from below by the formula

$$\text{ci}(X) = \bigcup_{k < \omega} \rho^k[X].$$

The inner substructure generated by a set  $X \subseteq U$  is given by  $\text{Sg}_b^{\mathbf{U}}(X) = \rho^{*-1}[X]$ . So  $\mathbf{W}$  is a one-generated inner substructure of  $\mathbf{U}$  iff  $W = \rho^{*-1}[\{u\}]$  for some  $u \in U$ . We now observe that  $w \in \rho^{*-1}[\{u\}]$  iff  $w \rho^k u$  for some  $k < \omega$  iff  $\{u\} \in \text{ci}(\{w\})$  iff  $\text{ci}(\{u\}) \subseteq \text{ci}(\{w\})$ .

This shows that  $\mathbf{W}$  is one-generated by  $u \in \mathbf{U}$  iff  $\text{ci}(\{u\})$  is a minimal nontrivial congruence ideal of  $\text{Cm} \mathbf{W}$ , which means that  $\text{Cm} \mathbf{W}$  is subdirectly irreducible.  $\square$

The following result is a dual version of Birkhoff's subdirect embedding theorem.

**Lemma 4.** *Any structure is a bounded morphic image of the disjoint union of its one-generated inner substructures, i.e.  $\mathbf{U} \in \mathbb{H}_b \mathbb{U}_d \{\text{Sg}_b^{\mathbf{U}}(u) : u \in U\}$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\mathbf{W} = \bigcup \{\text{Sg}_b^{\mathbf{U}}(u) \times \{u\} : u \in U\}$  be a disjoint union of the one-generated inner substructures of  $\mathbf{U}$ , and define  $f : \mathbf{W} \twoheadrightarrow \mathbf{U}$  by  $f((x, u)) = x$ . Now  $R_i^{\mathbf{W}}((x_0, u_0), \dots, (x_n, u_n))$  holds iff  $u_j = u_k$  for  $j, k \leq n$  and  $R_i^{\text{Sg}_b^{\mathbf{U}}(u)}(x_0, \dots, x_n)$ . The forward implication shows that  $f$  is a structure homomorphism. On the other hand, if  $x_0, \dots, x_{n-1} \in U$ ,  $(x_n, u) \in V$ , and  $R_i^{\text{Sg}_b^{\mathbf{U}}(u)}(x_0, \dots, x_n)$ , then  $R_i^{\mathbf{W}}((x_0, u), \dots, (x_n, u))$  and  $f((x_j, u)) = x_j$ , hence  $f$  is a bounded morphism.  $\square$

From the duality between structures with bounded morphisms and complex algebras with homomorphisms, we have the following corollary:

**Corollary 5.** *For any structure  $\mathbf{U}$ ,  $\text{Cm}\mathbf{U} \in \mathbf{SP}\{\text{Cm}(\text{Sg}_b^{\mathbf{U}}(u)) : u \in U\}$ .*

A class  $\mathcal{K}$  is said to be *closed under one-generated inner substructures* if  $\text{Sg}_b^{\mathbf{U}}(u) \in \mathcal{K}$  for all  $\mathbf{U} \in \mathcal{K}$  and all  $u \in \mathbf{U}$ . The next result was originally proved by Yde Venema [Ve] for the class of semilattices.

**Theorem 6.** *Let  $\mathcal{K}$  be a class of structures that is closed under ultraproducts and one-generated inner substructures. Then  $\mathbf{VCm}\mathcal{K} = \mathbf{SPCm}\mathcal{K}$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose  $\mathcal{K}$  is closed under ultraproducts and one-generated inner substructures, and let  $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbf{VCm}\mathcal{K} = \mathbf{HSPCm}\mathcal{K}$ . This means there is an algebra  $\mathbf{B}$  and structures  $\mathbf{U}_i \in \mathcal{K}$  such that  $\mathbf{B}$  is a subalgebra of  $\prod_{i \in I} \text{Cm}\mathbf{U}_i = \text{Cm} \sum_{i \in I} \mathbf{U}_i$ , and  $\mathbf{A}$  is a homomorphic image of  $\mathbf{B}$ . From the duality between BAOs and structures (cf. [Go89]), the canonical structure  $\text{Cs}\mathbf{A}$  is an inner substructure of  $\text{Cs}\mathbf{B}$ , which in turn is a bounded homomorphic image of  $\text{CsCm} \sum_{i \in I} \mathbf{U}_i$ . By the Fine–van Benthem–Goldblatt Theorem [Go89] 3.6.1,  $\text{CsCm} \sum_{i \in I} \mathbf{U}_i$  is a bounded homomorphic image of an ultrapower of  $\sum_{i \in I} \mathbf{U}_i$ , and by Theorem 2.1.(13) of [Go95], this ultrapower is a bounded morphic image of a disjoint union of ultraproducts of the family  $\{\mathbf{U}_i : i \in I\}$ . Since  $\mathcal{K}$  is closed under ultraproducts, we have shown that  $\text{Cs}\mathbf{B}$  is a bounded morphic image of a disjoint union of members of  $\mathcal{K}$ , say  $h : \sum_{j \in J} \mathbf{V}_j \twoheadrightarrow \text{Cs}\mathbf{B}$ .

Let  $W_j = \{w \in V_j : h(w) \in \text{Cs}\mathbf{A}\}$ . Then  $\sum_{j \in J} \mathbf{W}_j = h^{-1}[\text{Cs}\mathbf{A}]$ , and each  $\mathbf{W}_j$  is an inner substructure of  $\mathbf{V}_j$  since preimages of inner substructures and components of disjoint unions are again inner substructures. By the preceding lemma, for each  $j \in J$ ,  $\mathbf{W}_j$  is a bounded morphic image of one-generated inner substructures which, by assumption, are in  $\mathcal{K}$  (since they are one-generated inner substructures of  $\mathbf{V}_j \in \mathcal{K}$ ). In summary, we have shown that  $\text{Cs}\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{H}_b \mathbb{U}_d \mathcal{K}$ . Applying the duality again, we get  $\text{CmCs}\mathbf{A} \in \mathbf{SPCm}\mathcal{K}$ . Since  $\mathbf{A}$  is a subalgebra of its canonical extension  $\text{CmCs}\mathbf{A}$ , we finally obtain  $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbf{SPCm}\mathcal{K}$ .  $\square$

If  $\mathcal{K}$  is a universal class of algebras, we also prove a converse to the above result.

**Theorem 7.** *Let  $\mathcal{K}$  be a universal class of algebras (i.e. closed under subalgebras and ultraproducts). Then  $\mathbf{VCm}\mathcal{K} = \mathbf{SPCm}\mathcal{K}$  if and only if  $\mathcal{K}$  is closed under one-generated inner substructures.*

*Proof.* Suppose  $\mathcal{K}$  is a class of algebras such that  $\mathbf{SK} = \mathcal{K}$  and  $\mathbf{VCm}\mathcal{K} = \mathbf{SPCm}\mathcal{K}$ , and assume that  $\mathbf{U} \in \mathcal{K}$ , but for some  $u \in U$ ,  $\mathbf{W} = \text{Sg}_b^{\mathbf{U}}(u) \notin \mathcal{K}$ . Since  $\text{Cm}\mathbf{U}$  maps homomorphically onto  $\text{Cm}\mathbf{W}$ , we have  $\text{Cm}\mathbf{W} \in \mathbf{HCm}\mathcal{K} \subseteq \mathbf{VCm}\mathcal{K} = \mathbf{SPCm}\mathcal{K}$ . By Lemma 3  $\text{Cm}\mathbf{W}$

is subdirectly irreducible, hence  $\mathbf{CmW} \in \mathbf{SCmK}$ . As  $\mathcal{K}$  is a class of algebras, for each  $i < \kappa$  the class  $\mathbf{SCmK}$  satisfies the universal formula

$$X_0 \neq \emptyset, \dots, X_{n-1} \neq \emptyset \Rightarrow \hat{R}_i(X_0, \dots, X_{n-1}) \neq \emptyset.$$

Now, because  $\mathcal{K}$  is assumed to be closed under subalgebras, we have  $\mathbf{W} \notin \mathbf{SK}$ . It follows that  $\mathbf{W}$  is a substructure but not a subalgebra of  $\mathbf{U}$ , so there are  $x_0, \dots, x_{n-1} \in W$  and  $i < \kappa$  such that  $R_i^{\mathbf{W}}(x_0, \dots, x_{n-1}, x)$  does not hold for any  $x \in W$ . But this contradicts the fact that  $\mathbf{CmW} \in \mathbf{SCmK}$  since if we take  $X_j = \{x_j\}$ , then the universal formula above does not hold in  $\mathbf{CmW}$ .

The reverse direction follows immediately from the previous theorem.  $\square$

It is easy to check that the varieties of groups, rings, lattices and Boolean algebras are closed under one-generated inner substructures, since their members have no proper inner substructures. Hence the following classes are varieties:  $\mathbf{SPCm}(\text{groups})$ ,  $\mathbf{SPCm}(\text{lattices})$ , and  $\mathbf{SPCm}(\text{Boolean algebras})$ . For groups this result is wellknown since it produces the class of group relation algebras. The class of all semilattices is also closed under one-generated inner substructures, since they are the principal filters in a meet semilattice. Therefore  $\mathbf{SPCm}(\text{semilattices})$  is a variety. However, the class of semigroups is not closed under one-generated inner substructures, as can be seen by examining the constant 2-element semigroup. It follows that  $\mathbf{SPCm}(\text{semigroups})$  is not closed under  $\mathbf{H}$  (but it is a quasivariety).

## REFERENCES

- [Go89] R. Goldblatt, *Varieties of Complex Algebras*, Ann. Pure Applied Logic 44 (1989), 173–242.
- [Go95] R. Goldblatt, *Elementary Generation and Canonicity for Varieties of Boolean Algebras with Operators*, Algebra Universalis 34 (1995) No. 4, 551–607.
- [Ve] Y. Venema, private communication.